DEFENCE STATEMENT

(Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996, section 5 & 6; Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 (Defence Disclosure Time Limits) Regulations 2011; Criminal Procedure Rules, rule 15.4)

This Is My First Statement

OFFICIAL – SENSITIVE [when complete] MG11

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1930, s.5B

URN | | | | |

Statement of: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

Age if under 18: Over *(if over 18 insert 'over 18')* **Occupation**: News Reporter!

This statement **(Consisting 13 Of 13 Page{s)** all signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Dated: 15/01/2026

(Signed) 

(On behalf of Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL) **(Dated) 15/01/2026**

01. **DRAFT DEFENCE STATEMENT — CASE 2 (URN: 01YE1300125)**

* **Defendant:** Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
* **Address:** 109 Burncroft Avenue, Enfield, EN3 7JQ
* **Date of Incident:** 26 August 2025
* **Location:** Bail Address (as recorded)

02. **Statement of Facts**
a) I, **Mr. Simon Paul Cordell**, WILL SAY as follows:

03. **Background**
a) I am a secure tenant residing at [**109 Burncroft Avenue, Enfield EN3 7JQ**,] where I have lived since **2006**.
b) **On 26 August 2025**: I was arrested at my home address for a wrongly "**Alleged Breach Of GPS Bail Conditions And An Alleged Assault On A Police Officer.**"
c) I deny both allegations in full.

04. **I was Wrongly Arrest on 26/08/2025:**-- **Arrested Again for Alleged Breach of GPS Tagging Bail Conditions and Fabricated Assault Charge. Procedural Irregularities and Fabricated Allegation!**

a) **Eight Police Officers Attended My Address**: claiming a breach of GPS tagging conditions.
b) **No memorable police caution was given**, for the assault case, I was told that I was not booked into custody for it and therefore **no interview was conducted**: and the police officers also provided "**No Evidence Of Any Breach Of Bail As I Provided Evidence Of Good Reason Otherwise.**"
c) The alleged breach was later confirmed to be the result of "**A Failure Between Serco (The Monitoring Provider) And The Police**," what stayed a no-fault action on my part.
d) While in my home the attending police officers realised the alleged breach of bail allegation could not be sustained, and that they had assaulted me due to this, so they generated a second fabricated allegation of assault against a police officer to cover up the truth by falsefully stating that I had assaulted an officer by "**Touching Him On The Shoulder**" as I turned away, when I did not!
e) This allegation is a malicious attempt to cover up the assault against me that the police officers committed as recorded on their BWF and is an attempt to cover up the wrongful arrest for the breach of tag. At no point did I assault or intend to assault any person or police officer.
f) The police officers sequence of events demonstrates that the assault allegation was "**Fabricated To Justify An Unlawful Arrest**" once the original basis collapsed.

- **Supporting Evidence**:
  * **26/08/2025:** 09. Nova Chat about Case2-26-08-25.pdf
  * **<DIR>** 09.1. Case2-26-08-25-Assult-GPS-Wrongfull-Arrest

05. **Legal Aid :**-- **28/08/2025:**-- **Legal Aid Follow-Up: Due to Failures and Procedural Breakdown (28/08/2025 – 08/12/2025)**
    - **The Emai File Titled As:** ***"Received-28-08-2025.pdf,"*** confirms that Tuckers Solicitor Firm was still chasing documents that I had already sent to obtain legal aid for **Case 2** of the **26/08/2025**, This supports my claim of repeated procedural mishandling and unnecessary delay, while obstructed progress on my case.
      * **28/08/2025:** 10. Email Received-28-08-2025.pdf
      * **28/08/2025:** 10. Legal_Aid_Chasing_provision_of_proof_of_benefits-28-08-2025.docx
      * **28/08/2025:** 10. Legal_Aid_Chasing_provision_of_proof_of_benefits-28-08-2025.pdf

06. **01/09/2025: -- "Legal Aid Granted for Case 2 Of The 26/08/2025." Equalling to Fraudulent Representation Orders: --**
    1. A Fake Representation Order was issued by Tuckers Solicitor Firm for the Wrongly Alleged assault Case: However, **"No Representation Order"** was ever provided for **Case 2 Of The 02/08/2025,"** at this stage.
    2. I was informed that Legal Aid had been granted for Case 2 (**26/08/2025**).
    3. However, "**No Valid Representation Order (RO1)"** was ever issued for Case 1 (**02/08/2025**).
    4. Multiple documents provided by Tuckers were "**Not Genuine As They Are RO1 Certificates"** and not "**Self-Referral CRM14/CRM15 Forms As The RO Orders"** are being "**Misrepresented To Be!"**
    5. **Solicitors Cannot Issue RO Orders**: only the Legal Aid Agency can.
    6. The CPS Case Ratio folder was incomplete, with "**No Unused Material Disclosed**," despite evidence existing that supports my innocence.

- **Evidence**:
  01/09/2025: 13. Received-01-09-25.pdf
  01/09/2025: 14. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf
  01/09/2025: 15. Received-01-09-25.pdf
  01/09/2025: 16. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf
  01/09/2025: 17. Received-01-09-25.pdf
  01/09/2025: 18. Let - Client Legal Aid Granted - Devolved Powers - RO MC through to CC-01-09-25.docx
  01/09/2025: 18. Let - Client **Legal Aid Granted - Devolved Powers - RO MC through to CC**-01-09-25.pdf = A Fake Representation Order was issued by Tuckers Solicitor Firm

01/09/2025: 18. Received-01-09-25.pdf: = Fake Legal Aid RO Shared case 2 Alleged assault 26/08/25.
01/09/2025: 19. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf: = Email sent by me: Please confirm whether the legal aid granted also covers the 04/08/2025.
01/09/2025: 20. Received Attachments-01-09-25.zip
01/09/2025: 20. Received-01-09-25.pdf: = Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff Deliberately Swapped the offences charge is Threat to Damage or Destroy Property for the **02/8/2025**, case, when they knew the urn and there attendance at the police station was for a section 4a that got no further actioned.
<DIR> 20.1. Original-CPS-Case-Archive (1) 01-09-25
01/09/2025: 21. Received-01-09-25.pdf: = Dear Simon to clarify we have legal aid for the following cases; File COR10388/00001 – Case Reference 01YE1267925 - Trial 13/10/2025. File COR10388/00002 – Case Reference 01YE1300125 – Trial 11/11/2025
<DIR> 21.1. Received Attachments: Case 1 02.08.2025 case files and folder!
01/09/2025: 22. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf: = Email sent by me: I'll take some time to review the correspondence and documents in full,
01/09/2025: 23. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf: = Email sent by me: Request for Full Disclosure – Case of 04/08/2025
01/09/2025: 24. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf: **Legal Aid:** All the documents in the IDPC have been forwarded to you as separate documents.

07. **16/10/2025 — New Solicitor Firm Applies for Legal Aid**
    1. **On 16/10/2025**: 58. Sent-16-10-25 + 59. Received-16-10-25 "LEGAL Aid applied for by me with a New Solicitor Firm!"
    2. Due to failures by Tuckers, I applied for Legal Aid with a new firm.
    3. The transfer was repeatedly blocked by court inaction.

    - **Evidence:**
      58. Sent-16-10-25.pdf
      59. Received-16-10-25.pdf

08. **30/11/2025 – 05/12/2025 — Complaints and Discovery of Fake RO Orders and the Court Review of My Sent Attachments to Themselves!**
    1. On 30/11/2025: I Complaint About Legal Aid and Requested the Courts Reviewing of my Attachments = 73. Sent-30-11-25.pdf + 74. Received-30-11-25.pdf + 75. Received-30-11-25.pdf + 76. Received-30-11-25.pdf + 77. Received-30-11-25.pdf.
    2. I submitted a formal complaint regarding Legal Aid failures.
    3. On **01/12/2025**, two further "**Fake RO Orders"** were issued by Tuckers.
    4. On **04/12/2025** and **05/12/2025**, I contacted the Legal Aid Agency directly.
    5. On **08/12/2025**, the LAA confirmed they could find "**No Legal Aid Granted For Any Case In 2025,**" proving the RO documents supplied by Tuckers were not genuine.

    - **Evidence:**
      73. Sent-30-11-25.pdf
      74. Received-30-11-25.pdf
      75. Received-30-11-25.pdf
      76. Received-30-11-25.pdf
      77. Received-30-11-25.pdf

09. **01/12/2025 – Fake Representation Orders and Legal Aid Agency confirmation**
    1. On **01/12/2025**, I received two documents titled **"Cordell RO1"** and **"Cordell RO2,"** sent by Tuckers Solicitor Firm together with an **"Updated Application to Transfer Legal Aid signed Tuckers"**.
       1+ These were presented to me as genuine "**Representation Orders**."
       2+ In reality, they were not RO1 certificates issued by the Legal Aid Agency (LAA), but internal

documents created and signed by Tuckers' staff.

- **Evidence:**
  78. Received-01-12-25.pdf
  78. Received-Cordell RO1.pdf
  78. Received-Cordell RO2.pdf
  78. Received-Updated-Application to Transfer Legal Aid signed Tuckers (2).doc
  78. Received-Updated-Application to Transfer Legal Aid signed Tuckers (2).pdf
  79. Received-01-12-25.pdf

2. These fake RO documents followed earlier dates where Representation Orders were also falsely implied or misrepresented:
   1+ **28/08/2025:** chasing Legal Aid and benefits evidence when no valid RO existed.
   2+ **01/09/2025:** letters claiming Legal Aid "granted under devolved powers" without any actual RO1 from the LAA.
3. **Together, These Show A Pattern**: Tuckers repeatedly acted as if Legal Aid was in place when it was not.

10. **Official Correspondence Between Me And Legal Aid:**
    1. **On 04/12/2025:** I spoke directly to the "**Legal Aid Agency**," who asked for my "**Date Of Birth"** to locate my files.

    - **Evidence:**
      81. Received- 4 December 2025 at 1138.pdf

11. **More Official Correspondence Between Me And Legal Aid:**
    1. **On 05/12/2025:** after sending them the documents labelled as RO Orders, I explicitly reported that the "**Representation Orders Sent By "Tuckers Were Fraudulent"** and not genuine RO1 certificates from the LAA.

    - **Evidence:**
      – 82. Sent 05-12-25.pdf
      – 82. Sent 05-12-25_Fraudulent Representation Order_RO1 by Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff.docx/.pdf
      82. Sent 05-12-25.pdf
      82. Sent 05-12-25_Fraudulent Representation Order_RO1by Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff.docx
      82. Sent 05-12-25_Fraudulent Representation Order_RO1by Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff.pdf

12. **Even More Official Correspondence Between Me And Legal Aid:**
    1. **On 08/12/2025:** the "**Legal Aid Agency Confirmed In Writing"** That They Could Find "**No Legal Aid Granted For Any Of My Cases In 2025**."
    2. Email 83 proves confirmation that:
       1+ No RO1 Had Been Issued By The LAA.
       2+ Any "RO" Documents Produced By Tuckers Were Not Genuine Representation Orders.
       3+ I Had Been Misled Into Believing I Was Legally Represented When I Was Not.
       4+ Email Sent 84: Was me Replying to Legal Aid to say thank you!
    - **Evidence:**
      83. Received-Monday 8 December 2025 at 1016.pdf = On 08/12/2025: Legal Aid can find no legal aid granted for any case in 2025.
      84. Sent 08-12-25.pdf: = Thank you for taking the time to review my case and for providing a clear response.

13. **Email Sent To The Highbury & Islington Court House as Urgent:**

1. **On 09/12/2025:** I sent an urgent email regarding **Case 1 — URN: 01YE1267925** and the missing RO Order, highlighting that I still had no valid legal aid in place despite the upcoming trial.

- **Evidence:**
  85. Sent-09-11-25.pdf (Urgent: Case 1 – RO Order)

2. **This Sequence Shows, Beyond Dispute, That:**
   1+ Tuckers' staff "**Created And Circulated Documents Falsely Presented As Representation Orders**."
   2+ **The LAA Itself:** confirmed that "**No Legal Aid Had Been Granted For Any Case In 2025**."
   3+ I Was **Left Without Genuine Legal Representation:** while being told (on paper) that I was covered.
   4+ This deception directly obstructed my right to prepare a defence and to be properly represented at trial.

14. **11/12/2025 — McLartys Solicitors Confirm Systemic Failures**
    1. **Mc Lartys Provided A Full Chain Of Correspondence Showing**: They submitted "**Four Separate Legal Aid Transfer Requests**."
       1+ The court "**Failed To Respond To Any Of Them**."
       2+ Tuckers had "**Withdrawn From The Case And Refused To Act.**"
       3+ Without Legal Aid, McLartys had "**No Authority To Prepare Or Attend.**"
       4+ I was forced to write to the court personally.
       5+ Proceeding without representation would breach "**Widgery Criteria (Right To A Fair Trial).**"
       6+ They requested an adjournment if Legal Aid was not transferred immediately.

    - **Evidence:**
      86. Received-11-12-25.pdf = On 11/12/2025: Please find attached below my latest email regarding your case. McLartys Solicitors.

15. **12/12/2025: The Defending Team Made a Telephone Call to CPS To Confirm There Receipt of Our Disclosure of the Defence Files, Including Statements and Requests for Disclosure or Case Dismissal's**
    1. On 12/12/2025: Urgent: Case 1 — URN: 01YE1267925 Ro Order + 87. Recording CPS12-12-25.m4a Making Sure the CPS Received Disclosure

    - **Evidence:**
      87. Recording CPS12-12-25.m4a
      88. Sent-12-12-25.pdf

16. **Call Made to CPS To Confirm There Receipt of Our Disclosure of the Defence Files**
    1. On 15/12/2025: CPS Recording to Make Sure they Received our Disclosure as they told me to re confirm with themselves after the weekend was over!

    - **Evidence:**
      89. CPS Recording 15-12-25-0915.m4a

17. **The 16/12/2025 — Trial Date Compromised by Lack of Representation**
    1. I attended court for "**Case 1 of the 04/08/2025"** mainly but also for "**Case 2 of the 26/08/2025"** and presented the "**RO Orders**," documents I had been given by tuckers solicitor Firm and the Emails from the Legal Aid Agency who confirmed they were not authentic.
    2. After reviewing them with assistance, it became clear that the documents were "**Not Self-Referral Forms But Fake RO Orders"** certificates being "**Misrepresented As Self-Referral Forms."**

3. This misrepresentation prevented me from obtaining proper representation and obstructed my right to a fair trial.
    - **Who Creates a Representation Order**
        1+ **Solicitors' Role:** They can help you complete and sign the "**CRM14/CRM15 Application Forms"** (the "**Self-Referral**" paperwork). They then submit those forms to the Legal Aid Agency (LAA).
        2+ **Legal Aid Agency's Role:** Only the LAA can "**Process The Application"** and issue a "**Representation Order (Ro1 or Ro2).**" This is the official document granting legal aid.
    - **Key Point:** Solicitors cannot generate or print an RO themselves. They can only hold your signed "**CRM14/CRM15 until the LAA issues the RO!"**

- **Evidence:**
  10. The Second Trial Date.pdf For Case 1 of the 02/08/2025

- **Direct Download Links (Official GOV.UK)** (All links come from the government page returned in my search results.)
  1+ **CRM14 – Criminal Legal Aid Application Form,** PDF download (15 pages):
  https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/criminal-legal-aid-application-forms -- GOV.UK


  2+ **CRM15 – Financial Statement (supports CRM14),** PDF download (14 pages):
  https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/criminal-legal-aid-application-forms -- GOV.UK

  3+ **CRM15c – Continuation Sheet (if needed),** PDF download (6 pages):
  https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/criminal-legal-aid-application-forms -- GOV.UK

  4+ **Guidance Notes for CRM14/CRM15,** Explains how to complete the forms:
  https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/criminal-legal-aid-application-forms -- GOV.UK

  5+ **The Source Used:** My search results show the official GOV.UK publication page containing all CRM14/CRM15 forms and guidance GOV.UK.

4. What .GOV.UK states in there download page for the **CRM14/CRM15 Application Forms is as Exhibited:**



5. **Image 1: Gov.Uk Crm14/Crm15 Download Page**
   a) This is the "**Official Government Page For**:
      1+ **CRM14:** Criminal Legal Aid Application Form
      2+ **CRM15:** Financial Statement
      3+ **Guidance Notes:** for both
   b) **What Matters:**

1+ It proves that **only CRM14/CRM15 forms** are valid for self-referral.
2+ It confirms that **Representation Orders (RO1/RO2)** are issued **only by the Legal Aid Agency**, not by solicitors.
3+ It supports your claim that the documents you were given were **not self-referral forms**, but **fake RO certificates**.

6. **How This Supports Your Defence Statement**
   a) These images directly support your key points:
      1+ **Tuckers Created And Circulated Fake RO Documents**
      2+ **You Were Misled Into Believing You Had Legal Aid**
      3+ **The Court Failed To Act On Multiple Transfer Requests**
      4+ **You Were Left Unrepresented Through No Fault Of Your Own**
      5+ **Your Right To A Fair Trial Was Obstructed**
      6+ **The Documents Used To Justify Representation Were Not Genuine**

Legal Aid Agency

Legal Aid Agency
Crime Applications Team
23 Stephenson Street
Birmingham
B2 4BH

DX 13041
Birmingham 1

T : 0300 200 2020
E : BirminghamCAT@justice.gov.uk

Simon Cordell
280 Durant Road

EN3 7AZ

Date of Order : 28/08/2025
URN: 01YE1300125

Date of Birth: 26/01/1981

Court Name: Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court

Representation Order

In accordance with the Legal Aid Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012, you are granted legal aid for magistrates' court proceedings in relation to the offences listed below.

This order covers work by a litigator only (including advice and assistance regarding an appeal against conviction or sentence but excluding the actual appeal proceedings).

This order automatically extends if your case is or has been committed to the Crown Court for sentencing to cover work by a litigator and junior advocate in respect of the Crown Court proceedings. It does not, however, cover work in the Crown Court if your case is committed or sent there for trial. If this happens, a further application will need to be made, and this will be assessed by the Legal Aid Agency.

The litigator/Solicitor appointed is:

Chloe Birkhead
Tuckers Solicitors LLP
39 Warren Street,
London,
W1T 6AF

Tuckers Solicitors LLP- On behalf of the Director of Legal Aid Casework

Offences

Offence	Date
Assault with Intent to Resist or Prevent Arrest	26/08/2025

RO-DF (Version 4 – 29 July 2025) Page 2 of 2

---

7. **Image3 2 & 3: "Representation Order" Document**
   a) This document claims to be an official **Representation Order** issued by the Legal Aid Agency.

It includes:

1+ My name and address,
2+ **URN**: 01YE1300125
3+ **Offence**: Assault with Intent to Resist or Prevent Arrest
4+ **Date of Order**: 28/08/2025
5+ **Court**: Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court
6+ **Appointed solicitor**: Chloe Birkhead, Tuckers Solicitors LLP
7+ **Footer**: ROMG-DF (Version 4 – 29 July 2025)

b) **What Matters:**

1+ **It Looks Official:** but was "**Issued By Tuckers, Not The Legal Aid Agency,**" so is not!
2+ I've already shown that the "**LAA Confirmed No Legal Aid Was Granted For Any Case In 2025.**"
3+ Therefore, this document is "**Not A Genuine RO1"** — it's a frauded misrepresentation.

8. **Image 2: Offence Table**
   a) This is "**Page 2 Of The Same Representation Order**, Listing:

1+ **Offence:** Assault with Intent to Resist or Prevent Arrest
2+ **Date:** 26/08/2025
3+ Footer: **RO-DF (Version 4 – 29 July 2025)**

b) **What Matters:**

1+ It ties the fake RO directly to the **Section 38 charge**.
2+ It confirms that this document was used to **claim representation existed** for that charge.
3+ But again, the LAA confirmed **no RO1 was ever issued**, making this document **invalid**.

18. **The Present Position of Mc Lartys Solicitor Firm because of Legal Aid Being Refused due to Tuckers Solicitor Firms fraudulent RO Certificates that are not self-referral Form CRM14/CRM15!**
    1. **11/12/2025**

- **Indexed Weblinks:**
  86. Received-11-12-25.pdf

2. This document is the email I received on "**11 December 2025"** from "**Mc Lartys Solicitors**," forwarding their entire chain of correspondence with the "**Court, CPS, And Tuckers About My Legal Aid Transfer.**"

- **What Mc Lartys Told Me**
  a) They warned that unless Legal Aid is transferred immediately, there will not be enough time to prepare my defence for the "**16 December 2025 trial.**"
  b) They advised me to "**Take Copies of Their Emails to Court"** and ask the judge to adjourn the case and transfer Legal Aid on the spot if I still have no representation.

- **What Mc Lartys Told the Court & CPS**
  a) Across multiple emails (27 Oct → 10 Dec), McLartys repeatedly told the court:

1+ They had submitted "**Four Separate Requests"** to transfer Legal Aid.
2+ The court had "**Failed to Respond"** to any of them.
3+ **Tuckers Had Withdrawn and Were Refusing to Act.**
4+ Without Legal Aid, McLartys has "**No Legal Authority to Prepare or Attend.**"
5+ The defendant (me) was being forced to write to the court personally out of desperation.
6+ Continuing without representation would breach "**Widgery Criteria (Right to A Fair Trial).**"
7+ **They Requested an Adjournment:** if Legal Aid was not transferred immediately.

- **What Tuckers Admitted**
  a) Tuckers confirmed in writing that:
    1+ They had Legal Aid "granted under devolved powers" (the LAA hack contingency).
    2+ They "**Withdrew"** and "**Had No Objection to Transferring Legal Aid.**"
    3+ They could not act under the Section 38 appointment.

- **Why This Matters**
  a) This email proves:
    1+ **The Court Repeatedly Ignored:** every Legal Aid transfer request.
    2+ McLartys were trying to take over but were blocked by the court's inaction.
    3+ Tuckers had abandoned the case and admitted they could not act.
    4+ I was left unrepresented through no fault of my own.
    5+ The December trial was already adjourned once because of lack of representation.
    6+ The system knew I had no solicitor and still failed to fix it.
  b) This is powerful evidence showing the breakdown in procedure and the denial of my right to representation.

19. **Summary of Prejudice to the Defence**
  a) The cumulative failures by the police, the court, Tuckers Solicitors, and the Legal Aid Agency resulted in:
    1+ Unlawful Arrest And Fabricated Allegations
    2+ No Caution, No Interview, No Evidence
    3+ Repeated Delays In Legal Aid Processing
    4+ Fraudulent Or Misrepresented RO Documents
    5+ Court Failure To Process Transfer Requests
    6+ Being Left Unrepresented Through No Fault Of My Own
    7+ Breach Of My Right To A Fair Trial Under Widgery Criteria
    8+ Inability To Prepare A Defence Due To Withheld Disclosure

  b) These failures materially prejudice my ability to defend myself and undermine the integrity of the proceedings.

20. **Defence Position**
  a) I deny the allegations in full.
  b) I assert that:
    1+ The Arrest Was Unlawful
    2+ The Assault Allegation Was Fabricated
    3+ The Legal Aid Failures Were Systemic, Not Caused By Me
    4+ My Right To Representation And A Fair Trial Has Been Repeatedly Obstructed
    5+ ;

  c) **I Experienced:**
    1+ Wrong Paperwork
    2+ Documents That Looked Official But Weren't
    3+ Legal Aid That Didn't Exist
    4+ A Blocked Transfer
    5+ A Charge Swap
    6+ A Collapsed Breach Allegation
    7+ A New Allegation Created Immediately After
    8+ Court Staff Giving Incorrect Information
    9+ Two Cases Overlapping
    10+ No Representation

11+ A Trial Date With No Solicitor

d) **These Are Facts, Not Interpretations**: and they are already extremely serious.

21. **The Impact On My Feelings!**
    a) **As I Have been forced to feel**:
        1+ Betrayed
        2+ Misled
        3+ Abandoned
        4+ Targeted
        5+ Confused
        6+ Harmed
        7+ Overwhelmed

    b) These feelings are **valid,** other people in my position would feel the same and even aloe my feelings are not evidence of motive as they still are evidence of "**Impact I Have Suffered As Of So Far"** and impact is what matters legally in this case.

22. **So, To Answer The Question Of Why Would Tuckers Do This To Me?**
    a) Is for Myself Mr. Simon Paul Cordell to reply by stating:
    b) "I cannot speak for anyone's motives, but what I can show is that the paperwork is incorrect, that legal aid was not granted and the RO1 & RO2 officially disclosed documents are not genuine, the transfer was blocked, and I as the defendant was left unrepresented due to this negligence and/or Gross Misconduct!
    c) These Prosecuting Teams failures as well as Tucker Solicitor Firms Failures for whatever their cause has denied me my legal Rights to a fair trial," at the minimum.

    d) I request that the court take judicial notice of the extensive procedural failures documented above. These failures have denied me effective representation, obstructed disclosure, and compromised my right to a fair trial. Unless the court can immediately rectify these defects, I ask that the proceedings be dismissed or stayed as an abuse of process.

- **P.S.**
    a) Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff till date of this defence statement has refused to reply to any correspondence.

    Kind Regards
    Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.

(Signed) 

(On behalf of Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL) (Dated Signed) 15/01/2026

Signature witnessed by: