URGENT NOTICE
OF
THE DEFENCE INABILITY TO BE ABLE TO PROCEED
TO A FAIR TRIAL

Defendant: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
URN: 01/YE/12679/25
Trial Listed: 24 February 2026
Court: Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court

Subject: LAA Response Needed Pending Further Enquiries Into Investigation For Trial To Proceed As Well As Court Review In Respect Of Urn And Requested Disclosure As Well As Other Complained about Case Procedural Failures and Case Standards Irregularities!

Request For Case Disposal as Discontinued or Trial Adjournment!

- **To**:
  1+ McLartys Solicitors (info@mclartyssolicitors.com)
  2+ Tuckers Solicitors (tuckers@tuckerssolicitors.com)
  3+ Kenneth Marsden, Compliance Manager (marsdeni@tuckerssolicitors.com)
  4+ Claire Parrott, Senior Partner (parrottc@tuckerssolicitors.com)
  5+ **Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court**: (Northlondonmc@justice.gov.uk)
  6+ **CPS North London Team**:
  northlondon@cps.gov.uk
  london.magistrates@cps.gov.uk
  london.magistrates@cps.gov.uk

Dear Presiding Judge, Case Management Judge, and the Listing Office,

I, Mr. Simon Paul Cordell: respectfully give formal notice that I am unable to proceed to trial on **24 February 2026** and have a fair trial due to no fault of my own!
This notice is made "**In Good Faith**" and is based on "**Verifiable Procedural Facts,**" confirmed communications, and documented evidence already held by the Court, the CPS, and the Legal Aid Agency.
This is "**Not**" a tactical delay.
This is a situation where a fair trial is "**Impossible**" due to circumstances entirely outside my control.

1. Purpose of This Notice
This Notice formally records that I am unable to proceed to a fair trial on **24 February 2026**.
The reasons are **"Structural, Procedural, And Not Caused By Me!"**

They Include:
1+ The Absence Of Any Valid Representation Order,
2+ An Ongoing Legal Aid Agency Investigation Into The URN,
3+ Invalid Documents Issued By Tuckers Solicitors Firms Staff,
4+ Late CPS Disclosure,
5+ Defence Witness Unavailability,
6+ New Exculpatory Evidence,
7+ CPS Non-Engagement,
8+ And Court Bail Conditions That Have Become Disproportionate And Unlawful.
9+ As well as failures to review the cases ongoing as requested by the defendant.

Making a fair trial for the defence to be impossible to commit towards in these circumstances.

2. Legal Aid Position – Now Confirms Inability to Obtain Representation

The Legal Aid Agency has confirmed the following:

1+ **No Valid Representation Order Exists:** for URN **01YE1267925**.
2+ **The Documents Issued By Tuckers Solicitors:** purporting to be Representation Orders "**Are Not Recognised"** on the LAA system and "**Were Not Issued"** by the LAA.
3+ The LAA holds "**No CRM14, CRM15, CRM16, Or CRM18"** for this matter, even though these forms are "**Mandatory For Any Grant Of Legal Aid Certificate.**"
4+ **A Senior-Level Investigation Into The URN:** and the actions of previous solicitors is underway, with a written determination due **23 February 2026 or their afterwards!**
5+ Until that determination is formally issued by the LAA and until the "**Court Reviews**" the procedural irregularities affecting this case, "**No Solicitor Can Lawfully Act**," or **"Legally Submit CRM14/CRM15,"** or apply for transfer and therefore "**No Trial Should Continue Unless The Issues Raised Are Addressed In Accordance To Law.**" **This Is Through No Fault Of The Defendant**: who has complied fully with the Judge's order to organise legal aid.
6+ **The Reuse Of The Police URN Number After an NFA Decision:** The "**Re-Reuse Of The Original Police URN"** after the CPS issued a "No Further Action (NFA) Decision" — and "**Without Any Further Arrest,**" as proven by both "**The Custody Logs And The MG4 Charge Sheet**" must be formally reviewed by "**Both The Court And The CPS"** before any fair trial can take place. This is because the URN was later used to support "A **Wrongfully Substituted Criminal Charge** ("**Threats to Cause Criminal Damage**"), even though:
    1+ No New Arrest Occurred,
    2+ No New Custody Record Exists,
    3+ No New Mg4 Charge Sheet Was Created, And
    4+ The Original Section 4a Allegation Had Already Been Discontinued.

The improper re-use of the URN, combined with the substituted charge, directly affects the "**Administrative Validity, Procedural Lawfulness, And Legal Integrity Of The Proceedings.**"
A full judicial and CPS review is therefore required before the matter can proceed to trial.

This Means:

1+ I as the Defendant Cannot Obtain Legal Aid.
2+ I as the Defendant Cannot Instruct Representation.
3+ No Solicitor Can Act Under Delegated Functions.
4+ Section 38 Cannot Be Used To "**Force"** Representation.
5+ I Cannot Safely Proceed As A Litigant In Person.

To Defend Myself In This Court Trial Is A Structural Impossibility And Not A Refusal On My Behalf: as these findings confirm that I am "**Unable To Obtain Representation, Unable To Secure Legal Aid,"** and "**Unable To Progress The Case, Despite Full Compliance With All Court Directions And Submitting Defense Statements As Well As Disclosure Request For Digital And Hard Copy Materials And That Of Directions For Witness And Victim Attendance To Attend The Court Trial So They Can Be Cross Examined!**"

3. Contaminated URN and Procedural Irregularities

The custody logs, MG4 charge sheets, and recorded communications confirm:

1+ The Original "**Section 4A Allegation Was NFA'd By The CPS."**
2+ The Defendant was "**Never Re-Arrested, Processed, Or Cautioned"** For The Substituted Offence.
3+ The Substituted Offence Was "**Inserted After The NFA**," Using The Same URN.
4+ Tuckers Solicitors Issued Documents "**Purporting To Be RO Certificates"** Using The LAA's Birmingham Address.
5+ The LAA Confirms "**They Did Not Issue These Documents**."
6+ The LAA Confirms "**Solicitors Cannot Create Their Own RO Certificates**."
7+ The LAA Confirms "**CRM14/CRM15 Were Required And Were Not Submitted**."

8+ The URN Is Therefore "**Administratively Contaminated**," And Its Status Cannot Be Relied Upon Until The LAA Investigation Concludes.

These defects go to the "**Lawfulness Of The Proceedings Themselves**."

4. Late Disclosure and Inability to Prepare

Disclosure was served on **11 February 2026**, leaving insufficient time to:

1+ Review The Material,
2+ Compare It With Custody Logs And Mg4 Sheets,
3+ Prepare Defence Submissions,
4+ Obtain Legal Advice,
5+ Or Take Instructions From Witnesses.

The CPS has had "**Over Six Months"** to disclose material and has failed to do so.

These Breaches:

1+ **Criminal Procedure Rules**,
2+ **Article 6 ECHR**,
3+ And Basic Fairness.

5. Defence Witness Unavailability

Two key defence witnesses — "**Miss Sheila Lewis** and **Mr. Shead"** — are unavailable for reasons outside their control:

1+ Both Attended The Previous Two Trial Dates.
2+ Both Provided Section 9 Statements.
3+ Miss Lewis Is Abroad On A Pre-Booked Family Holiday (Evidence Supplied).
4+ Mr. Shead Is Dealing With Urgent Family Re Housing Matters.
5+ Both Are Willing And Able To Attend A Relisted Date.

Proceeding without them would cause "**Serious And Irreversible Prejudice**."

6. New Exculpatory Witness (As Exhibited in the Defence Statement)

A new supporting witness — previously referenced in my Defence Statement and exhibited as:

- **Weblink 1**: 01. One -Events On The Date and Leading Up To The Date!.pdf
- **Weblink 2**: https://everyonelogінto.me/01.%2002-08-2025-Another-Case/03.%20BUILT%20FOR%20COURT/02.%20Simons%20Defence%20Statements/01.%20One%20-Events%20On%20The%20Date%20and%20Leading%20Up%20To%20The%20Date!.pdf

This individual was physically present in the car park at the time of the incident "**With The Alleged Complainant, Rebecca O'Hare**." He then walked over to the block and spoke with me "**While The Delivery Driver Was Present**," and "**Other Witnesses Were Also Outside"** at the time. He also witnessed the events as the police exited with me from my home with me, and he remained outside sitting on the ground awaiting an ambulance while officers secured my front door till it all ended

He confirms the following:

1+ He "**Offered Police A Statement At The Scene**!"
2+ Police "**Refused To Take His Statement**,"
3+ His evidence "**Directly Supports My Innocence**,"
4+ He is "**Willing To Attend Court And Provide A Full Statement."**

Given that his account appears on the "**Missing Police Body-Worn Footages**," and that he was a "**Direct Eyewitness"** to the interaction between me and the alleged complainant, "**His Statement Must Be Taken Before Any Fair Trial Can Proceed**."

7. Vulnerability and Mitigating Circumstances

I am a vulnerable defendant and currently unable to participate effectively in proceedings due to a combination of serious personal and procedural factors. I am dealing with:

1+ **My Mother's Critical And Ongoing Medical Crisis**: which requires my direct urgent involvement and has significantly affected my ability to manage legal matters. As Exhibited Here: https://everyoneloginto.me/01.%2002-08-2025-Another-Case/02.%20All-Docs/98.%20Sent-29-01-26.pdf

2+ **The Complete Absence Of Legal Representation**: despite fully complying with the Judge's order to organise legal aid, locate a new solicitor, complete the transfer application, and submit the required legal aid forms.

3+ **Administrative Barriers Preventing Legal Aid**: including fake Representation Orders issued by previous solicitors, the absence of any CRM14/CRM15 on the LAA system, and an active LAA investigation into the URN that prevents any solicitor from acting.

4+ **The Unfair Need To Manage Disclosure, Correspondence, And Defence Preparation Entirely Alone**: despite over 120 unanswered emails to the CPS and no engagement from the prosecution.

These circumstances materially impair my ability to participate effectively in the proceedings and make it impossible for me to prepare or present a defence in accordance with the Criminal Procedure Rules.

8. CPS Non-Engagement

The CPS has:

1+ Failed To Respond To **Over 120 Emails**,

2+ Refused To Engage With Me As A Litigant In Person,

3+ Failed To Address The Contaminated Urn,

4+ Failed To Address The NFA Status,

5+ Failed To Address The Substituted Charge,

6+ Failed To Address Witness Issues,

7+ And Failed To Disclose Material Within The Required Timeframe.

This prevents proper case management and violates the overriding objective.

9. Section 38 – Procedural Contradiction Making Trial Impossible

The court has already determined under **Section 38(4) YJCEA 1999** that:

1+ I Cannot Conduct My Own Cross-Examination.

The Legal Aid Agency has determined that:

1+ I Cannot Obtain Representation.

These two findings "**Cannot Coexist In A Lawful Trial.**"

Under Criminal Procedure Rules 3.2 and 3.9: a trial cannot proceed unless the defendant can:

1+ Participate Effectively,

2+ Prepare Fairly,

3+ Timely Disclosure

4+ Ability To Challenge Evidence

5+ Ability To Call Witnesses

6+ And Obtain Representation Where Required.

None of these conditions can be met.

10. Bail Conditions Now Unlawful and Disproportionate

The offence carries **"No Custodial Sentence Under The Sentencing Guidelines.**"

I have been on a "**GPS Tag For Six Months.**"

Under:

1+ **Bail Act 1976**, and

2+ **Article 5 ECHR**,

a bail condition cannot be "**MORE SEVERE THAN THE LIKELY SENTENCE!**"
The delays in this case are caused entirely by procedural failures outside my control.
The GPS tag is now "**Disproportionate, Unnecessary, And Unlawful**," and we therefore request for the case to be discontinued in the public's interest and the interest of justice itself!

11. Additional Evidence of Procedural Failure

1+ **Recording 11-02-25 Elliot Stern Solicitors PT3:** Confirms They Attended The Police Station, Not Tuckers, Contradicting What I Was Told.
2+ No Interview Was Conducted for the swapped charge but was for a section 4a offence that was no further actioned.
3+ **MEANING THAT NO ADVERSE INFERENCE CAN BE DRAWN**: Because I was never interviewed, never cautioned, never questioned, and never given an opportunity to respond to the substituted charge, the court cannot draw any adverse inference under the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.
   - **Givin Fairgrounds for**:
     a) **Adjournment**
     b) **Abuse Of Process Argument**
     c) **Stay Of Proceedings**
     d) **Dismissal Due To Unfairness**
     e) **Exclusion Of Prosecution Evidence**
     f) **Exclusion Of Any Inference-Based Arguments**
     g) **A Submission Of "No Case To Answer"**

12. Request for Discontinuance

Given:

I Respectfully Request That The CPS Discontinue This Matter Because Of The:

1+ The Contaminated URN,
2+ The Invalid RO Documents,
3+ The Absence Of CRM Forms,
4+ The LAA Investigation,
5+ The CPS's Six-Month Failure To Disclose,
6+ The Witness Issues,
7+ The New Exculpatory Evidence,
8+ The Unlawful Bail Conditions,
9+ And The Procedural Impossibility Of A Fair Trial,

13. I Have Done Everything Correctly

I as the defendant have been forced to:

1+ Contacted The LAA
2+ Obtained Recordings
3+ Obtained Custody Logs
4+ Obtained MG4 Sheets
5+ Identified The NFA
6+ Identified The Charge Swap
7+ Identified The Fake RO
8+ Contacted The CPS
9+ Contacted The Court
10+ Submitted Adjournment Applications
11+ Provided Witness Evidence
12+ Provided Flight Documents
13+ Provided Section 9 Statements
14+ Provided New Witness Information

15+ Documented Everything
16+ Acted In Good Faith
17+ Requested Legal Aid Properly
18+ Requested Disclosure Properly
19+ Requested Time To Prepare
20+ Requested Fairness

I clearly have done "**Far More"** than most defendants ever could proving that the system set to protect me is what has failed around me and not at my own fault!

14. What Actually is Happening to me (is Legally Devastating!)

- I did exactly what the Court ordered: I found a new solicitor firm after Tuckers became untrustworthy, and I immediately completed both the **Transfer Application** and the **New Legal Aid Application** on **15/10/2025**. Within two days I had fully complied with the Judge's direction to "**Organise Legal Aid."** However, the entire process collapsed because **Tuckers refused to transfer the case** and instead produced **fake Representation Orders!** The Legal Aid Agency has now confirmed in writing and by phone that **No CRM14 Was Ever Submitted**, **No Legal Aid Was Ever Granted**, and **The RO Documents Issued By Tuckers Are Not On The LAA System And Were Never Issued By Them**. These fake RO documents blocked my new legal aid application and prevented McLartys from acting.
- Mc Lartys then served me the CPS case files for the first time on **11/02/2026**, confirming that Tuckers' disclosure cannot be trusted. I had already served my own disclosure on the CPS on **15/12/2025**, but instead of engaging with me as a Litigant in person, the CPS attempted to route all communication through Mc Lartys under a **Section 38 Appointment**, even though Section 38 expressly states that the appointed advocate **May Only Cross-Examine One Witness (Rebecca O'Hare)** and **Cannot Represent Me**. This left me with **No Legal Team**, **No Representation**, **No Legal Aid**, and **No Ability To Obtain Disclosure Or Prepare A Defence**, despite over **120 Unanswered Emails To The CPS. **
- Meanwhile, two defence witnesses are away, a new exculpatory witness has been found, my mother is critically ill, and the fake RO orders continue to block legal aid. The CPS has refused to respond to any of my requests, refused to disclose material properly, and refused to acknowledge the contaminated URN or the NFA status of the original charge. The result is that I have been left entirely without representation while the CPS uses Mc Lartys for their own purposes under Section 38, preventing me from obtaining disclosure, advice, or assistance.

Then the court:

1+ Ignored The Legal Aid Blockage
2+ Ignored The Contaminated Urn
3+ Ignored The Missing CRM Forms
4+ Ignored The LAA Investigation
5+ Ignored The Fact I Had No Representation
6+ Ignored The Fact I've been Complying
7+ And **Forced Mc Lartys To Act Under Section 38 Anyway**

This left me:

1+ With **No Legal Team**,
2+ With **No Representation**,
3+ With **No Legal Aid**,
4+ With **No Ability To Prepare**,
5+ And With **No Ability To Defend Yourself**.

15. Defence Summary – Compliance With Judge's Order

I fully complied with the Judge's direction to organise legal aid. I found a new solicitor firm immediately, completed the transfer application, completed the legal aid application, and contacted the Legal Aid Agency

directly. Despite my full compliance, the process collapsed because Tuckers refused to transfer the case and produced fake Representation Orders, which the LAA has confirmed were never issued by them. This prevented any solicitor from acting and blocked legal aid entirely.

16. Defence Summary – Section 38(4) YJCEA 1999

The Court has already determined under Section 38(4) YJCEA 1999 that I cannot conduct my own cross-examination.
The Legal Aid Agency has confirmed that I cannot obtain representation.
These two findings make a fair trial impossible under CPR 3.2 and 3.9.

Defence Question:

How can the Court compel Mc Lartys to act under Section 38 when I have no legal team, no Representation Order, and an active legal aid dispute preventing any solicitor from acting, when I found them due to what tuckers solicitors have done and the police and CPS by not arrest6ing me for this newly alleged offence?

17. Defence Summary – Bail Act 1976

The electronic GPS tag is now disproportionate under the **Bail Act 1976 and Article 5 ECHR** because the likely sentence is non-custodial and the delays are caused entirely by procedural failures outside my control. The restriction now exceeds the maximum realistic penalty and is therefore unlawful.

Defence Request:

That the Court review the proportionality of the bail conditions and consider removal of the GPS tag if the case is not disposed of as discontinued as it should be!

18. Conclusion – Trial Cannot Proceed

For all the reasons above, I formally notify the Court:
I am unable to proceed to trial on 24 February 2026.
A fair trial is impossible until:

1+ The LAA Completes Its Investigation And Issues A Determination.
2+ A Valid Representation Order Is Created.
3+ The URN Status Is Corrected And Confirmed.
4+ Disclosure Is Reviewed With Legal Assistance.
5+ Defence Witnesses Are Available.
6+ The New Witness Statement Is Taken.
7+ The CPS Responds To Outstanding Procedural Issues.

I respectfully request that this Notice be placed before the presiding judge and added to the court file.



Signed:
Name: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
Date: 13 February 2026