DEFENCE STATEMENT

(Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996, section 5 & 6; Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 (Defence Disclosure Time Limits) Regulations 2011; Criminal Procedure Rules, rule 15.4)

This Is My First Statement

OFFICIAL – SENSITIVE [when complete] MG11

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1930, s.5B

URN | | | | |

Statement of: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

Age if under 18: Over *(if over 18 insert 'over 18')* **Occupation**: News Reporter!

This statement **(Consisting 17 Of 17 Page{s)** all signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Dated: 15/01/2026

(Signed) 

(On behalf of Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL) **(Dated) 15/01/2026**

- **DEFENCE STATEMENT – CASE 2 (URN: 01YE1300125)**

- **Alleged Assault With Intent To Resist Or Prevent Arrest:** Contrary to section 38 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861– 26 August 2025
- **Defendant**: Mr. Simon Cordell
- **Date**: [08/01/2026]

I, Mr Simon Paul Cordell, of 109 Burncroft Avenue PO BOX EN3 7JQ.

- **WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS: --**
  1+ **Date of Incident: 26/08/2025**
  2+ **Alleged Offence Location:** Bail Address!
  3+ **Background of Case:** As detailed below!
  4+ **I am a secure tenant living on the ground floor of Address:** 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield En3 7jq, **Since 2006.**

1. **Defence Position: --**
   a) I Deny The Allegation Of Assault.
   b) No Assault Occurred.

c) The Allegation Is Unsupported By Physical Evidence, Contradicted By Officer Uncertainty, And Procedurally Flawed.

2. Background: The Commander Who Stayed, the Complaints That Went Nowhere, and the ASBO That Became a Weapon

a) The events that shaped this case did not begin with a single officer or a single mistake. They began under the authority of "**Chief Superintendent Jane Johnson, The Borough Commander For North London,**" who remained in her position throughout the period when my complaints were repeatedly ignored, dismissed, or left unresolved. She did not leave before the problems began and were complained about and she stayed through them, presided over them, and left only after the damage had already taken root enough to cover up her and her colleagues own tracks

b) It was during her command that an "**Anti-Social Behaviour Order (ASBO) Application"** was created, signed, mishandled, and ultimately used as the foundation for years of escalating harm. "**The Highbury And Islington Court Processed The ASBO Bundles**" mindless of the legal technicalities and breaches of human rights as well as abuse of process due to its case handling and fabricated content as well as Criminal offence meaning that I was never arrested or charged with such an allegation as is mandatory, The sentencing guidelines were abused by the courts damaging my life forever more! Also, the Asbo was never lawfully served on me, let alone singed by any victims or any of those alleged victims attending court for trial as requested. Instead, it was "**Left Outside My Residential Block**," exposed to the elements and to anyone who passed by. My mother found it, recognised its seriousness, and delivered it to "**Edmonton Police Station**," where officers issued a receipt acknowledging it as lost Property that they failed to be able to return in my name, Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.

c) As later, the same police station claimed the folder had "**Gone Missing.**" blamed everything except themselves but I knew from press releases and being in contact with my local community that the loss of property from the Edmonton property room was not a solo interment for my one occasion as it had happened before and as a cover up the police officers burnt down the property room so they could not get the blame for "**Mutable Thefts From The Police Property Room,**" while under Jane Johnson Control!

d) Throughout this period, I submitted complaints by way of emails and also "**Telephoning 101 Directly"** while recording those conversations were I am recorded leaving emails with staff w2ho directly also sent her my complaints that were all detailed as urgent and justified. Commander Johnson remained in her post while those complaints were left unanswered. She did not intervene. She did not correct the record. She did not stop the escalation. Instead, the ASBO she signed became the seed of a long chain of even more fabricated allegations, wrongful arrests, and procedural abuses were I was placed under bail conditions and denied my legal rights.

e) It was not until several years after "**Chief Superintendent Jane Johnson Departure"** I believe around "**The Year Of 2018,"** that the **Metropolitan Police Restructured North London Into The North Area Basic Command Unit (BCU),** merging Enfield and Haringey under a single command. This reorganisation did not resolve the failures that began under Commander Johnson Controll; it simply redistributed them across a wider structure. For years, I did not know who held responsibility for the decisions affecting my life. It was only by **2025** that I finally discovered who had been exercising authority over my cases "**Sgt Smith & Co"** and by then, the damage had already been done. "**After the BCU Merger,**" no replacement Borough Commander was ever appointed, because the role itself had been abolished. From the time "**Jane Johnson"** was reassigned, the "**North Area BCU"** operated without a dedicated Borough Commander for Enfield, leaving a leadership void that allowed unresolved misconduct to continue unchecked.

f) With Edmonton custody closed, responsibility shifted to "**The Wood Green Police Station,**" where "**Sergeant Smith"** assumed operational control. From that moment, the situation transformed from neglect into something even more deliberate, an evil pattern of escalation that feels less like policing and more like containment.

g) **Sgt Smith**: became the central figure in a series of decisions that reshaped my life. "**My PNC And**

ACRO Records Show A Disturbing Pattern: fabricated allegations, repeated arrests, mental-health-based justifications that had no medical foundation, and a cycle of cases that collapsed only after causing maximum disruption.

h) In multiple detention logs, Sgt Smith openly recorded that his treatment of me was influenced by my statement that I intended to pursue an insurance claim against the police, the council, and mental health workers. Instead of recognising that claim as a lawful right, he interpreted it as a personal challenge **"To Be Prevented And At All Costs Necessary**." Abusing his role as a senior Metropolitan Police officer, he used that position to justify escalating his actions and deploying police resources in ways that caused deliberate and reckless harm to my life. What should have been a routine declaration of legal intention became, in his hands, a trigger for targeted pressure, disproportionate responses, and a pattern of conduct that no reasonable officer could defend.

i) He repeated the same illegal justifications across several cases. He repeated the same fabricated mental-health comments. He repeated the same pattern of targeting me for wrongful self-gain and to aid in avoidance of disciplinary actions for himself and colleges.!

j) The result was a catalogue of approximately "**80 Allegations**," none of which resulted in a conviction. These cases were brought before "**Edmonton Magistrates' Court**, **Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court**, And **Islington Magistrates' Court**," often in coordination with council officials and NHS staff. The pattern was unmistakable: a system acting in concert, not by coincidence.

k) After Edmonton custody closed, every "**No Further Action**" decision fell under Sgt Smith's authority. My records show this clearly.

l) One of the most serious recent incidents involving "**Sgt Smith And His Colleagues"** was the illegal reuse of a discontinued URN, specifically "**Case 1 URN: 01YE1267925, Dated the 02/08/2025**," originally closed on ****4 August 2025**. Despite its discontinued status, "**This URN Was Deliberately Revived By Sgt Smith & Co"** and "**Then Reused To Construct New Malicious Criminal Cases Against Me, In Total 2 Separate but entwined Cases."** The expired URN was illegal attached to another Criminal Charge and then fresh basil conditions were illegally issued, which were then used as the foundation for yet another arrest on ****26 August 2025**** of breach of bail that I never committed. This unlawful act set off a chain reaction: first, I was wrongfully placed on electronic monitoring; then, I was wrongfully arrested for an alleged breach of bail that had never occurred, and an Assault case was fabricated. The entire sequence was manufactured from a reference number that should never have been touched again.

m) On the day of that wrongful arrest, ****Eight Officers**** arrived at my bail address. "**Eight Different Police Officers**" for a breach that never happened. Eight officers enforcing a charge that should never have existed. Their presence was not about public safety, it was about setting me up and bringing me back to "**SGT Smith**, it was the physical manifestation of a system that had already decided I was to be contained, regardless of evidence, legality, or truth.

n) This was not policing. This was institutional pressure, police officers escalating, tightening, and reshaping themselves every time I expose the truth about their illegal wrongs committed against my person.

3. The Horror of Institutional Containment

a) This is the part that must be understood: The system did not collapse by accident. It collapsed around me and then rebuilt itself in a way that kept me trapped inside its failures

1+ The commander who signed the ASBO stayed long enough to ignore every complaint.

2+ The custody suite burned.

3+ "**The ASBO Folder Vanished!"**

4+ The command structure was reorganised.

5+ A new officer emerged, not to fix the past, but to escalate it.

6+ **"2x Housing Possession Orders, 2x Injunctions, Mental-Health Referrals All Frauded"** so I could not technically win each Case or Court Application one a new layer of pressure.

7+ I have now become aware that "**Sgt Smith"** is the head police skipper at wood green police station and manages North London Borough Command.

8+ Sgt Smith abuses his position to help the police before him and others like council and NHS try get away with what I caught and complain about them all doing to me illegally all the time!

9+ Sgt Smith has been honest in his entries into police recorded detention logs for his reason of victimising me as he continues to record the reason for being that I once stated to him that: "I am making an insurance claim against the police Council as well as Mental Health Workers wrongful actions against me. "**Sgt Smith"** has done added this reason for me being mentally unwell in more than one cases Custody record that he and his colleagues have all wrongfully brought against me Sgt Smith has wrote mental health reasons of the same kind against me in the case files when what he states is unfair to state as it is maliciously developed by himself as making an insurance claim with the evidence I hold and support is not a reason for mental health and supported by any medical evidence or my say so! Sgt Smith reason for formal documenting such health issues are again fabricated by himself.

10+ The cases "**Sgt Smith"** and his teams have prior lost are well documented in my Acro Report, and Sgt Smith Knows I am complaining about all there illegal actions in those proceedings, It is said that Sgt Smith & Other Police officers worked in joint circular with the Enfield Council and doctors and maliciously processed me with fabricated police arrests and claims brought before the Edmonton lower Court and magistrates courts that they lost. It is also that they fabricated an Asbo order through and with the help of the Highbury and Islington Magistrates Court that they all knew that they ought to not and due to this the total amount of offence processed and lost exceed the number of "**80 Cases**."

11+ This means that all the latest no further action since Edmonton custody shut down under Jane Johnson Control are under his control that I claiming about. The PNC and Acro System files in my Name prove this: Since the Asbo.

12+ I can Prove an illegal Criminal Offence charge swap and wrongful reuse of a no further actioned Police Urn number such as "**Case 1 URN: 01YE1267925, Dated the 02/08/2025"** has created a chain reaction that led to wrongful tagging and due to this I was later wrongful arrest for a breach of bail that I also never committed on "**Case 2 URN: 01YE1300125, 26/08/2025**," when around the number of eight police officers attended my bail address and arrested me when I believe it was unnecessary.

b) It obvious that the system designed to protect the public is not correcting itself. As it is too busy illegally **protecting itself**.

4. Summery Contradictions: ASBO Fallout and

- **Title: "Institutional Containment, Command Exit,"**

a) **ASBO Origin**: Signed by Jane Johnson, Enfield Borough Commander (2014)

b) **Folder Mishandling**:

1+ Left Outside Simon's Block

2+ Recovered By Mother, Handed To Edmonton Police

3+ Receipt Issued

4+ Folder Later Declared Missing After Prior Fire And Theft In Edmonton Police Stations Property Room

c) **Simon's Response**:

1+ Contacted Met Bow, Lambeth, Hendon

2+ Messages Recorded And Emailed To Jane Johnson

d) **Command Reshuffle**:

1+ Jane Johnson Reassigned To Westminster

2+ Edmonton Custody Operations Collapse

e) **Wood Green BCU Escalation**:

1+ Wood Green BCU takes over New skipper initiates:
   01. Two Possession Orders
   02. Two Injunction Orders
   03. Mental Health Referrals
   04. New Case Constructions

f) **Implication**:
   1+ Evidential collapse of ASBO enforcement
   2+ Strategic reassignment to avoid accountability
   3+ Procedural escalation to suppress rebuttal and reframe Simon's legal status
      01. Pattern of containment and escalation
      02. Shift from procedural enforcement to reputational and psychological suppression
      03. Evidence of institutional reshuffling to avoid accountability

g) **Existential Commentary:** When I challenged the police and Government systems with truth, they did not correct themselves fairly within the Scope of the law as instead they as acting official persons all together in joint circular reshaped their crimes through misconduct to avoid accountability. The Borough Commander, Chief Superintendent Jane Johnson, signed my ASBO and remained in her position just long enough to ignore every complaint made by me and on my behalf by other persons and all just before she disappearing into Westminster.

h) Under her command, the Edmonton custody and property room was burned down by police officers, a fire widely understood in the community as a way to erase the evidence of repeated thefts and mishandling by officers. The failures were documented in the press. After the fire, the ASBO bundle was stolen from the Edmonton property room while under her control, conveniently removing the very documents that exposed what the police officers had written inside of it. Only luck preserved copies. The ASBO folder vanished, the record shifted, and the structure around me rearranged itself once again. In her place came a new officer, not to resolve the failures of the past, but to escalate them into the future."

i) The ASBO was never lawfully served. It was discarded, left outside my block like rubbish. My mother recovered it, the station logged it as lost property, and then the file 'went missing' in a property room already known for thefts and a suspicious fire. Each disappearance, each reshuffle, each silence formed a pattern of "**Horrific Corruption**" and not of error, but deliberate forced actions to cause me unfair containment.

j) When I expose any fraud committed by staff, the system did not admit fault. It re-formed around me. A new officer emerged, not to investigate, but to rewrite. Possession orders, injunctions, mental-health referrals and new fabricated criminal cases while none were grounded in evidence, all designed to distort my legal status and erase the truth I carried. Every time I pushed back, the machinery tightened. Every time I documented the wrongdoing, the system shifted shape again.

k) This is the existential reality I have lived inside: a structure that collapses around its own misconduct, then rebuilds itself in a way that keeps me trapped inside of it dirty debris."

5. Events of 26/08/2025: --

a) Police attended my Bail Address claiming a "**Breach Of GPS Tag"** and this was even though I proved I have never legally been arrested for the Criminal charge the tag was linked to and therefore should not have been on tag from the start. As for the Alleged Breach of Tag Itself I never committed it and a "**No Further Actioned Case**" was the final Outcome.

b) Officers forced entry into my bail Address just days after prior doing the same mistake and arrested me for an alleged breach of tagging bail conditions and now both dates are logged as Exhibited, as well as another no further actioned alleged breach of bail that has occurred since and these dates are as follows:

   1+ **14/08/2025:** <Dir> 05.1. The 14-08-2025-GPS-Wrongfull-Arrest
   2+ **26/08/2025:** <Dir> 09.1. Case2-26-08-25-Assult-GPS-Wrongfull-Arrest

3+ **17/10/2025**: <Dir> 59.1. Arrested-Again-17-10-25-1325Hours

c) **I State That I Did Not Assault Any Police Officer And At No Point In Time Did I Have Any Intentions To Do So On The 26/08/2025.**
d) After being wrongly accused I asked the police officer to check his **body-worn video (BWV)** because I knew that it would show no assault on my behalf, this happened while in the police car on the way to the police station and in the police station while being booked in!
e) When I arrived at custody, the police officer "**Was Booking Me Into The Wood Green Police For Breach Of Bail,**" and I asked him if he was booking me in for the assault allegation as it did not happen and in fact they assaulted me and that is why he lied and reversed the truth as the body cameras will show. I strengthened what I said about the camera showing the truth and that I will obtain it by telling him the legal procedure needed to obtain it.
f) I believe due to our conversation he openly said in the custody room that he was not sure if the offence took place and would need to review the body worn footage himself to be sure, as no assault occurred and he then openly said he was not sure if an assault on himself did occur while booking me into the police station!
g) **The Arresting PC, Who Thought He Got Assaulted Said He Would Check BWV First**: and only then decide whether to arrest me for assault.
h) I was then placed directly into a cell and **"Denied A Solicitor."**
i) I was kept for hours, unfairly.
j) Later, a sergeant entered the cell with my father acting as an **"Appropriate Adult,"** even though he is also a "**Witness To The Incident.**"
k) I was "**Charged With Assault And Breach Of Bail,**" while in the cell and not taken out and despite:
   1+ No Interview
   2+ No Booking-In For The Assault
   3+ No Solicitor
   4+ No BWV Shown To You
   5+ No Medical/FME Notes
l) I was taken straight to court the next morning.

6. My Account of the Arrest: --

- **The Arrest That Wasn't an Investigation**
  1+ A police caution may have been given but I believed the arresting police officer given word to myself as he booked me in and explained he was not sure if an assault took place and would need to review police BWF to be sure and that he would conclude that with me latter if necessary, I have yet to be shown any evidence of the kind and am 100 percent sure it does not exist and that I was therefore never booked into the police station for this offence.
  2+ No interview was conducted.
  3+ No evidence was reviewed.
  4+ No explanation of any assault allegation was provided.
  5+ No Solicitor was allowed to be present!
  6+ I was Not Allowed to consult with my Appropriate Adult alone!

7. The Forced Entry for a Crime or Offence That Never Happened

a) **On 26 August 2025, Eight Metropolitan Police Officers**: descended on my bail address as if responding to a high-risk, violent incident. They arrived as a coordinated tactical unit, despite the allegation being nothing more than a supposed breach of electronic tag that I was innocent for an allegation that **"Carried No Risk Markers, No Violence Indicators, And No Justification For Such A Deployment."**
b) I was in the front room working on the defence for the **02/08/2025** case on my laptop when I noticed movement outside the window. When I looked up, I saw uniformed officers surrounding the property. At the same moment, the front door was struck repeatedly by the police officer aloud, while forcefully,

and aggressively.

c) My father, who was downstairs, went toward the door. I warned him immediately: "It's going to be about the tag." I reminded him that he had personally spoken to the "**Serco Tagging"** staff days earlier when they attended to replace the faulty charger. Those staff confirmed directly to him that I had done "**Nothing Wrong**." They saw the fault themselves. They confirmed it was not my error.

d) Before my father reached the door, I shouted to the officers, asking why they were there. A voice shouted back: "**Open The Door Now!**"

e) I recognised the police officers aggressive tone, desperate for an arrest set out with a tone of urgency, hostile, and felt the other police officers already escalating around his desire.

f) I told my father again: "Don't open it. Speak through the door first." But the officers continued shouting: "**Just Open The Door!**" "**Open It Now Or We'll Kick It Down!**" Their attitude was aggressive from the outset. They were not there to investigate. They were there to force an outcome.

g) I handed my father the Serco documents they had given me and what proves that the tag fault was not my own fault, but due to a broken charger that they were contacted about in good time.

h) My father still opened the door slightly to speak to the police officers, intending to show them the evidence. Before he could explain anything, the lead officer placed his foot inside the doorway to prevent it from closing again. From that moment, the officers treated the situation as if force was authorised, even though the evidence in my father's hand proved the allegation was false. They refused to listen. They refused to assess the documents. They refused to explain their grounds. Their priority was not truth or fairness it was access to arrest me no matter what of the circumstances.

i) I proved my innocence for me not to be arrested, the police officers refused to be fair and just wanted to get their hands on me to arrest me! The wrongfully executed forced entry continued here by the police officers as the refused to listen to fair reason or manage to explain themselves clearly.

j) I had prior told my father to keep the door closed and speak through it, because I knew from past experience what would happen if they got inside. He didn't believe they would act unlawfully. He tried to reason with them, holding the door partially closed while explaining the situation. I stood behind him, deliberately out of reach, allowing him to mediate.

k) The officers were not interested in dialogue. When my father asked for the reason for their attendance, dismissed him outright and by being rude, abrupt, and hostile.

l) **The Police Officers All Ignored The Fact That I Am Flagged As Requiring An Appropriate Adult**: They ignored the mandatory safeguards, by side stepping them away. They ignored every procedural requirement that applies when dealing with a vulnerable adult and by doing so they intentionally made matters worse, my disabilities are flagged in every police system, and it's mandatory for officers to assess this intel in any meeting before executing a planned warrant.

- **1+** No Care Plan.
- **2+** No Assessment.
- **3+** No Safeguarding.
- **4+** Just Force.

m) Yet the Police officers on duty ignored these safeguards entirely. Their conduct amounted to a "**Planned Operation Carried Out With Disregard For Policy**," and the physical contact that followed was later "**Reversed And Blamed On Me**," despite the reality of what occurred to me on the recorded Police Body Warn Footage!

- **1+** And all of this by the police officer in charge for a tag breach that never happened.
- **2+** A breach that both me and my father refuted immediately.
- **3+** A breach that Serco had already confirmed was "**Not My Fault**."

n) The police operation was a risk assessment gone wrong and demonstrates gross misconduct with intent to obscure the Law!

o) **It Is True To State That:** I had just been in Wood Green Police Station only days earlier, on "**14 August 2025**," dealing with a similar false claim of breach of tag when I had been wrongfully arrested

for the same false allegation. "**The police, CPS, courts, and Serco"** had all failed to coordinate with one and other and I suffered the consequences. I was detained despite providing evidence at every stage. Badge numbers, names, call logs, Serco confirmations the police officer all ignored.

p) **On 26 August, We Said It Again**: "**This is provable. Right here. Right now.**" But the officers refused to look at anything. I explained calmly that Serco had attended three times. The tag had been replaced the night before due to a fault. Serco confirmed it was not my error. They gave me reference numbers specifically to show police if there were any issues. I presented those references. The lead officer refused to acknowledge them. His position was fixed. His intent was predetermined, I was to be arrested!

q) **Then Came The Shove From The Police Officer And Due To This The Situation Again Escalated**: The lead officer pushed past my father, who was still trying to speak, still trying to show evidence. The officer ignored him and forcefully barged his way inside the private home as he and the other police officer behind him turned the situation into a show of authority. Their tone was hostile. Their presence was overwhelming. Their entry was ruthless & forceful and all along unjustified. What began as verbal intimidation quickly became physical. They were "**Heavy-Handed with My Father, A Working Man**" simply trying to resolve confusion. Then they turned on me.

r) I was treated as if I were a violent threat, despite having "**No Violent History, No Warning Markers, And 25 Years Without Trouble.**" The force used was not proportionate. It was not lawful. It was not necessary. It was a deliberate breach of police values and standards and was a misuse of police powers and resources it was designed to silence, not investigate.

- **The Moment of Brutal Police Impact: A Tactical Retreat by Me then Met with Police Brutality**

a) I saw it coming. The lead officer being well over aggressive, his towering size to me and my father, and his visibly intent on confrontation, he was pushing past my father, who was still trying to hold the door closed and reason with them. I had warned him: *"**Don't Open the Door. Speak Through It. They'll Twist This.**"* But he didn't believe they'd act unlawfully. He tried to mediate, arm braced against the frame, but the officer leaned over him ignoring his evade of these actions, the police officer then lunched out "**Crushing My Father's Restraint"** as he attempted to reach out to grab me, but I was too far!

b) I turned my back before he breached the threshold. I retreated down the corridor and into the front room and not to flee, but to "**Slow the Process Down**," I did not want to be brutally hurt, and I knew I had no other option but to give space for the police officer resolution, but he never calmed down. I didn't run to the kitchen. I didn't escape through the garden. I stood my ground, facing the sofa against the wall, boxed in but composed. I had the truth. I had the evidence. I had the winning cards, and I wasn't foolish enough to jeopardize them. Then came the grab.

a) **The Officer Seized My Right Arm, Yanking It Behind My Back**: A second officer, "**Black in Appearance"** quickly joined in, locking down my "**Left Arm**." Together, they "**Forced Me Face-First into The Sofa,**" using two pairs of handcuffs crushing me into the cushions. I shouted: *"**I've Just Had a Major Operation So, Please Be Careful!"*** But my plea was ignored. The first officer's handcuffs left "**Deep Bruises Across My Wrists**." No restraint. No care. Just pain. With my arms pinned behind me, "**It Was Physically Impossible for Me to Have Assaulted Anyone.**" Yet they accused me of exactly that.

b) I was stood up again by the officers but still heavily restrained and one pair of handcuffs were swapped for another pair, for some unknown reason! I tried to speak while in pain calmly, and clearly but the pain they caused me made me shout aloud please stop this is illegal treatment as I explained that their use of force was unnecessary from the outset. "**But Every Time I Made Sense, The Officer**

Holding My Right Arm Tightened His Grip, Inflicting More Deliberate Pain." He pretended I was resisting arrest every time I spoke aloud. I made sure my words were "**Recorded on His Bodycam Mindless of The Pain He Was Inflicting to Me, Showcasing His Illegal and Unmoral Actions on The Other Police Officers Body Cams, His, And the Seven Others in The Room All Together Documenting Every Little Bit of Police Abuse, Every Denial of Evidence, Every Refusal to Engage, fairly!"**

c) **I noticed that the Serco Paperwork Was Left Out on the Side for Them to Still Read & Pointed to it while referring to it**: and they as the police officers in charge all refused to pick it up and then refused to bring it with us. I told the lead officer: *"**You'll Lose Your Job for This.**"* He replied: *"**I Don't Care. I Don't Care About This Job.**"* Over and over, he repeated it, I even said aloud to him how can you wear that "**Police Badge on Your Chest but Have No Regard for What It Meant**." And can remember repeating the quote with amendments as follows: *"**How Can You Wear That Uniform and Go to Work Knowing You Don't Care About the Job Like You Keep Saying and Not Care About the People You're Meant to Protect and The Way, You're Treating Them?"***

d) And then the silence. The room shifted. The other officers looked around. The weight of what had just happened and what we had just said all "**Sank In,**" as reality hit them as there adrenalin started to slow down as towards all of what they had just done wrong to me!

e) Still, this made things worse as the lead officer next accused me of assault to cover up the truth even aloe it's all caught on camera. I said: *"**This Is a Lie. A Dirty Cover-Up. You Won't Get Away with It,**"* as he stared at me like he could get away with making up an assault charge that never happened, and this reaction of his next made me challenge his look and state*: "**Surely Not with Eight BWF Cameras Recording."***

1+ No Memorable Caution Was Given.
2+ No Interview Was Conducted.
3+ No Evidence Was Reviewed.
4+ No Explanation Of Any Assault Allegation Was Provided.
5+ No Solicitor Was Allowed To Be Present!
6+ I Was Not Allowed To Consult With My Appropriate Adult Alone!

- **The Setup Continued Behind the Police Station Walls, An Illegal Pattern Too Precise to Be Coincidence**

a) **Dragged Back Into Wood Green Police Station On 26/08/2025**: the same building, the same corridors, and "**The Same Wood Green Police Station Sgt Smith,"** surrounded by whichever police officers he chose to act as custody staff. All of this happened while he continued to avoid addressing my complaints about him and his team. He positioned himself just behind them, quietly directing the process in a way that prevented me from understanding or challenging his own involvement, despite the clear "**Conflict Of Interest** Under The **1998 Act**," which he repeatedly attempted to sidestep.

b) This is the same "**Head Police Sergeant"** who had already mishandled my cases and, on multiple occasions, acted with deliberate intent to deprive me of my legal rights. The most recent incidents were on "**03–04/08/2025**, **14/08/2025**, **26/08/2025**, And **17/10/2025**."

c) These are only the latest examples of the pattern carried out by "**Sgt Smith & Co**" as there are many more before these, all documented in the following file:

1+ <Dir> 06. New Combined File 09-01-26

d) **Sgt Smith Is the Police Officer Who Keeps Fabricating Cases to Set Me Up**: and is the person who on the **03_04/08/2025**, recorded and initiated the illegally Swapped Criminal charge and further reuse of a No Further Actioned Police URN Number to trigger the "**Illegal Placement of A GPS Tag on My Leg At The Moment"** and with his intentional misuse of **"The Courts**," "**Police**" and **"The CPS**

Services" and also, without any fair reason and legal process, basically without any lawful justification. And now, here he was again, standing ready to process me like clockwork. But this wasn't déjà vu, this was "**Further Police Targeted Repetition, Against Me!**"

e) **Sargent Smith** was the first person present from inside the police station to approach me as he did do so, in the police holding cell, before organising for me to be booked into Police Custody by another member of staff due to my prior complaints about him. Next "**Sargent Smith"** said, almost performatively, *"**I Won't Be Booking You in This Time.**"* He knew I'd been raising concerns about him and with himself. So instead, I was booked in by a "**Detention Officer in A Blue Shirt"** and not your normal "**Police Custody Officer."** I assumed this meant adherent in "**The Equal Right Act 2010,"** being adhered towards so my "**Human Rights Would No Longer Be Breached Again**," meaning that my "**Legal Rights"** would be complied with while in police custody, but I was wrong to believe in this as no officers was present to ensure my fairness.

f) After booking me into police Custody, "**The Police Custody Officer / Detention Monitoring Officer in the Blue Shirt,"** took me to a cell to be placed into and then he offered me water, food, as well as basic necessities. I asked him directly: *"**Is This Normal Practice? Do Detention Officers Have the Legal Authority to Book Someone In?**"*

g) He said yes but only "**If A Custody Sgt Officer Is Overseeing the Process**," and that's when it hit me. The custody officer "**Sargent Smith"** who claimed he wouldn't be involved was misleading me as a vulnerable person! I realised "**He Was the Boss and Right There Present and In Control of the Complete Wood Green Police Station and its Staff, All Along!"** and as I remembered more, I remembered that **Sargent Smith** was seated at the desk beside the booking station that I was placed into be booked in. No one in front of him. Just him, typing away. Quiet. Calculating everything that was going on. I remembered that I had looked at him and said: *"**What Are You Doing Modifying the Notes to Cover Your Tracks?**"* He denied it, sighting detachment, as he pretended that he had nothing to do with the situation. But I saw it. I saw the choreography. He wasn't absent, he was "**Orchestrating Another Crime to Set Me Up!**" what is this case: **"2"** that is dated as the **26/08/2025**, so he could get away with it all.

h) **The Detention Officer Didn't Realise the Full History**: but I did. I saw the pattern. The same officer, the same setup, the same silent manipulation of records and procedure. I was then left in the Police cell alone and isolated from justice but not confused. I knew exactly what was happening even without a solicitor being allowed to be present or spoke to by me and I knew exactly who was behind it all and then again, at that moment another memory of **Sargent Smith** dealing with me hit me as a memory and I figured out more! **Sargent Smith** fabricated police official records before and allowed bailiffs into the police cells while he detained me to try and serve me one of the Housing possession orders illegally and all while fabricating Mental Health Records in my name in the same similar manner to these cases as he and his officers developed, other fabricated cases about me in the past. As it had hit me again! **"I Now Remember Where I Know the Sergeant From. He's The One Who Orchestrated the Setup Back in May 2021, Documented in My Diary Entry**:

1+ *New Parts 30-06-21 Need to Put in Diary (Auto Recovered).Docx*."

i) That earlier incident wasn't just misconduct it was "**Premeditated Sabotage by A Police Officer and his Sargent!**" My solicitor had obtained "**Internal Police RIO Notes,"** notes that had been "**Fabricated**," by "**Sargent Smith himself & Co!"**

j) **Sergeant Smith Had "Falsely Flagged Me as Mentally Unfit & Unwell of Mind:** by lying and illegally "**Using the Mental Health Systems as A Weapon, of Choice, through the Police Internal Systems!**" He knew those systems could be amended internally, without oversight. He used them to "**Illegally Block My Right to An Interview**," and to "**Create Manipulative Mental Health Diagnosis**" that are not true despite other custody officers confirming I was "**Fit and Ready to Be Questioned**," as well as the "**Police FME Doctor**" also confirming I was "**Fit and Ready to Be Questioned**" and that "**I Had No Mental Health Issues Diagnosis!**"

k) And why?

l) Because I had filed an "**Insurance Claim Against the Police**." That was the motive. That was the

trigger. "**Sergeant Smith Admitted It Openly in the Police Custody Notes, Each Time**: he "**Altered My Records to Obstruct My Legal Rights**" because I dared to hold them accountable:

1+ Since Then, It's Been Escalation After Escalation.
2+ False Charges.
3+ Fabricated Breaches.
4+ Heavy-Handed Arrests.
5+ More Fake Mental Health Records Wrote by "**Sergeant Smith"** and His Colleagues as in the **02_03_04/08/2025** Police Custody Records as Well!

m) And now on the **26/08/2025**, even more encounters with "**The Same Police Officer, Sgt Smith**" who continues to "**Illegally Target Me**," manipulate custody procedures, and engineer setups designed to break me. But I'm not broken. I'm documenting everything and this time, the police setup has fallen apart under the weight of its own contradictions.

n) At the bail address, there was "**No Memorable Caution**, **No Explanation of Evidence**," and "**No Lawful Process**." Just a blunt accusation and a ride to the Wood Green Police Station and then off to the Courts.

o) Back in custody on the "**26/08/2025"** the officer who claimed I assaulted him never returned to complete the charge. Instead, "**The Arresting Police Officer Reviewed His Police Bodycam Footage Privately, To Check If the Assault Really Happened!"** and I was "**Held For 18 Hours Without Access to A Solicitor.**" Eventually, my father was brought into the cell, and I was told I'd be charged with breach of tag and assault of a police officer despite no interview, no caution, and no evidence.

- **Court Without Evidence**

a) At court, the situation unravelled further:

1+ The courts and the "**Prosecutor Were Fair in One Hand and Less in The Other"** the "**Prosecutor Agreed for the Tagging Charges to be Withdrawn and This Was the Final Order Granted by the Judges. The Prosecuting Defence Team Admitted"** that "**No Case Files Were Available for the Assault Charge.**"
2+ The "**Judge Acknowledged"** that the police had **"Deferred the Assault Charge to the Next Day**," despite having already detained me and charged me.
3+ I was sent back down to the cells at the "**Judges Orders and Told That I Will Be Sent Back to the Police Station Due To Insufficient Evidence.**" This meant that my father who also attended the court was left to leave and go back home and in real time this all happened at "**4:00 PM**," just before court closure at "**4:30 PM**," I was left backdown in the courts cells and then called back up to the same judges.
4+ One of the Judges stated that I would be Bailed to go back home and instead ordered for me to "**Return Back to Court the Very Next Morning as Ordered to Do So**" at "**9:30 AM and under the same Tagging Bail Conditions**," as there was no time for the courts or police to return me back to the police station before the courthouse closed! The Judge was very clear about the importance of me listening to this order, I obviously agreed and thanked the Judges in a polite manner while doing so.

b) The CPS Delay in Evidence and Early Case Deferral Violated Multiple Legal Standards.

- **Breach of MG05 Offence Report Protocol**

a) The **MG05 Offence Report** Is a Mandatory: form under "**The Manual of Guidance,**" required for any first hearing at a magistrates' court. It must:

1+ **Detail The Case Facts**
2+ **Form The Basis of The Prosecution**
3+ **Be Completed Before the First Hearing**
4+ **Be Disclosed to the Defence as Part of Advanced Information!**

b) **Home Office Guidance (Published 26 February 2025)**:
"**Complete [MG05] Before the First Hearing So That You Can Give It to The Defence as Part of the Advanced Information They Are Entitled to Before the First Hearing."**

c) **None of this was done. The absence of the MG05 meant:**
1+ Criminal casefiles - forms, standards, and file structure

Exhibit:

Form Or Standard Name	Manual Of Guidance Reference	Purpose And When to Use
Offence Report (sometimes called a Police Report) MG05 Use this form to give details of the case for the first court hearing at a magistrate's court because:	Offence Report (sometimes called a Police Report) MG05 Use this form to give details of the case for the first court hearing at a magistrate's court because:	Offence Report (sometimes called a Police Report) **MG05** Use this form to give details of the case for the first court hearing at a magistrate's court because: 1+ it forms the basis of the prosecution case if there is a guilty plea 2+ it includes any orders on conviction **Complete It Before the First Hearing**: so that you can give it to the defence as part of the advanced information they are entitled to before the first hearing.

- **Procedural Breaches and Legal Implications**

Legal Expectation	What Actually Happened
Caution on arrest	No caution given
Interview under PACE	No interview conducted
Disclosure of evidence	No bodycam, no statements
Right to legal advice	No solicitor present
MG05 Offence Report	Not completed or submitted
Court preparation	No paperwork disclosed to defence

a) **Under PACE Code C**: any suspect must be cautioned before questioning. "**Under CPIA 1996 And Attorney General's Guidelines on Disclosure,**" evidence must be disclosed before court. Under the "**Director's Guidance on Charging**," CPS cannot proceed without a complete file.

8. **Officer Uncertainty: --**
a) During transport, I asked the arresting officer whether I was being accused of assault. He replied he was "**Not Sure**" and would "**Need To Review His BWV**."
b) The officer who later claimed assault did not return to complete the charge. Instead, he reviewed BWV privately. I was held for 18 hours without access to a solicitor.

9. **Custody and Court Handling: --**
a) At Wood Green Police Station, I was processed by the same custody officer who had previously mishandled my tag fitting and arrest procedures on "**04/08/25"** and "**14/08/25**."
b) At court:
1+ The Prosecutor Stated No Case File Was Available For The Assault.
2+ The Judge Noted The Charge Had Been Deferred Despite Detention.

3+ I Was Returned To The Cells And Recalled "**At 4:00 PM.**"
4+ The Judge Stated I Would Be Brought Back The Next Morning Due To Time Constraints.

c) No MG05 Offence Report was provided.
d) No advanced information was disclosed.
e) No Police BWF has been disclosed as working!
f) This denied me the ability to understand or respond to the allegation.

10. The Pattern of Neglect

a) **This Wasn't a One-Off. It Was a Systemic Failure**:
1+ The Same Custody Officer Mishandled My Case On "**04/08/25**, **14/08/25**," And "**26/08/25**," Sgt Smith & Co!
2+ The Same Shortcuts Were Taken by Police Officers as There Was No Caution, No Interview, No Evidence.
3+ The Same Disregard for Due Process Was Repeated.

b) **Even The GPS Tag Breach Was Based on Misinformation:**
1+ **On 14/08/25**: I called Serco to report the charger missing as it was likely taken by police.
2+ **On 17/08/25**: I received a note through the door at "**21:29**," called Serco at "**22:04**," and was told I'd done nothing wrong.
3+ **On 20/08/25**: Serco delivered a new charger.
4+ **On 25/08/25**: Serco replaced the tag due to charging faults.

c) **All Of This Is Documented in My Website and Defence Files**: calls, timestamps, custody logs, and court transcripts. If they won't document the truth, I will. You can find the evidence at
1+ horrificcorruption.com.

d) **I Stayed Up For As Late As I Could Working On These Court Files And Had Found The:**
1+ Criminal casefiles - forms, standards, and file structure and new I was innocent.

11. Going to Court On The 28/08/2025, at 9:30 AM the next morning as the Judge the Day Before Ordered!

a) The night before court, I barely slept. I got in late again after another round of chaos with the police and the courts. My GPS tag had gone flat from all the disruption, so I plugged it in and charged it as much as I could. I knew they'd try to twist even that against me.
b) As while at court on the "**28/08/25"** I had walked into the courtroom, stood before the judge and felt the tag "**Vibrate And Shut Off"** mid-hearing. It had died. Right there. But I couldn't say a word. Court protocol doesn't allow interruptions for things like that. So I stood silent, knowing full well they'd try to use it as another breach.
c) By the time I had got home and in the "**28/08/25"** earily night, I was running on fumes, while charging my tag and working on the case files froom my Bail adress.
d) I had stayed up as late as I could, working through every file, every document, every contradiction and then "**I Found More Evidence I Needed Not to be Buried In The Evidence Trail:**

12. Criminal Casefiles – Forms, Standards, and File Structure


a) It was the blueprint. The official framework that proved they hadn't followed procedure. That the "**Mg05 Offence Report**," which should have been completed and handed to my defence before the first hearing, "**Was Missing.**" That the "**MG06 Disclosure Forms**," which should have outlined sensitive and non-sensitive material, "**Had Never Been Submitted.**" That the "**Director's Guidance On Charging**," the "**Attorney General's Guidelines On Disclosure,"** and "The **National File Standards,"** all of them had been ignored and in that moment, I knew:
1+ **I am Innocent.**


2+ Not Just Morally.
3+ Not Just Factually.
4+ But Legally And By Their Own Standards.

b) They hadn't built a case.
c) They'd built a collapse.
d) And I was standing in the middle of it all, holding the proof.
e) I arrived at the court earliy files pen and notebook all in hand. The court doors wernt even open to get into the building but I waited.

13. GPS Tag Context: --

a) Police attendance was based on an alleged GPS tag breach.
However:
1+ **On 14/08/25** I reported the charger missing.
2+ **On 17/08/25** I called Serco at 22:04 and was told I'd done nothing wrong.
3+ **On 20/08/25** Serco delivered a new charger.
4+ **On 25/08/25** Serco replaced the tag due to charging faults.





b) This undermines the basis for the arrest and the substituted charge.

14. **Pattern of Procedural Failures: --**
    a) The Same Custody Officer Sgt Smith Processed Me On **04/08/2025, 14/08/2025**, And **26/08/2025**.
    b) Each Time, There Were Failures In Cautioning, Interviewing, Legal Advice, And Disclosure.
    c) This Pattern Raises Serious Concerns About Procedural Integrity.

15. **Missing Evidence From the prosecution documents provided to me for Case 2 (URN: 01YE1300125 – 26/08/2025) : --**
    a) **The Following Material Has Not Been Disclosed**:
        1+ BWV From All Attending Officers
        2+ Injury Notes For The Officer
        3+ Injury Notes For Myself
        4+ Any No FME Notes
        5+ Use-Of-Force Forms

6+ A Custody Record
7+ Contemporaneous Notebook Entries
8+ Internal Communications
9+ MG05 Offence Report
10+ CCTV Or Custody-Suite Footage
11+ Risk Assessments
12+ Disclosure Officer Certification
13+ MG6 Unused Material Schedules
14+ A Reason for No interview being necessary.
15+ Any Exhibits that prove guilt!
16+ Charge was authorised the next day (27/08/2025 at 13:35)
17+ No case ration folder
18+ No Clear Description of What Happened

b) Only two MG11 statements have been provided out of the eight officers who attended. These two statements directly contradict each other: one officer claims the alleged assault occurred in the doorway, while the other states it occurred in the front room. Both accounts cannot be true, and this inconsistency goes to the heart of the allegation.
c) Furthermore, all eight officers were equipped with body-worn cameras, and the footage is listed as only Two Exhibits, disclosed and both are of a nonviewable material as the video footage for each exhibit was not provided, yet all of "**The Police Body-Worn Footage Is The Primary Evidence In Any Allegation Under Section 38 Of The Offences Against The Person Act 1861,"** as they objectively records the polices interaction with me and other members of the public and also while recording: the location, and whether any resistance or assault occurred. Without this footage, and with contradictory witness and victim accounts, the evidential stage of the "**Full Code Test**" cannot be met to be in the interest of the public or justice itself and therefore this court case should not proceed to exist."

d) **These Omissions Prevent The Defence From Testing The Reliability Of The Allegation, As I Believe These Exhibits Would Show**:
1+ Procedural Irregularities
2+ Missing Mandatory Records
3+ Contradictions In Evidence
4+ Lack Of Opportunity To Give Your Account
5+ Failure To Follow Normal Custody Processes
6+ No Members of the public present

16. Conclusion: --
a) I deny the allegation.
b) The prosecution has not provided the evidence required to support the charge.
1+ I request full disclosure of the listed material and ask the court to consider the fairness of admitting any evidence under Section 78 PACE.
2+ Even if the custody record shows I was booked in for both offences, the police still failed to provide any evidence to support the assault allegation. There is no BWV, no injury notes, no FME, no use of force forms, and the officer himself said he was not sure whether an assault happened. I was denied a solicitor, not interviewed, and taken straight to a cell and the undisclosed custody Detention record alone cannot replace the missing evidence.

- **P.S.**
a) Case 2 is neither in the public interest nor in the interests of justice to continue.
1+ **On 02 October 2025**: I formally raised concerns with Mr. Neil Allan, a Magistrates' Court Supervisor, that Case 2 did not meet the CPS public-interest requirements.

2+ At that time, I explained that the alleged breach of GPS bail conditions had already been confirmed as a Serco/Police system failure, and that the subsequent assault allegation arose only after officers realised the breach could not be sustained.

3+ I made clear that the evidential basis was weak, the circumstances were disproportionate, and the continuation of the case would not serve in the public's interest or nor of Justice!

4+ This concern was raised before any trial listing, and the issues I identified have since been confirmed by the Legal Aid Agency's findings, the absence of disclosure, and the procedural failures that followed, alongside with the police offices contradicting statements."

5+ Also, Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff till date of this defence statement has refused to reply to any correspondence as requested by us for them to do so!.

* **Case 2 URN: 01YE1300125, 26/08/2025:** Trial Date: 3rd February 2026

b) **Main Webpage Link to Folders:** <Dir> 09.1. Case2-26-08-25-Assult-GPS-Wrongfull-Arrest or [To Parent Directory]

1+ <**Dir**> 0. Original-Archive (2)

2+ <**Dir**> 01. Emails-Case2-26-08-25-Made-02-10-25-Assult or

3+ <**Dir**> 03. PNC-New-18-09-25

4+ <**Dir**> 04. Simons Defence Statements

01. Defence Statement 1 .pdf

02. Defence Statement for Officers Two Statements.docx

03. Defence Statement Legal Aid Empty.docx

04. Defence Statement sentencing guidelines.docx

5+ <**Dir**> 05. To Serve as Disclosed

01. Defendant's Application for Prosecution Disclosure-Eng.pdf

02. Application to Exclude Evidence Under Section 78 01YE1300125 - 26-08-2025.pdf

Kind Regards
Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.

(Signed) 

(On behalf of Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL) (Dated Signed) 15/01/2026

Signature witnessed by: