URGENT EMAIL SENT TO THE COURT'S LISTING OFFICE
&
OTHERS

Name: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
Address: 109 Burncroft Avenue
Date of the Request: 15/02/2026
Subject: URN [01/YE/12679/25] – This Document is an Official Application to Request an Adjournment of the up-and-coming Trial Date of the 24th February due to our Supporting Witnesses None Availability –

Dear Listing Officer, Presiding Judge and the Case Management Judge,

I am writing in relation to **Case 1 – URN [01/YE/12679/25]**, in which I am the defendant, currently listed for trial on **[24/02/2026]**.

Please find attached my "**Adjournment Application"** together with a "**Supporting Witness Availability Schedule.**" This application is made on the grounds that two key defence witnesses, "**Miss Sheila Lewis** and **Mr. Shead**," are unavailable on the current trial date for reasons outside of their own controls. Both witnesses have attended the previous two listed trial dates and have provided Section 9 statements and supporting documentation.

Their evidence is material to the defence, and proceeding without them would cause significant prejudice and undermine the fairness of the trial.
I therefore respectfully request that the court consider this application and relist the matter for a date when both witnesses are able to attend.
I would be grateful if you could confirm receipt of this email and advise whether the application will be placed before the court or listed for a short hearing before the trial in regard to this matter and make me aware of the decision.
Thank you for your assistance.

Adjournment Application – Case 1
To: The Court
Re: Application to Adjourn Trial – Case 1
URN: [01/YE/12679/25 Case 1]
Defendant: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
Trial Date: [24/02/2026].
Court: [Highbury & Islington]

Application Summary
I respectfully apply for an adjournment of the trial listed as above on the grounds that two key defence witnesses who are: "**Miss Sheila Lewis** & **Mr. Shead"** both are currently unavailable to attend on this re scheduled date, despite having previously attended earlier hearings and having provided signed Section 9 witness statements. Their evidence is central to the defence, and proceeding without them would cause significant prejudice and undermine the fairness of the trial.

Grounds for Adjournment
1. Witness Unavailability

Miss Sheila Lewis
1+ She is currently abroad on a pre-booked family holiday arranged by her son.
2+ She attended the previous two trial dates and has always made herself available.

3+ Her absence on the current date is due to circumstances outside her control.
4+ She has provided flight tickets and written confirmation of her willingness to attend if the trial is re-listed to provide her evidence.

Mr. Shead

1+ He is dealing with private family matters that prevent him from attending on the current date.
2+ He also attended the previous two trial dates.
3+ He has confirmed his willingness to attend if the trial is rescheduled as he is being rehoused.

Both witnesses have demonstrated consistent commitment to attending court and supporting the defence.

2. Procedural Irregularities Affecting Witness Attendance

There have been significant procedural issues in this case which directly contributed to the current situation:

1+ **Fake Restraining Order (RO) Paperwork** was generated and placed on the system, causing confusion and preventing earlier hearings from progressing properly.
2+ The CPS and police "**Reused A URN That Had Previously Been Marked As "No Further Action,"** causing administrative and listing errors.
3+ **Tuckers Solicitors Failed To Lodge Legal Aid Receipts:** for previous trial dates, which resulted in those hearings not being properly actioned or attended.
4+ These failures caused multiple trial dates to collapse through no fault of the defence or the witnesses.

Miss Lewis attended the previous two trial dates in good faith, but due to the above procedural failures, the hearings did not proceed. Her son had already booked and paid for her holiday, and she cannot now change those arrangements before the court date was rescheduled.

3. Material Relevance of Witnesses

1+ Both Witnesses Are "**Central To The Defence Case**."
2+ Their Evidence Directly "**Rebuts Key Elements Of The Prosecution's Account**."
3+ Their Absence Would "**Severely Prejudice The Defence"** And Prevent The Court From Hearing Material Evidence.
4+ The Interests Of Justice Require That The Defence Be Permitted To Call Its Witnesses.

4. Supporting Evidence

1+ Section 9 statements for both witnesses have already been submitted to the CPS.
2+ Flight documentation and written explanations have been provided.
3+ Evidence of their prior attendance at earlier trial dates is available.
4+ No response has been received from the CPS or from Tuckers Solicitors regarding the witness availability or the procedural issues raised in over six months.

Supporting Witness Availability Schedule (Table Format)

Witness Name	Status	Previous Attendance	Reason for Unavailability on Current Trial Date	Supporting Evidence Provided	Availability for Relisted Trial
Miss Sheila Lewis	Defence witness	Attended the last two listed trial dates in person and was ready to give evidence	Abroad on a pre-booked family holiday arranged by her son; booking made before the current trial date was set; cannot alter travel due to cost and contractual restrictions	Flight tickets; written confirmation of travel dates; confirmation of willingness to attend a future date	Available immediately upon return to the UK; fully willing to attend any new date set by the court other than April due to being abroad!

Witness Name	Status	Previous Attendance	Reason for Unavailability on Current Trial Date	Supporting Evidence Provided	Availability for Relisted Trial
Mr. Shead	Defence witness	Attended the last two listed trial dates in person and was ready to give evidence	Currently dealing with private family matters requiring immediate attention; unable to attend on the present date	Written explanation confirming unavailability; confirmation of willingness to attend a future date	Available for any future date once the trial is relisted

Request to the Court

I respectfully request that the trial be adjourned and relisted for a future date that allows both witnesses to attend in person. This application is made in the interests of justice, fairness, and to ensure that the defence case can be properly presented without prejudice.

P.s.

Following the trial hearing on **13/10/25**, I was expressly instructed by the Presiding Judge to "**Apply For Legal Aid Immediately**." I attended McLartys Solicitors on **15/10/25**, completed the **CRM14/CRM15** forms with them, and returned the forms the same day as directed. Despite this, no legal aid was ever processed, no Representation Order was ever granted, and the Legal Aid Agency has since confirmed that "**No CRM14/CRM15 Exist On Their System For This Matter.**"

Before **11/02/26**, any disclosure said to have been "served" on me was unreliable because it came through my **"Former Solicitor Firm: Tuckers Solicitors**, who created a "**Fake Representation Order,"** for these court proceedings to be Continued under the wrong Criminal Offence that is now the subject of an active Legal Aid Agency investigation as it must also be for the court to also investigate.

On **11/02/26**, McLartys Solicitors attempted to serve to me the CPS Common Platform case files. "**However, Since That Date:** no solicitor has been able to review the disclosure or assist in my legal defence because McLartys are "**Legally Blocked"** from acting under the Legal Aid Act until the LAA investigation is concluded. **"The Court Has Stated They "May Only Assist Under Youth Justice And Criminal Evidence Act 1999, Section 38, Which Does Not Permit Them To Act As My Legal Representatives, Advise On Evidence, Or Prepare Defence Material."**

As A Result:

1+ The CPS Disclosure Served On 11/02/26 Has **Not Been Reviewed**,
2+ I Have Received **No Legal Advice** On The Newly Disclosed Material,
3+ And I Remain **Without Lawful Representation** Less Than Two Weeks Before Trial.

In Addition, The Court Is Now Required To Investigate:

1+ The **Unlawful Substitution Of The Criminal Charge**,
2+ The **Re-Use And Contamination Of The Urn**,
3+ The **Absence Of Any Cps Response** To My Defence Statements,
4+ The **Failure To Disclose Requested Witness Materials**, Including **Body-Worn Footage (BWF)** That Directly Supports My Innocence.

The Trial Is Currently Listed For 24/02/26, Which Does Not Allow Fair Or Reasonable Time For Me To:

1+ Examine The Disclosed Material,
2+ Compare It With The Custody Records And Prior Evidence,
3+ Obtain The Missing BWF And Witness Materials, Or
4+ Prepare Any Defence Material Required For A Fair Hearing.

This situation is a direct consequence of the "**Ongoing LAA Investigation, The Fake Representation Order, The Contaminated URN, And The CPS Disclosure Failures,**" all of which have prevented any solicitor from lawfully acting on my behalf since **04/08/25**. Please Respond with the next steps forward!



Signed:
Name: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
Dated: 16/02/2026