[To Parent Directory]

CASE 1 AND CASE 2
CHRONOLOGICAL PAGINATION INDEX

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE
Rex
V1
Simon Paul CORDELL

Date of the Document: 23/01/2026
Amendment Dates: 16/02/2026 – 1 of 58 Pages
Consisting of 56 Page{s)

- **Urgent: Case 1 URN: 01YE1267925, Date of Case1**: 04/08/2025
- **Urgent: Case 2 URN: 01YE1300125, Date of Case2**: = 26/08/2025 This Case was Discontinued!

INTRODUCTION FOR SERVICE
This Document Is Served As A Defence Bundle: pursuant to the "**Criminal Procedure Rules.**" It contains a "**Consolidated Chronological Pagination Index"** covering "**Case 1 – 02/08/2025,"** that is prepared to assist the Court and the Crown Prosecution Service in reviewing the combined evidential material.

The bundle includes all relevant disclosed documents, defence exhibits, custody records, witness materials, and procedural correspondence arranged in strict chronological order. The purpose of this index is to provide clarity, transparency, and efficient navigation of the evidence across both linked cases.

The total number of pages is recorded within the index.

Stamp Receipt Holder

- **A STAMPED RECEIPT OF SERVICE IS RESPECTFULLY REQUESTED.**

Summery Timeline Of Events Pre Trial Events
0. Timeline Of Events -Summery of Emails and Correspondence.docx
0. Timeline Of Events -Summery of Emails and Correspondence.pdf

Introduced as disclosed Is The Main Weblink To Our Defence File Cabinet:
https://everyoneloginto.me/01.%2002-08-2025-Another-Case/ = [To Parent Directory]

This is a weblink of all Emails and Correspondence placed into one chronological order!
<Dir> 00.1. All-Emails-Together_1: Not Used here but is contained in the folder, so it is demonstrated! = [To Parent Directory]

Copy of the file structure this all Emails and Correspondence Sent and received as separate files
<Dir> 02. All-Docs
[To Parent Directory]

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
A1				
A2	04-01-2025	**<Dir>** 00.2. Rebbeca 04-01-25-2nd-Call-Police-At-Mine [To Parent Directory] 1+ 1st 04-01-25.docx 2+ 01. 1st 04-01-25.pdf 3+ 1st 04-01-25.mp3 4+ 1st 04-01-25.WMA 5+ 2nd 04-01-25 (1).docx 6+ 02. 2nd 04-01-25 (1).pdf 7+ 2nd 04-01-25 (1).mp3 8+ 2nd 04-01-25.WMA	**A2 — 04-01-2025 — Rebecca O'Hare Police Callout** **Description:** Evidence relating to the fabricated police callout initiated by Rebecca O'Hare, including audio recordings, transcripts, and written statements documenting both calls that I made for her first allegation that the police seem to have attended my flat floor but failed to disclose any reason as to why or arrest me, dated **04-01-2025**. This Exhibited Evidence Supports the defence position that the callout was part of an ongoing pattern of fabricated harassment created by Rebecca O'Hare to cover up what she has really been illegally doing to Mr. Simon Paul Cordell + Bejamin with the police encouragement. This is the same day as **The Disclosed CPS File:** 04. Rebbeca O-Hare's-Video-11. ROH_01_mp4.mp4	**1+ Pages**: 5 **4+ Pages**: 3 **Files Total:** 6
A3	28-07-2025	**<Dir>** 00.3. Sick-Note-Update-28-07-25 [To Parent Directory] 1+ 20250730_122651.mp4 2+ 20250730_123726.jpg 3+ 20250731_103437.jpg 4+ **<Dir>** Adult-Ish-Content-Pic-of-Hernia	**A3 — 28-07-2025 — Sick Note Update** **Description:** GP sick-note update and supporting media (**Photos And Video**) confirming the defendant's post-hernia-operation condition. "**Demonstrates Physical Incapacity"** that is relevant to the allegation of "**Harassment"** on "**02-08-2025"** and the wrongly booked into police custody criminal charge of "**Section 4a"** and not to forget the "**Illegally Substituted Criminal Charge Of Threats To Cause Criminal Damage"** without CPS Review as detailed in the Police Custody Logs.	**1+ 1X Mp4** **2+ & 3+** 2X Jpg **4+ Images**: 3 **Files Total:** 6
A4	02-08-2025	**<Dir>** 00.4. Front Door Damaged-02-08-25 [To Parent Directory] 1+ Front Door TIME OF DAMAGE-02-08-25.pdf	**A4 — 02-08-2025 — Front Door Damage** **Description:** Receipt issued by "***Rapid Secure***," the third-party contractor used by police to re-rescue front doors after forced entry. The document shows that the repair carried out was a low-grade temporary fix, leaving the property significantly less secure than it originally was. This confirms that the front	**Pages**: 1 **Files Total:** 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			door was damaged by police during their attendance and then covered over with a minimal repair rather than restored to its prior condition. This exhibit proves that the damage occurred on the day of the alleged incident and supports the defence position that police forcibly breached the secure front door before assaulting Mr. S. Cordell inside the flat. It also establishes the correct timing of the wrongful arrest, and the time Mr. Cordell was removed from the scene by police and ambulance. The only disclosed body-worn footage is from PC Chan. His footage misleadingly suggests that Mr. Cordell was taken out of the flat at the end of the recording and that he placed his laptop on the bins outside. In reality, Mr. Cordell was still inside the flat with the other officers at that time. PC Chan had been told to leave the flat after assaulting Mr. Cordell, which is why his footage ends with him exiting alone. Mr. Cordell was later taken out by other officers and made to sit on the floor until an ambulance arrived. None of this is visible on the disclosed footage, nor is the independent witness who offered to give a statement confirming Mr. Cordell's innocence. These events would have been captured on the body-worn cameras of the other seven officers present, none of which have been disclosed. The Rapid Secure receipt was handed to Mr. Cordell at "**21:51,"** confirming he remained at the scene until the door was sealed. The only disclosed body-worn footage covers **"21:08–21:32,**" despite eight officers being present and recording. **This Exhibit Should Be Read Together With**: 03. CKC_01_Witnessing_arrestofSimon Cordell.mp4	
A5	02-08-2025 → 03-08-2025	**<Dir>** 00.5. 02_03-08-25-Hospital [To Parent Directory] 1+ img20251118_17190875.docx	**A5 — 02–03-08-2025 — Hospital Documentation** **Description:** Hospital visit documents, discharge notes, and medical imaging relating to "**Assault**	**Pages**: 3 **Files Total:** 6

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
		2+ img20251118_17190875.pdf 3+ img20251118_17194712.docx 4+ img20251118_17194712.pdf 5+ img20251118_17205024.docx 6+ img20251118_17205024.pdf	**Injuries Sustained Due To Police Negligence And Gross Misconduct While In Police Attendance.**" Supports the defence position that the defendant was assaulted and medically treated immediately after the incident.	
01	05-08-2025	1+ 01. Email Sent-05-08-2025.pdf	**01 — 05-08-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email sent to Highbury & Islington Magistrates' Court requesting a variation of the bail address immediately after release with a GPS tag. At this stage, Mr. S. Cordell was aware of the unlawful "**Charge Swap** And The **Re-Use Of A Previously NFA'd URN**," but was not aware that "**No MG4 Or MG5 CPS Forms"** had been completed to justify any risk assessment or continuation of proceedings. This exhibit forms part of the early correspondence demonstrating that Mr. Cordell remained fully compliant with bail requirements despite the procedural failures and neglected case management by the authorities.	**Pages**: 1
02	05-08-2025 → 06-08-2025	1+ 02. Email Received-05-06_08-2025.pdf	**02 — 05–06-08-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** Court responses regarding bail variation requests. Demonstrates lack of formal confirmation despite multiple follow-ups to secure bail conditions so my Human Rights would not be infringed even further than they already had!	**Pages**: 3
03	07-08-2025	1+ 03. Email Sent-07-08-2025.pdf	**03 — 07-08-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email submitting MG11 witness statement and case files to solicitors. Because no interview was conducted and no solicitor attended despite my explicit request for legal representation, I was denied the opportunity to give my account through the proper legal process. This left	**Pages**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			me with no choice but to prepare my own defence materials to prevent the ongoing procedural failures and gross misconduct from resulting in a miscarriage of justice. To date, none of the authorities involved have properly addressed these concerns or taken steps to halt the defective proceedings.	
03.1	07-08-2025	**<Dir>** 03.1. MG11 WITNESS STATEMENT Mr. Simon Paul Cordell [To Parent Directory] 1+ WITNESS STATEMENT Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.docx 2+ WITNESS STATEMENT Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.pdf	**Home Made File!** **03.1 — MG11 Witness Statement** **Description:** Defendant's formal MG11 witness statement prepared on **07-08-2025**. Sets out the defendant's account of events and procedural concerns.	**Pages**: 7
03.2	07-08-2025	**<Dir>** 03.2. My Case Files Dated-07-08-25 [To Parent Directory] 1+ **<Dir>** 01. Tuckers@tuckerssolicitors-com-Urgent a) [To Parent Directory] b) Tuckers@tuckerssolicitors-com-Urgent.docx c) Tuckers@tuckerssolicitors-com-Urgent.pdf 2+ **<Dir>** 02. MG11 WITNESS STATEMENT Mr. Simon Paul Cordell a) [To Parent Directory] b) WITNESS STATEMENT Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.docx c) WITNESS STATEMENT Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.pdf 3+ **<Dir>** 03. Impact Statement Regarding Wrongly Imposed Bail Conditions a) [To Parent Directory] b) Impact Statement Regarding Wrongly	**Home Made Files!** **03.2 — Case Files Dated 07-08-25** **Description:** Supporting defence materials including urgent solicitor correspondence, MG11 statement copies, and "**Impact Statement**" regarding wrongly imposed bail conditions and a wrongful continuation of Case proceedings.	**1+ C) Pages**: 1 **2+ C) Pages**: 7 **3+ C) Pages**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
		Imposed Bail Conditions.docx c) Impact Statement Regarding Wrongly Imposed Bail Conditions.pdf 4+ **<Dir>** 04. Letter to my Solicitors At Court 08-08-2025 a) [To Parent Directory] b) Letter to my Solicitors At Court 08-08-2025.docx c) Letter to my Solicitors At Court 08-08-2025.pdf d) Letter to my Solicitors At Court 08.docx 5+ **<Dir>** 05. permission to travel to Turkey a) [To Parent Directory] b) permission to travel to Turkey.docx c) permission to travel to Turkey.pdf 6+ **<Dir>** 06. Letter to Jude's from Simons Dad a) [To Parent Directory] b) Letter to Jude's from Simons Dad.docx c) Letter to Jude's from Simons Dad.pdf 7+ **<Dir>** 07. Outdoors-Videos a) [To Parent Directory] b) VID-20251002-WA0000.mp4 c) VID-20251002-WA0001.mp4 d) VID-20251002-WA0002.mp4 8+ **<Dir>** 08. Sick-Note-Update-28-07-25 a) [To Parent Directory] b) 20250730_122651.mp4 c) 20250730_123726.jpg d) 20250731_103437.jpg e) bed.png		**4+ C) Pages**: 1 **5+ C) Pages**: 1 **6+ C) Pages**: 2 **7+) Pages**: 3

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
		f) IMG-20250702-WA0003.jpeg g) IMG-20250702-WA0006.jpeg 9+ Recording.m4a		**8+) Files**: 6 **9+ C) Pages**: 1
03.3	09-08-2025	1+ **<Dir>** 03.3. 09-08-2025-Day-After-Court [To Parent Directory] **a)** 09-08-2025-Day-After-Court.docx **b)** 09-08-2025-Day-After-Court.pdf	**Home Made File!** **03.3 — 09-08-2025 — Day After Court** **Description:** Email and personal notes written the day after the **08-08-2025** hearing, documenting that no updated bail paperwork was provided and that the defendant was left without any formal confirmation of his conditions. The correspondence received on **09-08-2025** refers to the defendant's earlier letter requesting permission to travel to Turkey, despite the fact that his bail conditions did **not** require him to apply to the Court for permission to leave the country. **The Only Bail Condition In Place Was An Exclusion Zone Around Burncroft Avenue**. This meant the GPS tag was used **solely** to monitor whether the defendant entered that restricted area. It does "**Not**" restrict travel, movement, or international departure. For travel abroad, the defendant was only required to "**Contact SERCO**," provide evidence of his flight, and have the tag removed so he could pass airport security. No application to the Court was required, and no permission needed to be granted. The letter received on **09-08-2025** therefore misled the defendant into believing he had to "**Re-Apply**" or seek approval from the Court to leave the country, creating unnecessary confusion about his lawful rights and obligations. This demonstrates a clear misunderstanding — or miscommunication — between the Court and solicitors regarding who was responsible for explaining and monitoring the bail conditions.	**Pages**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			This exhibit also highlights how the GPS tag was used in a way that created leverage and confusion over the defendant's movements, despite the underlying procedural defects in the case — including the unlawful "**Charge Swap, The Re-Reuse Of A Previously NFA'd URN,**" and the absence of any MG4 or "**MG5 CPS Forms"** authorising risk assessment or continuation of proceedings. The defendant was not informed of these failures at the time, leaving him vulnerable to further procedural unfairness. As a result of the lack of paperwork, misleading communication, and absence of legal support, the defendant was forced to begin preparing his own defence to prevent a miscarriage of justice.	
04	10-08-2025	1+ 04. Email Received-Attachment - Hearing Outcome-10-08-2025.pdf	**04 — 10-08-2025 — Email Received (Hearing Outcome)** **Description:** Email attaching the hearing outcome from **10-08-2025**. Confirms court attendance but highlights that CPS had still not received case files from police.	**Pages**: 2
05	10-08-2025	2+ 05. Email Received-10-08-2025.pdf	**05 — 10-08-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** Follow-up email from Tuckers Solicitors court staff regarding hearing outcome and procedural status. Supports the defence position that documentation remained incomplete.	**Pages**: 1
05.1	**1+ b) Date**: 14-08-2025 **4. Date**: 24-08-2025	1+ **<Dir>** 05.1. The 14-08-2025-GPS-Wrongfull-Arrest [To Parent Directory] **a)** The 14th 0f August 2025 2.docx **b)** The 14th 0f August 2025 2.pdf **c)** **<Dir>** 24-08-2025 Tag GPS 1 [To Parent Directory] 2 24-08-2025 Tag GPS-2.docx 3 24-08-2025 Tag GPS.docx	**Home Made File!** **05.1 — 14-08-2025 & 24-08-2025 — GPS Wrongful Arrest / Tag Issues** **Description:** Documents relating to wrongful arrest for alleged GPS tag breach and subsequent tag malfunctions on "**14-08-2025 & 24-08-2025**." Includes statements, tag logs, and correspondence showing the breach was caused by equipment failure, not deliberate actions that caused "**Wrongful Arrests, Detentions And Transportations To The Courthouse!**"	**1+ b) Pages**: 9 **4. Pages**: 6

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
		4 24-08-2025 Tag GPS.docx.pdf 5 24-08-2025.docx		
06	19-08-2025	1+ 06. Email Sent-19-08-2025.pdf	**06 — 19-08-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email reporting that the GPS tag charger went missing immediately after police attended the defendant's property. The defendant contacted the monitoring service to prevent any alleged breach arising from an inability to charge the tag, demonstrating proactive compliance and attempts to resolve the issue despite circumstances outside his control. At the same time, the defendant was attempting to obtain legal aid for the newly alleged offence of "**Threats to Cause Criminal Damage (04-08-2025)**, without being informed that the **Police URN used for this charge had already been previously NFA'd and therefore could not lawfully be reused**." This lack of disclosure left the defendant unaware that the case was procedurally defective from the outset.	**Pages**: 2
07	**1+ Date**: 21-08-2025 **2+ & 3+ Date**: 21-08-2025	1+ 07. Received-21-08-2025.pdf 2+ 07. Legal_Aid_Chasing_provision_of_proof_of_benefits-21-08-2025.docx 3+ 07. Legal_Aid_Chasing_provision_of_proof_of_benefits-21-08-2025.pdf	**07 — 21-08-2025 — Legal Aid Chasing Proof of Benefits** **Description:** Correspondence requesting repeated proof of benefits for Legal Aid despite prior submissions. Shows administrative errors and confusion between Case 1 and Case 2.	**1+ Pages**: 1 **2+& 3+ Pages**: 1
08	21-08-2025	1+ 08. Email Sent-21-08-2025.pdf	**08 — 21-08-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email sent to solicitors regarding Legal Aid documentation and procedural concerns. Supports the defence narrative of repeated administrative failures.	**Pages**: 2
09	26-08-2025	1+ 09. Chat to Nova about Case2-26-08-25.pdf	**09 — 26-08-2025 — Nova Chat About Case 2**	**Pages**: 6

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			Description: Chat transcript summarising the defendant's account of the **26-08-2025** arrest for alleged tag breach and fabricated assault charge. Provides contemporaneous detail of events.	
09.1	**1+** **Dates 1**: 27/08/25 **2**: 27/08/25 **b)** **Dates** **1**: 02/08/25 **2**: 02/08/25 **c)** **Dates 1**: Numerous Dates! **2**: Numerous Dates! **3**: Numerous Dates! **4**: Numerous Dates!	1+ **<Dir>** 09.1. Case2-**26-08-25**-Assult-GPS-Wrongfull-Arrest [To Parent Directory] **a)** **<Dir>** 0. Original-Archive (2) [To Parent Directory] 1 Cordell Simon Paul 01YE1300125 Initial Details Pros Case.pdf 2 Let - First Appearance With Leaflet.docx **b)** **<Dir>** 01. Emails-Case2-26-08-25-Made-**02-10-25**-Assult [To Parent Directory] 1 01. New Received.pdf 2 02. New Sent.pdf **c)** **<Dir>** 03. PNC-New-18-09-25 AM [To Parent Directory] 1 Cordell Simon Paul 01YE1300125 Initial Details Pros Case.docx.docx 2 Combined-2017-2020-2021-WorkOut-Code-4-	**The Second Case created due to the first case Bail Conditions, Alleged Assault 26/08/2025** **09.1 — 26-08-2025 — Case 2 Folder (Assault / GPS Wrongful Arrest)** **Description:** **This is case twos files and should have just:** **1+ a)** **Letter From Tuckers Solicitors**: First Appearance With Leaflet. But I added other case files to do with it here! **1+ b)** Emails dated **02/10/25** to do with trial postponement at Cps Request. **1+ c) in all docs** Contains the files relating to case 2 the wrongly alleged assault case dated the **26/08/25** that was latter disclosed on the date of the **01-09-25**. All to do with the **PNC** record submitted by CPS in the disclosed **01-09-25** case files. This folder cabinet is how this case was first filed and is now old. If I update it to the latest files it will break the timeline in this document. The: [To Parent Directory] is the first folder cabinet and inside of it is the PNC files to be returned: **<Dir>** 03. PNC-New-18-09-25	**1+** **Pages** **1**: 36 **2**: 13 **b)** **Pages** **1**: 2 **2**: 2 **c)** **Pages** **1**: 77 **2**: 435 **3**: 76 **4**: 76 **5**: 435 **6**: 1 **7**: 1 **8**: 28 **9**: 14

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
	5: Numerous Dates! **6**: Numerous Dates! **7**: Numerous Dates! **8**: 26/08/25 Time: 23:15 **9**: 26/08/25 Time: 23:15 **d) Dates** **a)**: Numerous Dates! **b)**: 26/08/25 Time: 23:15 **c)**: Numerous Dates! **d)**: Numerous Dates! **e)**: 15/05/2025 **f)**: 15/05/2025 **g)**: Numerous Dates! **h)**: **<Dir> Dates**	PNC-27-08-23.docx.docx 3 Cordell Simon Paul 01YE1300125 Initial Details Pros Case .docx.docx 4 Cordell Simon Paul 01YE1300125 Initial Details Pros Case .pdf.pdf 5 An Easy Way.docx.docx 6 Conviction.docx.docx 7 05. Conviction .pdf.pdf 8 Cordell Simon Paul 01YE1300125 Initial Details Pros Case .docx.docx 9 Original Mod-Cordell Simon Paul 01YE1300125 Initial Details Pros Case.docx.docx d) **<Dir>** 10. 1Test [To Parent Directory] a) Combined 2017-2020-2021 Work Out-Code 4-PNC 27-08-23.pdf b) Original -Mod Cordell Si 01YE1300125 Initial Details Pros Case pdf.pdf c) Acro 2021 PNC 9799378V Cordell Si Mod 31-01-2024.pdf d) Cordell Si 01YE1300125 Initial Details Pros Case pdf.pdf e) New-Pre-Action Conduct Letter 12-05-25V1.pdf f) Request Police Acro Markers.pdf g) 02-08-25 New-Email-19-09-25.pdf h) **<Dir>** 08. Acro-Return-Workout [To Parent Directory]		**d) Pages** **a)**: 435 **b)**: 14 **c)**: 87 **d)**: 76 **e)**: 79 **f)**: 90 **g)**: 6 **h)**: **<Dir>** **1**: 36 **2:** Same as above! **3:** 36

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
	1: 15/05/2025 **2:** Same as above! **3:** 15/05/2025 **4:** 0 System File! **5:** 15/05/2025 **6:** 15/05/2025 **i) 1**: **<Dir> Dates** **0A:** 15/05/2025 **0B:** 15/05/2025 **0C:** 15/05/2025 **0D:** 0 System File! **0E:** **i) 2**: **<Dir> Dates** **0F:** 15/05/2025 **0G:** 15/05/2025 **0H:** 15/05/2025	1 Our-Request-Sheet-Police-Acro-Markers.docx 2 Our-Request-Sheet-Police-Acro-Markers.htm 3 Our-Request-Sheet-Police-Acro-Markers.pdf 4 **<Dir>** 01. Our-Request-Sheet-Police-Acro-Markers_files 5 Our Request Sheet Arrests Acro Interruptions Made ByPolice.docx 6 Our Request Sheet Arrests Acro Interruptions Made ByPolice.pdf i) **<Dir>** 09. This one--ReturnWorkout2of3Workouts [To Parent Directory] 1 **<Dir>** 01. Pt1 [To Parent Directory] 0A. RequestPoliceAcroMarkers.docx 0B. RequestPoliceAcroMarkers.htm 0C. RequestPoliceAcroMarkers.pdf 0D. **<Dir>** 01. Request Police Acro Markers_files 0E. Request Police Acro Markers old Keep.docx 2 **<Dir>** 02. Pt2 [To Parent Directory] 0F. Request4ArrestsDisposables.docx 0G. Request4ArrestsDisposables.htm 0H. Request4ArrestsDisposables.pdf		**4:** 0 System File! **5:** 176 **6:** 176 **i) 1**: **<Dir>** **0A:** 88 **0B:** 88 **0C:** 66 **0D:** 0 System File! **0E:** 89 **i) 2**: **<Dir>** **0A:** 176 **0B:** 0 **0C:** 176 **0D:** 0 System File! **0E:** 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
	0I: 0 System File! **0J:** 15/05/2025 **e) Date**: 15/05/2025	0I. **<Dir>** 01. Request4ArrestsDisposables_files 0J. ReturnWorkout2of3Workouts.rar **e)** 4. CORDELL_Simon_Paul_01YE1300125_Initial_Details_Pros_Case_pdf.docx		**e) Pages**: 76
10	**1+ Date**: 28-08-2025 **2+ Date**: 28-08-2025	**1+** 10. Email Received-28-08-2025.pdf **2+** 10. Legal_Aid_Chasing_provision_of_proof_of_benefits-28-08-2025.docx **3+** 10. Legal_Aid_Chasing_provision_of_proof_of_benefits-28-08-2025.pdf	**10 — 28-08-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** Email confirming continued Legal Aid document requests for **Case 2** despite prior submissions. Supports defence argument of unnecessary delay and administrative mishandling. **10 — 28-08-2025 — Legal Aid Chasing (DOCX/PDF)** **Description:** Documents showing repeated requests for financial evidence already provided. Highlights systemic miscommunication within Legal Aid processing.	**1+ Pages**: 1 **2+ Pages**: 1
11	**Date**: 29-08-25.	**1+** 11. Email Received-29-08-25.pdf	**11 — 29-08-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** Email from solicitors regarding Legal Aid requirements. Demonstrates ongoing attempts by the defendant to comply with administrative requests.	**Pages**: 2
12	**Date**: 29-08-25.	**1+** 12. Email Sent-29-08-25.pdf	**12 — 29-08-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email sent to solicitors providing requested information and seeking clarification on Legal Aid status. Shows defendant's cooperation.	**Pages**: 2
13	**Date**: 01-09-25	**1+** 13. Received-01-09-25.pdf	**13 — 01-09-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** Email confirming receipt of correspondence but noting incomplete CPS disclosure. Supports defence position regarding missing materials.	**Pages**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
14	**Date**: 01-09-25	1+ 14. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf	**14 — 01-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email requesting clarification on disclosure and Legal Aid coverage. Demonstrates defendant's efforts to obtain procedural clarity.	**Pages**: 3
15	**Date**: 01-09-25	1+ 15. Received-01-09-25.pdf	**15 — 01-09-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** Email from solicitors acknowledging ongoing issues with disclosure and case preparation.	**Pages**: 3
16	**Date**: 01-09-25	1+ 16. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf	**16 — 01-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email raising concerns about missing CPS materials and requesting full disclosure.	**Pages**: 3
17	**Date**: 01-09-25	1+ 17. Received-01-09-25.pdf	**17 — 01-09-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** Email confirming partial disclosure of Mr. S. Cordell's Prepared Case files! "**Also, Proving That He Still Trying To Obtain Legal Aid.**"	**Pages**: 3
18	**1+** **Date**: 01-09-25 **2+** **Date**: 01-09-25 **3+** **Date**: 01-09-25	1+ 18. Received-01-09-25.pdf 2+ 18. Let - Client Legal Aid Granted - Devolved Powers - RO MC through to CC-01-09-25.docx 3+ 18. Let - Client Legal Aid Granted - Devolved Powers - RO MC through to CC-01-09-25.pdf	**"First Disclosure to the Defendant Case 2 with RO Order"** **18 — 01-09-2025 — LEGAL AID GRANTED (Representation Order)** **Description:** Documents confirming Legal Aid granted for Case 2 (**26-08-2025**). This Document has since been overview by legal aid and said not to be a real, so is more than likely frauded! The Exhibited also Includes Correspondence Showing **"Confusion Regarding Legal Aid For Case 1 (02-08-2025)."**	**1+** **Pages**: 1 **2+** **Pages**: 3 **3+** **Pages**: 3
19	**Dates**: 01-09-25	1+ 19. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf	**19 — 01-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email "**Seeking Confirmation That Legal Aid Covers Both Cases."**	**Pages**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			Highlights confusion caused by administrative errors.	
20	**1+ Date**: 01-09-25 **2+ Dates:** **a)**: **b)**: **c)**: **d)**: **e)**: **f)**: **g)**: **h)**: **i)**: **j)**: All As Below!	1+ 20. Received-01-09-25.pdf 2+ 20. Received Attachments-01-09-25.zip a) ROH_01_mp4.mp4 22.2 MB b) Let_to_Client_Magistrates_Court_Trial_Advice.docx c) 107.3 kB Rebecca_O_hare_pdf.pdf 258.8 KB d) PC_Wilson_Wallis_pdf.pdf 376.9 kB e) CORDELL_Simon_01YE1267925_Section_9_pdf.pdf 554.9 kB f) Rebecca_O_HARE_2nd_pdf.pdf 122.5 kB g) PC_Chan_pdf.pdf 272.8 kB h) PC_Williams_pdf.pdf 134.2 kB i) Case_Summary_pdf.pdf 183.5 kB Defendant_Notice_of_Grant_of_Bail_pdf.pdf 54.4 kB j) Simon_CORDELL_Pre_Cons_pdf.pdf 39.8 kB k) PC_obsiye_pdf.pdf 264.7 kB Simon_CORDELL_Charges_pdf.pdf 179.8 kB l) signature-transparent-bg_bd3b4ec2-9608-4754-bd6a-54dd565a5306.png 11.3 kB	**"First Disclosure in Zip File to the Defendant Case 1 with no RO Order dated 04/8/2025," "***Live Links Are Provided Below This Section.*" **20 — 01-09-2025 — Received + Attachments** **Description:** CPS disclosure by email individual pdf files with no Case Ratio Folder. Reviewed by the defendant for the first time. the Email and its attachments include video file, trial advice letter, and witness materials. Demonstrates partial disclosure and ongoing procedural issues.	**1+ Pages**: 2 **2+ Pages a)**: 0 **b)**: 0 **c)**: 0 **d)**: 0 **e)**: 0 **f)**: 0 **g)**: 0 **h)**: 0 **i)**: 0 **j)**: 0 As Below!
21	**1+ Date**: 01-09-25 **2+ Dates**: 01-09-25 **a)**: **b)**: **c)**: **d)**:	1+ 21. Received-01-09-25.pdf 2+ **<Dir>** 20.1. Original-CPS-Case-Archive (1) 01-09-25 [To Parent Directory] a) Case_Summary_pdf.pdf b) CORDELL_Simon_01YE1267925_Section_9_pdf.pdf	**"These are the files to the First Disclosure to the Defendant Case 1 with no RO Order dated 04/8/2025"** **21 — 01-09-2025 — Received + CPS Case Archive** **Description:** These are the individual police and CPS files relating to the arrest of **26-08-2025**. The material shows clear inconsistencies, charge swaps, and procedural defects across the case. Several key documents	**1+ Pages**: 2 **2+ Pages** **a)**: 7 **b)**: 10 **c)**: 2 **d)**: 5 **e)**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
	e): **f)**: **g)**: **h)**: **i)**: **j)**: **k)**: **l)**: **m)**: **n)**: **3+**: As Above 14 Files!	c) Defendant_Notice_of_Grant_of_Bail_pdf.pdf d) Let_to_Client_Magistrates_Court_Trial_Advice.docx e) PC_Chan_pdf.pdf f) PC_obsiye_pdf.pdf g) PC_Williams_pdf.pdf h) PC_Wilson_Wallis_pdf.pdf i) Rebecca_O_HARE_2nd_pdf.pdf j) Rebecca_O_hare_pdf.pdf k) ROH_01_mp4.mp4 l) Simon_CORDELL_Charges_pdf.pdf m) Simon_CORDELL_Pre_Cons_pdf.pdf n) Simon_CORDELL_Pre_Cons_pdf_pages.docx 3+ **<Dir>** 21.1. Received Attachments	that would demonstrate the defendant's innocence are missing, despite the police and CPS already having control of those files at the time of disclosure that are latter in this timeline revealed The folder includes IDPC materials, emails, PNC extracts, and CPS documents, but "**No Case Ratio Folder Was Provided.**" The absence of the Case Ratio Folder is significant, as it is the central document that should outline the evidential basis, risk assessment, and decision-making process for continuing or discontinuing a prosecution. Its omission raises concerns about incomplete disclosure, improper case management, and the possibility that exculpatory material was withheld.	**f)**: 2 **g)**: 1 **h)**: 2 **i)**: 1 **j)**: 2 **k)**: 1 **l)**: 3 **m)**: 13 **n)**: 13 **3+**: As Above 14 Files!
22	**Date**: 01-09-25	1+ 22. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf	**22 — 01-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email confirming "**The Defendant Will Review All Correspondence And Documents In Full.**" Demonstrates active engagement with disclosure and case preparation.	**Pages**: 2
23	**Date**: 01-09-25	1+ 23. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf	**23 — 01-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Request for full disclosure relating to the **04-08-2025** case.	**Pages**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			"**Highlights That The CPS Had Not Provided Unused Material Or A Complete IDPC."**	
24	**Date**: 01-09-25	1+ 24. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf	**24 — 01-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email confirming that all IDPC documents received from CPS were forwarded as separate files. Shows transparency and organisation in defence handling at the time but it later became apparent that all the files were not really disclosed to Mr. S. P Cordell and neither was the case ratio folder!	**Pages**: 3
25	**Date**: 01-09-25	1+ 25. Received --Not in File--Not in File Same as above-01-09-25.pdf	**25 — 01-09-2025 — Received (Not in File)** **Description:** Email response from the solicitor dismissing key disclosure requests for the **04-08-2025** case, including officer notebooks, full BWV, and the missing IDPC. Demonstrates significant gaps in CPS disclosure, "**The Solicitor's Refusal To Pursue Essential Evidence, And Administrative Inconsistencies That Left The Defendant Without The Materials Required For A Fair Defence.**"	**Pages**: 3
25.1	**1+ Dates**: 12-09-25 **a)**: **b)**: **c)**: As Above!	1+ **<Dir>** 25.1. Saheed-Statement-12-09-25 [To Parent Directory] a) 01 of 3.jpg b) 02 of 3.jpg c) 03 of 3.jpg	**25.1 - 12-09-2025 -Official Statement** **Description:** Three-page witness statement from **Saheed**, confirming that officers (not PC Chan) removed Mr. S. Cordell from the flat, placed him on the floor outside, and kept him there until the ambulance arrived — events missing from the disclosed BWV. Saheed's account contradicts the police version of events and confirms the presence of additional witnesses (including Mr. Cordell's aunt and another neighbour) whom Mr. Cordell could not contact due to bail restrictions. This statement proves that key BWV from the other attending officers was withheld to obtain a wrongful guilty conviction.	**1+ Pages** **a)**: 1 **b)**: 1 **c)**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
25.2	**1+** **Date:** N/a	1+ **<Dir>** 25.2. Defence Statement Vs 115-Rebbeca O-Hare [To Parent Directory] a) 01. Defence Statement Vs 115-Rebbeca O-Hare.docx b) 01. Defence Statement Vs 115-Rebbeca O-Hare.pdf	**Used For Defence Files!**	**1+** **Pages:** 59
26	**Date**: 15-09-25	1+ 26. Email-Sent-15-09-25.pdf	**26 — 15-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Comprehensive Email Sent To Solicitor **Andrew Benington** Formally Requesting His Attendance At The Upcoming Trial On **13-10-2025** And Raising Urgent Concerns About Missing Disclosure, Procedural Defects, And Unlawful Case Handling. The Email Details Barriers Caused By Bail Conditions, Lack Of Access To Legal Documents, And Delays In Legal Aid. It Includes Formal Requests For Full BWV Disclosure From All Attending Officers, Summonses For Key Witnesses (Including PC Chan, PC Obsiye, The Arresting Officer, The Female Officer Involved In Forced Entry, And Neighbour Witnesses), And Identifies Multiple Inconsistencies In Police Statements, Including Back-Dated And Contradictory Accounts. The Email Also Challenges The Legality Of The **04-08-2025** Charge, Citing Lack Of Arrest, Absence Of Interview, Denial Of Legal Advice, And Post-Release Fabrication Of Allegations. Additional Requests Include Full Custody Records, CAD Logs, Tagging-Company Records, Medical Evidence, And Preparation For Dismissal Applications, IOPC Complaints, And Potential Judicial Review. The Correspondence Demonstrates The Defendant's Proactive Efforts To Obtain Full Disclosure, Correct Procedural Errors, And Secure A Fair Trial, Contrasted With Ongoing Delays And Lack Of Action From Solicitors.	**Pages**: 7
27	**1+** **Date:** 15-09-25.	1+ 27. Email-Received-15-09-25.pdf	**27 — 15-09-2025 — Email Received** **Description:**	**1+** **Pages**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
	2 + Audio: 24-09-25	2+ 27. Recording-24-09-25-1025am.m4a	Solicitor's acknowledgement of procedural delays and confirmation of receipt of defence materials. "**Talks Of Agreements by way of Email and Mp4 Audio For A Meeting To Take Place Due Legal Aid Being Said to have been legally agreed!"** **This Supports The Defense's Position That**: Email from Tuckers Solicitors discussing arrangements for a meeting on the basis that legal aid had been "**Agreed.**" At this point, legal aid had **not** been granted, and the firm had repeatedly refused to act until funding was confirmed. A non-official "**RO Order**" was previously sent to imply that legal aid approval existed, but the Legal Aid Agency has since confirmed that no such authorisation had been issued. The meeting was arranged only after direct contact was made to resolve the ongoing procedural issues. The expectation was that having attended the earlier harassment case, the firm would recognise that the police URN for the **04-08-2025** allegation had already been marked "**No Further Action"** and could not lawfully be reused. Instead of addressing this, the firm relied on the swapped charge and the same reused URN and proceeded to serve the non-official RO document as if it were genuine. This email demonstrates misleading communication about funding status, inconsistent representation, and uncertainty over whether the firm was acting under proper authority. It also shows that disclosure issues remained unresolved, with no confirmation that missing CPS material had been obtained or reviewed.	**2 + Audio**: 1
27.1	**1+ Audio File**: 24-09-25	1+ **<Dir>** 27.1. 24-09-25--1025am [To Parent Directory] a) Recording-00. 24-09-25-1025am.m4a	**27.1 — 24-09-2025 — Audio Recording Folder** **Description:** Audio recording and supporting folder documenting a key interaction with solicitors regarding Legal Aid and disclosure. Demonstrates defendant's	**1+ Audio File**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			attempts to comply and solicitor's failure to act.	
28	**Date**: 26-09-25	1+ 28. Email-Sent-26-09-25.docx 2+ 28. Email-Sent-Now-26-09-25.pdf	**28 — 26-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email explaining technical limitations, requesting Urgent: Request for Disclosure, Trial Extension & Review of Case Files, by providing detailed instructions for case compliance. Shows defendant's proactive case management.	**Pages**: 4
29	**Date**: 26-09-25	1+ 29. Received-26-09-25.pdf	**29 — 26-09-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** "**Solicitor's Response Acknowledging Receipt Of Defendant's Instructions And Confirming Arrangements For A Meeting."** Demonstrates ongoing communication issues.	**Pages**: 4
30	**Date**: 26-09-25	1+ 30. Email-Sent-26-09-25.pdf	**30 — 26-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Follow-up email reiterating instructions and requesting confirmation of actions taken by solicitors. Supports defence argument of repeated miscommunication.	**Pages**: 4
31	**Date**: 29-09-25	1+ 31. Email-Sent-29-09-25.pdf	**31 — 29-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email documenting confusion around case listings, Legal Aid, and GPS bail conditions. Email confirming availability for a Teams meeting due to safety concerns and limited ability to travel. The message requests a trial postponement because only 18 days remained and disclosure, evidence review, and case preparation were still incomplete. It also provides a temporary evidence repository link and asks Tuckers to confirm which disclosure items had been actioned, including BWV, officer notebooks, and attendance records. The email highlights ongoing procedural delays and the need for urgent clarification from solicitors. Highlights solicitor inaction and procedural failures.	**Pages**: 5

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
32	**Date**: 29-09-25	1+ 32. Received-29-09-25.pdf	**32 — 29-09-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** Solicitor's response addressing meeting concerns but failing to resolve key issues regarding disclosure and Legal Aid.	**Pages**: 5
33	**Date**: 29-09-25	1+ 33. Email-Sent-29-09-25.pdf	**33 — 29-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email confirming attendance for the scheduled meeting and raising urgent concerns about unresolved case directions, ignored requests for court intervention, and outstanding disclosure failures under URN **01YE1300125**. The message highlights that earlier formal instructions dated **07-08-2025** and **01-09-2025** were not actioned and stresses the need for immediate solicitor intervention to prevent prejudice to the upcoming trial. Demonstrates continued efforts to secure procedural fairness and ensure the court is informed of risks to a fair outcome.	**Pages**: 5
34	**Date**: 30-09-25	1+ 34. Received-30-09-25.pdf	**34 — 30-09-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** Confirmation of scheduled Teams meeting with solicitors for **02-10-2025.** Demonstrates defendant's willingness to engage constructively.	**Pages**: 1
35	**Date**: 30-09-25	1+ 35. Sent-30-09-25.pdf	**35 — 30-09-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email confirming acceptance of meeting and outlining concerns regarding charge swaps and disclosure. Supports defence narrative of solicitor obstruction.	**Pages**: 2
35.1	**1+** **Date**: 02-10-25 **a)**: 02-10-25 **b)**: 02-10-25 **c):**	1+ **<Dir>** 35.1. 02-10-25-Audio-Film-Meeting [To Parent Directory] a) 35. Draft-Minutes-of-the-Meeting-02-10-25.docx b) 35. Draft-Minutes-of-the-Meeting-02-10-25.pdf c) Recording (27)-Mp3.mp3	**35.1 — 02-10-2025 — Audio/Film Meeting Folder** **Description:** **Recordings Of Meeting With Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff**: documenting discussions about disclosure, illegal charge swaps, and procedural breaches. "**Provides Direct Evidence Of Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff Misrepresentation!**"	**1+** **Pages:** 91 **a)**: 91 **b)**: 91 **c) Audio File**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
	Audio File: 02-10-25	d) Recording (27).m4a e) **<Dir>** Splits		
36	**Date:** 02-10-25	1+ 36. Draft-Minutes-of-the-Meeting-02-10-25.pdf	**36 — 02-10-2025 — Draft Minutes of Meeting** **Description:** **"Breaking Point: Procedural Misconduct by Defence Representative"** These minutes formally record the critical procedural failure that occurred during the solicitor–client consultation on 02/10/2025. This failure directly resulted in the wrongful continuation of criminal proceedings for an offence for which the defendant was "**Never Arrested, Cautioned, Interviewed, Or Legally Advised**." **1. Incorrect Arrest Basis Ignored by Representative** During the consultation, the defendant repeatedly informed the attending representative (**A Trainee Paralegal**) that the "**Sole Reason For Arrest"** was *"harassment at the front door."* This was fully supported by: • The **Custody Record**, • The **Mg4 Charge Sheet**, • The **Pace-Compliant Arrest Explanation**, And • The **Undisclosed Police Materials** Already In The Firm's Possession. Despite this, the representative "**Refused To Check"** any of these documents. Instead, she insisted—incorrectly—that the arrest had been for "**Section 4a Public Order Act,**" relying solely on the wording of the *prepared statement* drafted by the duty solicitor, rather than the actual arrest grounds recorded by police. **2. Defendant's Requests for Verification Ignored** The defendant repeatedly asked her to verify the custody logs, which clearly showed: • **No arrest for Section 4A**, • **No caution for Section 4A**,	**Pages**: 9

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			• **An interview conducted under the wrong offence**, • **No solicitor recall** when the allegation was changed to *Threats to Cause Criminal Damage*, • **No Re-Interview**: for the substituted allegation, • **No Legal Advice**: on the substituted allegation, • **No Lawful Basis**: for charge substitution under PACE or CPS charging standards. She declined to check any of these materials, including: • **The Police Statements, And** • **The Police Body-Worn Footage, Which Would Have Confirmed The Defendant's Account.** **3. Incorrect Legal Advice Provided** Instead of verifying the documents, she repeatedly asserted—incorrectly—that: **"It's Fine For The Police To Swap Charges."** This statement contradicts: • **PACE Code C**, • **PACE Code G**, • **CPS Charging Manual**, • **MG4 procedural requirements**, and • the defendant's right to legal advice on the allegation actually being charged. This was the "**Breaking Point**." Her refusal to verify the arrest grounds, combined with her incorrect legal assertions, meant the defendant was left exposed to punishment "**Without Any Fair Or Lawful Process**." **4. Retaliation After Defendant Recorded the Meeting:** Because the defendant recorded the meeting (which he was legally entitled to do), the representative refused to continue acting for him. The remainder of the firm also withdrew, rather than reviewing the concerns raised.	

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			No senior solicitor reviewed her work, despite multiple complaints. No corrective action was taken. As a result, the firm allowed the case to proceed on a charge that the defendant had "**Never Been Arrested For, Never Cautioned For, And Never Interviewed About**." **5. Consequences of the Firm's Failure** This failure directly resulted in: • the defendant being exposed to a criminal conviction for an offence he was "**Never Lawfully Processed For**," • the obstruction of his ability to present a proper defence, • the concealment of procedural defects that should have halted the case entirely, and • the firm benefiting from continued duty-solicitor involvement while ignoring the defendant's repeated warnings. **6. Final Note** These minutes confirm that the concerns were raised "**Clearly, Repeatedly, And At An Early Stage**." They were acknowledged by Tuckers Solicitors Staff yet "**Deliberately Ignored By Them Allowing The Miscarriage Of Justice To Me To Continue**."	
37	**Date:** 02-10-25	1+ 37. Sent-02-10-25.pdf	**37 — 02-10-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** A follow-up email sent immediately after the meeting, reiterating the key legal errors discussed and requesting urgent corrective action. The email demonstrates that, upon reviewing the law and the custody materials himself, the defendant realised he had been correct about the arrest grounds and procedural requirements. He therefore drafted and submitted a clear police-note to resolve the issues caused by the solicitor's incorrect guidance.	**Pages**: 3

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			This entry evidences the defendant's diligence, his proactive effort to protect his legal rights, and his attempt to correct the procedural mistakes that the representative failed to address.	
38	**Date:** 03-10-25	1+ 38. Sent-03-10-25.pdf	**38 — 03-10-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email emphasising need for proper disclosure and clarity regarding charge swaps. Supports defence argument of solicitor obstruction.	**Pages**: 5
39	**Date:** 06-10-25	1+ 39. Sent--06-10-25.pdf 2+ 39. Sent-06-10-25.docx	**39 — 06-10-2025 — Emails + Recordings** **Description:** A collection of emails and audio recordings sent and received on **06 October 2025**, evidencing the defendant's continued attempts to obtain lawful representation after identifying major procedural breaches. These communications show that the solicitors were repeatedly made aware of: • Failures To Disclose Essential Materials, • Contradictions Between Arrest Grounds And The Charge Pursued, • The Absence Of Any Lawful Interview Or Caution For The Substituted Offence, And • The Defendant's Requests For Clarification, Correction, And Senior Review. The emails and recordings demonstrate that the defendant acted diligently, persistently, and in good faith to protect his legal rights, while the representatives failed to address or rectify the issues raised.	**Pages**: 1
40	**Date:** 06-10-25	1+ 40. Sent-06-10-25.pdf	**40 — 06-10-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Further correspondence sent on **06 October 2025** raising urgent concerns about continued disclosure failures and the solicitor's lack of action. The email highlights that the "**CCCJS Offence Codes**" on the case do "**Not**" relate to Section 4A but instead correspond to a "**New Criminal Offence Added After The**	**Pages**: 13

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			Original Harassment Allegation Was NFA'd," without any lawful arrest, caution, or interview for the substituted charge. This entry evidences the defendant's ongoing attempts to correct procedural errors and obtain proper disclosure before trial.	
41	**Date:** 06-10-25	1+ 41. Recorded-06-10-25-time17-45.m4a	**41 — 06-10-2025 — Recording** **Description:** Audio recording capturing defendant's attempts to clarify case status and obtain disclosure.	**Pages**: 1
42	**1+** **Date:** 06-10-25 **1+** **Date:** 06-10-25 **3+** **Audio File**: 06-10-25	1+ 42. Sent-06-10-25.pdf 2+ 42. Recording-06-10-25.m4a 3+ 42. Recording-06-10-25_1 split.m4a	**42 — 06-10-2025 — Recording + Email** **Description:** Email: I requested for my Solicitor firm to share their mobile number so I can pass it to my witness as they refused to contact them prior when requested to do so! **Also, We Exhibit Further Audio Evidence**: documenting solicitor refusal to address procedural issues.	**1+** **Pages:** 1 **2+** **Pages:** 1 **3+** **Audio File**: 2
42.1	**Date:** 06-10-25	1+ **<Dir>** 42.1. 06-10-25 [To Parent Directory] a) Recording (28).m4a b) Recording (28)_1 split.m4a	**42.1 — 06-10-2025 — Folder** **Description:** Supporting folder containing additional materials linked to the 06-10-2025 recordings.	**Pages**: 2
43	**Date:** 07-10-25	1+ 43. Sent-07-10-25.pdf	**43 — 07-10-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email documenting solicitor refusal to reply or acknowledge charge swap unlawfulness and failure to disclose key evidence.	**Pages**: 5
44	**Date:**	44		**Pages**: 0
45	**1+** **Date:** 07-10-25 **2+** **Audio File**: 07-10-25	1+ 45. Sent-07-10-25.pdf 2+ 45. Recording-07-10-25.m4a	**45 — 07-10-2025 — Recording + Email** **Description:** Correspondence sent showing solicitor misrepresentation of facts, refusal to accept defendant's written statement, and denial of access to drafted statement or to take any witness statements.	**1+** **Pages:** 1 **2+** **Audio File**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			Audio recording discussing these matters of urgent concern!	
45.1	**1+ Audio File**: 07-10-25	1+ **<Dir>** 45.1. 07-10-25 [To Parent Directory] a) Recording (29).m4a	**45.1 — 07-10-2025 — Folder Description:** Supporting folder containing audio material linked to the 07-10-2025.	**1+ Audio File**: 1
46	**1+ Audio:** 08-10-25 **2+ Date:** 08-10-25 **3+ Date:** 08-10-25	1+ 46. Sent-08-10-25.pdf 1+ 46. Title 115-Rebbeca - Key.pdf 2+ 46. Recording-08-10-25 -- 1430Pm.m4a	**46 — 08-10-2025 — Recording + Key Document** **Description:** This entry contains two key emails sent on **08 October 2025**, together with the attached document **"*115-Rebecca-Key.pdf*"** and an audio recording from **14:30 PM** on the same date. **Email Content Summary:** The emails formally raise urgent legal concerns, including: • **REFERENCE TO O'HARA V CHIEF CONSTABLE OF THE RUC (1997),** highlighting that police cannot arrest for one offence and later substitute a different offence without stating it at the time of arrest. • A challenge to the prosecution narrative, demonstrating that the arrest was "**Only For Harassment**," while the later charge of "**Threats to Cause Criminal Damage"** was introduced "**Without Lawful Arrest, Caution, Or Interview**." • Notification that critical evidence has been "**Withheld,**" including body-worn footage, police notebooks, and disclosure materials. • A request for immediate solicitor action due to the proximity of trial and ongoing procedural breaches. **Email Attachment: --** **"*115-Rebecca-Key.pdf*":** This document provides: • A detailed breakdown of contradictions in the complainant's account.	**1+ Audio:** 1 **2+ Pages:** 6 **3+ Pages:** 92

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			• Key-location evidence showing the physical layout of the block and distances between doors, undermining the allegation. • Screenshots and metadata demonstrating that the complainant's claims are inconsistent with the actual environment and timeline. • Evidence that the prosecution relied on "**Incorrect Or Incomplete Information.**" **Audio Recording (08-10-25 — 14:30 PM):** The recording captures: • A conversation with Tuckers Solicitor Firm staff regarding urgent procedural concerns. • The defendant raising issues about "**Non-Disclosure**, **Incorrect Offence Substitution**, And **Lack Of Solicitor Action**." • Confirmation that the defendant repeatedly attempted to obtain lawful representation and disclosure before trial. • Evidence of solicitor inaction despite the defendant's clear warnings and requests. **Purpose of Exhibit:** This entry demonstrates: • The defendant's diligence in identifying and reporting procedural breaches. • Clear evidence that the solicitor was informed of the unlawful charge substitution and disclosure failures. • Proof that the defendant provided key evidence (the "Rebecca Key" document) that undermines the prosecution case. • A documented pattern of solicitor inaction despite urgent concerns raised on **08/10/2025**.	
46.1	**Date:** 08-10-25	1+ **<Dir>** 46.1. 08-10-25 --1430 [To Parent Directory] **a)** Recording (30).m4a	**46.1 — 08-10-2025 — Folder Description:** Supporting folder containing additional	**Pages**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			materials related to the **08-10-2025** recording between the defendant and Tuckers Solicitor Firm!"	
47	**Date:** 09-10-25	1+ 47. Recording-09-10-25-Tuckers-1645.m4a	**47 — 09-10-2025 — Recording Description:** Recording of meeting with solicitors confirming their awareness of procedural breaches and disclosure failures.	**Pages**: 1
47.1	**Date:** 09-10-25	1+ **<Dir>** 47.1. 09-10-25-Tuckers-1645 [To Parent Directory] **a)** Recording (31).m4a	**47.1 — 09-10-2025 — Folder Description:** Supporting folder containing materials linked to the **09-10-2025** recording.	**Pages**: 1
48	**1+ Date:** 10-10-25 **2+ Date:** 10-10-25	1+ 48 Received and 49 Emails Sent-10-10-25.pdf 2+ 48 Received and 49 Sent-10-10-25.pdf	**"Second Disclosure to the Defendant Case 1"** **48 — 10-10-2025 — Email Received Description:** Email received on **10 October 2025** from Tuckers Solicitors confirming their withdrawal from the case. The message states that the firm will no longer act due to alleged publication of case materials and the recorded meeting with their trainee representative. This correspondence demonstrates that "**Key Disclosure Materials Were Only Acknowledged After The Firm Withdrew**," including the defendant's repeated requests for: • The Full Defence Bundle, • All Cps Materials, • And The Outstanding Police Body-Worn Video Previously Promised. The email evidences "**Late Disclosure, Abrupt Termination Of Representation,**" and the defendant's immediate request for full handover of documents to avoid prejudice with only days remaining before trial.	**1+ Pages: 2** **2+ Pages:** 2
49	**Date:**	49	**49 — 10-10-2025 — Email Sent Description:** Email sent to court and solicitors regarding disclosure failures and solicitor withdrawal.	**Pages**: 0

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
50	**Date:** 10-10-25	1+ 50. Received-10-10-25.pdf	**50 — 10-10-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** Email received on **10 October 2025** from Tuckers Solicitors, providing the defendant with a **Caseratio disclosure link** containing the materials held by the firm for *Case 1*. The email confirms: • That The Disclosure Bundle Is Now Available For Download, • That The Material Includes Both Used And Unused Evidence, • That Unused Material Is Restricted To The Parties And Must Not Be Shared Publicly, • And That Access To The Disclosure Expires On **13 October 2026** Or Upon Case Completion. This correspondence demonstrates that "**Formal Disclosure Was Only Provided After The Firm Had Withdrawn Representation**," leaving the defendant to manage the evidence independently at a critical stage of proceedings.	**Pages**: 2
51	**Date:** 10-10-25	1+ 51 Received and 52 Sent-10-10-25.pdf	**51 — 10-10-2025 — Email Received** **Description:** Email acknowledging receipt of documents but the firm failed to address missing unused material that they had in their possession!	**Pages**: 1
52	**Date:**	52	**52 — 10-10-2025 — Email Sent** **Description:** Email raising concerns about solicitor withdrawal and requesting urgent disclosure.	**Pages**: 0
53	**Date:** 10-10-25	1+ 53. Sent to Court-10-10-25.pdf	**53 — 10-10-2025 — Email Sent to Court** **Description:** Formal email to court notifying them of solicitor withdrawal and requesting urgent intervention regarding disclosure.	**Pages**: 2
54	**Date:** 10-10-25	1+ 54. New Solicitor-10-10-25.pdf	**54 — 10-10-2025 — New Solicitor Email** **Description:** Email confirming attempts to obtain new	**Pages**: 3

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			representation following solicitor withdrawal.	
55	**1+** **Date:** 10-10-25 **2+** **Date:** 10-10-25	1+ 55. New Solicitor-10-10-25.pdf 2+ 55. New Solicitor-Attached-10-10-25.pdf	**55 — 10-10-2025 — New Solicitor Email + Attachments** **Description:** Email received on **10 October 2025** from the new solicitor firm the defendant contacted after Tuckers withdrew. The correspondence confirms that, although the firm is willing to assist, "**Formal Onboarding Procedures And Regulatory Requirements Must Be Completed Before They Can Act**," meaning they cannot take over representation in time for the scheduled trial date. The email includes supporting attachments intended for court, explaining that: • The Defendant Sought New Legal Representation Immediately After Tuckers' Withdrawal, • The New Firm Requires Proper Authorisation And File Transfer Before They Can Intervene, • And An **Adjournment Of The Trial** Is Necessary To Allow Lawful Representation And Adequate Preparation. This entry demonstrates the defendant's proactive attempt to secure replacement counsel and highlights the "**Impossibility Of Preparing For Trial**" without time for the new firm to formally take over the case.	**1+** **Pages**: 3 **2+** **Pages**: 5
55.1	**1+** **Dates:** **a)**: 22-09-2025 **b)**: 22-09-2025 **c)**: 22-09-2025	1+ **<Dir>** 55.1. CPS-caseratio-10-10-25-Case-Files-02-08-25-[To Parent Directory] a) CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Initial Details Pros Case 22-09-2025.pdf b) CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Unused Material Disclosure 22-09-2025--.docx c) Original-CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Unused Material Disclosure 22-09-	**55.1 — 22-09-2025 — CPS Case Ratio Folder** **Description:** Folder containing CPS case ratio files and folder for Case 1 (**04-08-2025**). Shows that key materials were created late and disclosed only after solicitor withdrawal.	**1+** **Pages** **a)**: 81 **b)**: 44 **c)**: 41 **d)**: 63 **e)**: 63 **f)**: 1 **g)**: 1 **h)**: 1 **i)**: 1 **j)**: 7 **k)**: 1 **l)**: 10 **m)**: 10

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
		2025-.pdf		
	d): 22-09-2025	d) 02. Return CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925Unused Material Disclosure 22-09-2025.docx		
	e): 22-09-2025	e) 02. Return CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925Unused Material Disclosure22-09-2025.pdf		
	f): 22-09-2025	f) CKC_01_Witnessing_arrest_of_Simon_Cordell.mp4		
	g) : 22-09-2025	g) Rebbeca O-Hare's-Video-11. ROH 01 mp4.docx		
	h) : 22-09-2025	h) 04. Rebbeca O-Hare's-Video-11. ROH 01 mp4.pdf		
	i): 05-08-2025	i) 04. Rebbeca O-Hare's-Video-11. ROH_01_mp4.mp4		
	j): 22-09-2025	j) 04. Rebbeca O-Hare's-Video.docx		
	k): 22-09-2025	k) Axon_Interview_Interview_Room_7_Camera_1_mp4.mp4		
	l): 05-08-2025	l) CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Section 9 05-08-2025.pdf		
56	**Date:** 14-10-25	1+ 56. New Solicitor - Sent-14-10-25.pdf	**56 — 14-10-2025 — Clarification of Legal Aid History & Court Proceedings** **Description:** Letter/email setting out a clear chronology of Legal Aid applications, Representation Orders, and hearing history for both Case 1 (**02-08-2025**) and Case 2 (**26-08-2025**). Clarifies which case was actually covered by Legal Aid, identifies gaps or misallocations, and supports the defence argument that "**Case 1**" proceeded without	**Pages**: 6

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			a valid Representation Order and in the context of procedural confusion and all while "**Case 2"** was developed from the same bail conditions that were not in breach by the same police stations teams police officers.	
57	**Date:** 15-10-25	1+ 57. Received-15-10-25.pdf	**57 — 15-10-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 57. Received-15-10-25.pdf **Description:** Correspondence received on **15-10-2025** relating to ongoing case management, disclosure, or Legal Aid issues. Demonstrates continuing procedural uncertainty following the "**13th Of October Trial Date"** and the defendant's attempts to obtain clarity from the court/solicitors.	**Pages**: 4
57.1	**1+** **Dates:** 15-10-25 **a)**: 15-10-25 **b)**: 15-10-25 **c)**: 15-10-25	1+ **<Dir>** 57.1. 15-10-25-Court-Letter-Both-Cases [To Parent Directory] a) 01..pdf b) 02..pdf c) 03..pdf	**57.1 — 15-10-2025 — Court Letters for Both Cases** **File/Folder:** 57.1. 15-10-25-Court-Letter-Both-Cases (01..pdf, 02..pdf, 03..pdf) **Description:** A set of three court-issued documents dated **15 October 2025** relating to both **Case 1** and **Case 2. Document** 01..pdf contains the Notice of Grant of Bail and the adjournment reasons. **Document** 02..pdf sets out the bail conditions and lists the allegations for both the **02/08/2025** incident and the alleged **14/08/2025** Bail Act offence. **Document 03..pdf** records the alleged **26/08/2025** Bail Act offence. Together, these three documents show that the court processed the **02/08** allegation and the two later Bail Act allegations (**14/08 and 26/08**) within the same administrative bundle on the same date, demonstrating that both "**Case 1**" and "**Case 2**" were being handled together as linked matters.	**1+ Pages** **a)**: 1 **b)**: 1 **c)**: 1
58	**Date**: 16-10-25	1+ 58. Sent-16-10-25.pdf	**58 — 16-10-2025 — Email Sent** **File**: 58. Sent-16-10-25.pdf **Description:** **Chasing New Legal Representation**: -- Email sent by the defendant on **16-10-2025,** following the October events,	**Pages**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			raising concerns about arrest history, Legal Aid, disclosure, or trial fairness. Shows continued proactive engagement with the process.	
59	**Date**: 16-10-25	1+ 59. Received-16-10-25.pdf	**59 — 16-10-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 59. Received-16-10-25.Pdf **Description:** **Chasing New Legal Representation**: -- **Updated Description for Entry 59 — Using the Actual Email Content** **Description:** Email received on **16 October 2025** from McLartys Solicitors confirming receipt of the defendant's zipped evidence folder and addressing the issue of Legal Aid. The email records that Tuckers Solicitors were claiming to hold Legal Aid for both matters, including the second matter originally listed for trial on **13 October 2025**. McLartys state they have asked Tuckers to re-check whether a valid Legal Aid Order actually exists, as the court had indicated representation **under Sections 36/38**. The email confirms that if Tuckers do not hold Legal Aid, McLartys will submit a fresh Legal Aid application to the Legal Aid Agency (LAA) for the matters now listed for trial in November and December 2025. These correspondence forms part of the procedural audit trail showing the defendant's attempts to secure correct representation and clarify the Legal Aid position.	**Pages**: 3
59.1	**1+ Dates:** **a)**: 18-10-25 **b)**: 18-10-25 **c)**: 10-10-25 **d)**: 10-10-25	1+ **<Dir>** 59.1. Arrested-Again-17-10-25-1325Hours [To Parent Directory] a) Alleged Breach of bail-17-18--10-25.docx b) Alleged Breach of bail-17-18--10-25.pdf c) Tag Broke 10-10-25.docx d) Tag Broke 10-10-25.pdf	**59.1 — 17–18-10-2025 — Arrested Again / Tag Broke** **Folder:** 59.1. Arrested-Again-17-10-25-1325Hours **Files:** • Alleged Breach of bail-17-18--10-25.docx / .pdf • Tag Broke 10-10-25.docx / .pdf **Description:** Documents relating to a further arrest on 17-10-2025 for an alleged breach of bail, together with evidence that the tag physically broke on 10-10-2025. Supports the	**1+ Pages** **a)**: 3 **b)**: 3 **c)**: 1 **d)**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			defence case that any alleged breach was caused by equipment failure, not deliberate non-compliance.	
60	**1+ Date:** 27-10-25-01 **2+ Date:** 27-10-25	1+ 60. Email-Sent-27-10-25-1022Am.pdf 2+ 60. Email-Attachment-27-10-25-01. Here-Case-02-08-25.pdf	**60 — 27-10-2025 — Email Attachment + Email Sent** **Files:** • 60. Email-Attachment-27-10-25-01. Here-Case-02-08-25.pdf • 60. Email-Sent-27-10-25-1022Am.pdf **Description:** Email sent on **27 October 2025 at 10:22 a.m**. to multiple authorities (Tuckers, McLartys, LAA, MoJ, Metropolitan Police North Area BCU, and the SRA), formally raising procedural breaches, solicitor misrepresentation, contradictions in evidence, and unlawful bail/GPS extensions. The email requested a written response within seven days and referenced over forty supporting exhibits. The attached 17-page document focused on **Case 1** (**02/08/2025**), detailing charge substitution issues, Legal Aid misrepresentation, and abandonment of representation. This entry evidences that the defendant formally raised **Case 1** issues in writing before the November hearing, yet these concerns were later ignored.	**1+ Pages**: 17 **2+ Pages**: 3
61	**Date:** 27-10-25	1+ 61. Received-27-10-25.pdf	**61 — 27-10-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 61. Received-27-10-25.pdf **Description:** Automated failure notice received on 27 October 2025 confirming that the formal complaint and evidential chronology sent to McLartys Solicitors was rejected with “Recipient address rejected: Access denied.” This resulted in the complaint being re-sent at 10:58 a.m. to Tuckers Solicitors, as recorded in Entry 65.	**Pages**: 2
62	**Date:** 27-10-25	1+ 62. Received-27-10-25.pdf	**62 — 27-10-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 62. Received-27-10-25.pdf **Description:** Automatic acknowledgement from the	**Pages**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			Solicitors Regulation Authority confirming receipt of the defendant's report. The SRA advised that assessment may take up to 45 working days, outlined the types of issues they investigate, and directed service-related complaints to the Legal Ombudsman and data-protection concerns to the Information Commissioner	
63	**Date:** 27-10-25	1+ 63. Received-27-10-25.pdf	**63 — 27-10-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 63. Received-27-10-25.pdf **Description:** Automated reply from the Metropolitan Police North Area BCU DPA & FOIA mailbox confirming receipt of the defendant's correspondence. The message outlined the Data Office Team's operating hours, explained the process for Right of Access Requests under the Data Protection Act 2018, and provided links for subject access, FOI, rectification/erasure, environmental information, and other disclosure routes.	**Pages**: 1
64	**Date:** 27-10-25	1+ 64. Received-27-10-25.pdf	**64 — 27-10-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 64. Received-27-10-25.pdf **Description:** Automated response from the Legal Aid Agency Online Support Team confirming receipt of the defendant's message. The reply clarified that the mailbox handles only technical/system issues, provided contact numbers for urgent matters, and directed non-technical enquiries to the appropriate customer service teams. Links to CCMS, CWA, and Crime Apply guidance were included.	**Pages**: 1
65	**Date:** 27-10-25	1+ 65. Email-Sent-27-10-25-1058Am.pdf	**65 — 27-10-2025 — Email Sent** **File**: 65. Email-Sent-27-10-25-1058Am.pdf **Description:** Email sent at 10:58 a.m. forwarding the defendant's formal complaint titled "**Legal Aid Defence**" after the earlier message to McLartys was rejected. The email, sent to multiple authorities including Tuckers, LAA, MoJ, Metropolitan Police, and the SRA, attached a detailed evidential chronology	**Pages**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			outlining procedural breaches, solicitor misrepresentation, charge substitution without arrest or caution, contradictions in MG11 statements and tenancy records, and unlawful extensions of bail and GPS tagging. The defendant requested a written response within seven days and confirmed the submission was supported by over forty exhibits.	
66	**Date:** 27-10-25	1+ 66. Received-27-10-25.pdf	**66 — 27-10-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 66. Received-27-10-25.pdf **Description:** Email received from PC Jamie Di Valentine (Metropolitan Police North Area BCU) confirming that the defendant's "**Legal Aid Defence**" complaint had been forwarded to the Directorate of Professional Standards (DPS) for review. The chain shows that the complaint had already been passed internally by Evan Lapthorne earlier that morning. The attached complaint detailed procedural breaches, solicitor misrepresentation, and evidential contradictions affecting the defendant's defence, housing, and representation. **Why Entries 60–66 Matter** These entries collectively demonstrate that on **27/10/2025** the defendant formally escalated **Case 1 issues** in writing, and multiple agencies acknowledged receipt. Despite this, the court addressed **only Case 2** at the **02/11/2025** hearing, avoiding the **Case 1 Material** contained in "*Here-Case-02-08-25.pdf,*" constituting a documented procedural breach.	**Pages**: 2
67	**Date:** 30-10-25	1+ 67. Received-30-10-25.pdf	**67 — 30-10-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 67. Received-30-10-25.Pdf **Description:** Email from the Solicitors Regulation Authority acknowledging that they had been copied into the **Defendant's 27 October Complaint**. The SRA confirmed their regulatory role, requested further details to assess whether an investigation was appropriate, and clarified that they cannot provide legal advice or intervene in	**Pages**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			court proceedings. Service complaints were directed to the Legal Ombudsman.	
68	**Date:** 30-10-25	1+ 68. Received-30-10-25.pdf	**68 — 30-10-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 68. Received-30-10-25.Pdf **Description:** Email from HMCTS (via Court Associate Nikki Ashaye) confirming that **Case 2** (**01YE1300125**) had been listed for an "**Urgent Case Management Hearing On 3 November 2025 At 2:00 P.M. In Courtroom 07 At Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court."** The notice stated that further correspondence should be sent to the NorthLondonMC@justice.gov.uk mailbox. The chain shows this listing followed the defendant's earlier defence complaint and disclosure request of "**07 October 2025**,2 although the core issues relating to **Case 1 (02/08/2025)** were not addressed.	**Pages**: 5
69	**1+** **Date:** 31-10-25 **2+** **Date:** 31-10-25	1+ 69. Received-31-10-25.pdf 2+ 69. Updated-Application to Transfer Legal Aid signed Tuckers (1).pdf	**69 — 31-10-2025 — Email Received + Updated Legal Aid Application** **Files:** • 69. Received-31-10-25.pdf • 69. Updated-Application to Transfer Legal Aid signed Tuckers (1).pdf **Description:** Email received on **31 October 2025** from McLartys Solicitors regarding the mention hearing listed for **03/11/2025** at Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court. The solicitor advised the defendant to attend by 1:45 p.m. and to bring printed copies of correspondence to show that he was not at fault for being unrepresented and had applied in good time for a Legal Aid transfer. The email instructed the defendant to request an adjournment if the trial date was raised and confirmed that McLartys would require 48 hours' notice if the court attempted to transfer Legal Aid during the hearing. The solicitor noted she was engaged with scheduled matters but invited further queries.. **2+**	**1+** **Pages**: 16 **2+** **Pages**: 11

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			Updated-Application to Transfer Legal Aid signed Tuckers (1).pdf): Updated Legal Aid transfer application completed on **31 October 2025** **Under The Legal Services Act 2007, Criminal Legal Aid Regulations 2013, And Criminal Procedure Rules 46.4**. The form included the defendant's details, both case numbers (**01YE1300125 and 01YE1267925**), and confirmed the defendant's home address was inaccessible due to bail restrictions. This document evidences the defendant's formal request to change solicitors and transfer Legal Aid before the November hearing.	
69.1	**1+** **Date:** 05-11-25 **a)**: 05-11-25 **b)**: 05-11-25 **c)**: 05-11-25 **d)**: 05-11-25	1+ **<Dir>** 69.1. 05-11-25-CPS Case Ratio Website [To Parent Directory] a) Get cloud data From Server.pdf b) Get cloud data From Server.docx c) Screenshot_5-11-2025_154143_app.caseratio.co.uk.jpeg d) 20251107_092100.mp4	**69.1 — 05-11-2025 — Cps Case Ratio Website Evidence** **Folder**: 69.1. 05-11-25-Cps Case Ratio Website **Files:** • Get cloud data From Server.pdf / .docx • Screenshot_5-11-2025_154143_app.caseratio.co.uk.jpeg • 20251107_092100.mp4 **Description:** Review conducted on **5 November 2025** of the CPS Case Ratio Website for Case Ref: COR10388/00001. The website showed that the CPS case files were only created on **10 October 2025**, several weeks after the arrest and the bail conditions imposed on **02/08/2025**. The digital bundle was missing key exhibits, including MG5, MG3, MG11 statements and risk assessments, and several video/PDF files were uploaded only at a later stage. The review demonstrates that no complete case file existed at the time bail conditions were imposed or court hearings took place, contrary to the Director's Guidance on Charging (**PACE 1984 s.37A**) and the National File Standard (**2015**). The absence of contemporaneous exhibits and the retroactive creation of evidence bundles support the defence position that the prosecution acted without a lawful evidential basis, undermining procedural	**1+ Pages** **a)**: 10 **b)**: 10 **c)**: 1 **d)**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			fairness and **Article 6 Rights**.	
70	**Date:** 10-11-25	1+ 70. Received-10-11-25.pdf	**70 — 10-11-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 70. Received-10-11-25.Pdf **Description:** Email from McLartys on **10/11/2025** confirming they received a Section 36/38 cross-examination order but had no notice from the court about any adjournment or new listing. Common Platform showed a new trial date (**03/02/2026**), but they could not confirm whether the original **11/11/2025** trial was vacated. They asked the defendant to urgently confirm the correct next hearing due to conflicting information.	**Pages**: 1
71	**Date:** 11-11-25	1+ 71. Sent-11-11-25.pdf	**71 — 11-11-2025 — Email Sent** **File**: 71. Sent-11-11-25.Pdf **Description:** Email sent on **11 November 2025** to McLartys Solicitors urgently clarifying that the defendant was not due in court that day and highlighting confusion over the correct trial dates for both cases. The message summarised the status of **Case 1** (**01YE1267925**) and **Case 2** (**01YE1300125**), raised concerns about substituted charges, missing disclosure, and conflicting information from Tuckers Solicitors, and confirmed that the **11/11/2025** trial had been postponed to **03/02/2026**. The defendant requested both cases be re-reviewed due to procedural breaches and Legal Aid issues, and asked McLartys to confirm receipt.	**Pages**: 2
72	**1+** **Date:** 14-11-25 **a)**: 14-11-25 **b)**: 22-09-2025 **c)**: 22-09-2025	1+ **<Dir>** 72. 14-11-25- Tuckers-Legal-Aid [To Parent Directory] a) 14-11-25- Tuckers-Legal-Aid 1.m4a b) 14-11-25- Tuckers-Legal-Aid 2.m4a c) Original -CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Unused	**72 — 14-11-2025 — Tuckers Legal Aid & Unused Material** **Folder**: 72. 14-11-25- Tuckers-Legal-Aid **Files:** • 14-11-25- Tuckers-Legal-Aid 1.m4a • 14-11-25- Tuckers-Legal-Aid 2.m4a • Original – CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Unused Material Disclosure 22-09-2025-.pdf 1. Return CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Unused Material Disclosure 22-09-2025.pdf	**1+** **Pages** **a)**: 1 **b)**: 1 **c)**: 41 **d)**: 63 **e)**: 2 **f)**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
	d): 22-09-2025 **e)**: 01/09/25 **f)**: 01/09/25	Material Disclosure 22-09-2025-.pdf d) 02. Return CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925Unused Material Disclosure22-09-2025.pdf e) 15. 1St 2x Pages of Custody Logs Show Reason For Arrest Urn 01YE1267925.docx f) 15. 1St 2x Pages of Custody Logs Show Reason For Arrest Urn 01YE1267925.pdf	2. 1St 2x Pages of Custody Logs Show Reason For Arrest URN 01YE1267925.docx / .pdf **Description:** Audio recordings and documents relating to Tuckers' handling of Legal Aid and unused material. Includes original and reconstructed unused material disclosure and the first two pages of custody logs showing the true reason for arrest. Central to the defence case on unlawful charge swaps and mis-processing of the URN.	
73	**Date:** 30-11-25	1+ 73. Sent-30-11-25.pdf	**73 — 30-11-2025 — Email Sent** **File**: 73. Sent-30-11-25.pdf **Description:** Email sent on **30 November 2025** consolidating two formal submissions and their attachments regarding **Case 1** **(URN: 01YE1267925)**. The message requested confirmation of Legal Aid entitlement, clarification of why **Case 1** was not reviewed at the **03/11/2025** hearing, and an explanation for the reused URN and substituted charge. It also served the full defence bundle, including disclosure challenges, custody-log extracts, MG11 contradictions, and procedural-breach evidence, and asked all recipients to confirm receipt and advise next steps.	**Pages**: 5
74	**Date:** 30-11-25	1+ 74. Received-30-11-25.pdf	**74 — 30-11-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 74. Received-30-11-25.pdf **Description:** Automatic acknowledgement from the Solicitors Regulation Authority on **30 November 2025** confirming receipt of the defendant's report. The **SRA** advised that a response may take up to **45 Working Days** and that the concern would be considered alongside any previous reports.	**Pages**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
75	**Date:** 30-11-25	1+ 75. Received-30-11-25.pdf	**75 — 30-11-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 75. Received-30-11-25.pdf **Description:** Further correspondence received on **30-11-2025**, possibly attaching or referencing additional information.	**Pages**: 2
76	**Date:** 30-11-25	1+ 76. Received-30-11-25.pdf	**76 — 30-11-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 76. Received-30-11-25.pdf **Description:** Additional email received on **30-11-2025**, contributing to the record of post-trial communications.	**Pages**: 1
77	**Date:** 30-11-25	1+ 77. Received-30-11-25.pdf	**77 — 30-11-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 77. Received-30-11-25.pdf **Description:** Another short email from **30-11-2025**, evidencing the volume of exchanges around this date.	**Pages**: 1
78	**1+ Date:** 01-12-25 **2+ Date:** 01-12-25 **3+ Date:** 01-12-25 **4+ Date:** 15-10-25	1+ 78. Received-01-12-25.pdf 2+ 78. Received-Cordell RO1.pdf 3+ 78. Received-Cordell RO2.pdf 4+ 78. Received-Updated-Application to Transfer Legal Aid signed Tuckers (2).pdf	**78 — 01-12-2025 — Representation Orders & Updated Legal Aid Application** **Files:** • Received-01-12-25.pdf • Received-Cordell RO1.pdf • Received-Cordell RO2.pdf • Received-Updated-Application to Transfer Legal Aid signed Tuckers (2).pdf **Description:** Bundle of documents received on **01-12-2025** including two Representation Orders (**RO1 and RO2**) and an updated Legal Aid transfer application. Critical to the defence argument that Representation Orders were mishandled, mis-dated, or applied to the wrong case.	**1+ Pages**: 12 **2+ Pages**: 2 **3+ Pages**: 2 **4+ Pages**: 11
79	**Date**: 01-12-25	1+ 79. Received-01-12-25.pdf	**79 — 01-12-2025 — Email Received** **File**: 79. Received-01-12-25.Pdf **Description:** **Email Received On 1 December 2025**: from the Metropolitan Police North Area BCU (**DPA & FOIA**). The message confirmed that your **Case 1 Submission** dated **30/11/2025** — including both emails and all attached defence materials — had	**Pages**: 6

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			been forwarded to the Professional Standards Unit and the Met Police legal team for review. The mailbox stated it could not assist further and that the appropriate departments would contact you in due course. This correspondence confirms official receipt of your consolidated **Case 1** evidence bundle and shows that the police were formally notified of the procedural issues you raised.	
80	**1+** **Date:** **a)**: **b)**:	1+ **<Dir> 80. Court Hearing** [To Parent Directory] a) 70. Sent_Soon_New1.docx b) 70. Sent_Soon_New1.pdf	**80 — Court Hearing — Defence Document for Solicitor** **Folder**: 80. Court Hearing **Files:** 70. Sent_Soon_New1.docx / .pdf **Description:** Substantial defence document (**30 pages**) prepared for use at court and expressly "**To Be Viewed By Defence Solicitor.**" sets out a structured narrative of events, legal arguments, and evidential analysis for both cases.	**1+** **Pages** **a)**: 30 **b)**: 30
80.1.	**1+** **Date:** **a)**: 03-12-25	1+ **<Dir> 80. Fix-Tuckers-Legal-Aid 1** a) 80. Sent FWD to CPS 01-12-25 on 03-12-25.pdf	**80 Urgent Escalation: Procedural Breach & Denial of Fair Trial** **File:** *80. Sent FWD to CPS 01-12-25 on 03-12-25.pdf* **Description:** Formal escalation sent on **27 November 2025** outlining serious procedural breaches in **Case 1 (URN: 01YE1267925)**. The email restated that the court unlawfully proceeded only with **Case 2 on 03/11/2025** despite receiving the full **Case 1** complaint bundle on **27/10/2025**. It detailed the unlawful substitution of charges, withheld custody logs, Legal Aid obstruction, lack of representation, missing disclosure, and **Multiple Article 6 Violations**. The submission included exhibits proving backdated **MG11 Statements**, GPS-tagging errors, and failures by solicitors, police, CPS, and the court to address the absence of any lawful arrest for the substituted charge. The document requested immediate acknowledgement of the breaches and confirmation of Legal Aid transfer before any trial progression.	**1+** **Pages** **a)**: 8

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
81.	**Date**: 04-12-25	1+ 81. Received- 4 December 2025 at 1138.pdf	**81 — 03-12-2025 — Fix-Tuckers-Legal-Aid 1** **Folder**: 80. Fix-Tuckers-Legal-Aid 1 **File:** 80. Sent FWD to CPS 01-12-25 on 03-12-25.pdf **Description:** Material concerning Tuckers Solicitors' handling of the defendant's Legal Aid and Representation Orders was forwarded to the CPS on **3 December 2025**. These included documents highlighting errors, omissions, and inconsistencies in Tuckers' Legal Aid administration. On **4 December 2025**, the National Criminal Applications Team (**Legal Aid Agency**) requested the defendant's date of birth to verify his details, confirming that his Legal Aid submissions had been formally received and were being processed.	**Pages**: 7

82.	**1+ Date:** 05-12-25
	2+ Date: 05-12-25
	3+ Date: 05-12-25
		1+ 82. Sent 05-12-25.pdf
		2+ 82. Sent 05-12-25_Fraudulent Representation Order_RO1by Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff.docx
		3+ 82. Sent 05-12-25_Fraudulent Representation Order_RO1by Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff.pdf
			83 — 05/12/2025 — Fraudulent Representation Order (RO1) Allegation
			• **Files:**
			  • *82. Sent-05-12-25.pdf*
			  • *82. Sent-05-12-25_Fraudulent*
			  • *Representation Order_RO1 by*
			  • *Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff.docx*
			  • *82. Sent-05-12-25_Fraudulent*
			  • *Representation Order_RO1 by Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff.pdf*
			• **Pages:**
			  • PDF email: 10 pages
			  • DOCX: 16 pages
			  • PDF version: 16 pages
			Description:
			Formal complaint sent on **5 December 2025** alleging that Representation Order "**RO1 Was Fraudulently Created By Tuckers Solicitors.**" The submission explains that RO1 lists an offence ("**Threats to Cause Criminal Damage**") that was "**Never Lawfully Arrested, Cautioned, Or Booked In Custody,**" and therefore could not have been the basis for a valid Legal Aid application. The document sets out detailed evidence from custody
				1+ Pages: 10
				2+ Pages: 16
				3+ Pages: 16

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			logs, CPS disclosure, solicitor correspondence, and timestamp analysis showing that the charge was substituted after the original **s.4A Public Order Act** offence was "**NFA'd**," with no fresh arrest or caution. The complaint asserts that Tuckers used the LAA "self-grant" contingency improperly during the Legal Aid Agency cyber-incident, creating a Representation Order without the defendant's signature or consent. The submission was sent to the LAA, CPS, SRA, McLartys, the court, and the police, requesting urgent review and regulatory action.	
83.	**1+ Date:** 08-12-25 **2+ Date:** 08-12-25 **3+ Date:** 08-12-25	1+ 83. Nova Note.docx 2+ 83. Nova Note.pdf 3+ 83. Received-Monday 8 December 2025 at 1016.pdf	**83 — 08/12/2025 — Nova Note + Email Received** • **Files**: *• 83. Nova Note.docx* *• 83. Nova Note.pdf* *• 83. Received-Monday-8-December-2025-at -1016.pdf* • **Pages**: • Nova Note DOCX: 2 pages • Nova Note PDF: 2 pages • Email Received: 9 pages **Description (based on the webpage content):** Internal defence note dated **08/12/2025** summarising the Legal Aid Agency's written confirmation that "**No Legal Aid Was Granted In 2025"** and "**No Applications Were Recorded"** under the defendant's name. The note highlights that this directly supports the defence position that Tuckers Solicitors created an invalid or fraudulent Representation Order (**RO1**) using a reused URN. It also records the LAA's escalation routes, their procedural limitations, and the evidential significance of the absence of any legitimate Legal Aid record. The accompanying email received at 10:16 a.m. forms part of the ongoing correspondence with authorities regarding Legal Aid verification and case handling.	**1+ Pages**: 2 **2+ Pages**: 2 **3+ Pages**: 9

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
84.	**Date:** 08-12-25	1+ 84. Sent 08-12-25.pdf	**84 — 08-12-2025 — Email Sent** **File**: 84. Sent 08-12-25.pdf **Description:** Email sent on **8 December 2025** to the Legal Aid Agency (**Birmingham CAT**) thanking them for confirming that "**No Legal Aid Had Been Granted In 2025**" and that "**No Legal Aid Applications Existed**" under the defendant's name. The message acknowledges their response and confirms the defendant will consider the guidance provided. These correspondence forms part of the ongoing effort to clarify the Legal Aid position and highlights that the defendant's enquiries were formally received and processed by the LAA.	**Pages**: 9
85.	**Date:** 09-12-25	1+ 85. Sent-09-11-25.pdf	**85 — 09/12/2025 — Email Sent (Urgent Request for Case 1 Dismissal / Legal Aid Confirmation)** **File:** *85. Sent-09-11-25.pdf* *(Filename mis-dated; correct index date: 09/12/2025)* **Description:** Email sent on **9 December 2025** to McLartys, Tuckers, CPS, Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court, the Met Police, and the SRA requesting urgent dismissal or review of **Case 1 (URN: 01YE1267925)**. The message sets out detailed grounds including unlawful charge substitution, fraudulent Representation Order RO1, withheld custody logs, disclosure defects, and confirmation from the Legal Aid Agency (**08/12/2025**) that "**No Legal Aid Was Ever Granted In 2025**." The email also requests clarification of why Case 1 was not reviewed at the **03/11/2025** hearing, asks for proper Legal Aid under the **2012 Act**, and discloses the full defence bundle via weblinks and attachments.	**Pages**: 16
86.	**Date:** 11-12-25	1+ 86. Received-11-12-25.pdf	**86 — 11-12-2025 — Email Received** **File:** 86. Received-11-12-25.pdf **Description:** Email received on **11 December 2025** from	**Pages**: 13

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			McLartys Solicitors confirming that the court had still not transferred Legal Aid despite multiple unopposed requests. The correspondence warns that without immediate transfer, there will be "**No Time To Prepare**" for the trial listed on **16 December 2025,** and disclosure cannot be reviewed. McLartys advise the defendant to take copies of their emails to court to request an adjournment and insist that Legal Aid be transferred on the spot. The email also confirms that Tuckers have withdrawn, do not oppose transfer, and that previous adjournments were caused by the court's failure to process the Legal Aid applications.	
87.	**Date:** 12-12-25	1+ 87. Recording CPS12-12-25.m4a	**87 — 12-12-2025 — CPS Recording** **File:** 87. Recording CPS12-12-25.m4a **Description:** Audio recording of a telephone conversation with **The CPS On 12 December 2025**. The call confirms that the CPS "**Received The Defence Disclosure Materials**" previously sent and clarifies how the issues around missing or unacknowledged disclosure arose. The recording evidences that the defence team's files were in fact delivered to the CPS, supporting the timeline of proper service and rebutting any claim of non-receipt.	**Pages**: 1
88.	**Date:** 12-12-25	1+ 88. Sent-12-12-25.pdf	**88 — 12-12-2025 — Email Sent** **File:** 88. Sent-12-12-25.pdf **Description:** Comprehensive email sent on **12 December 2025** to the CPS (**London North And Magistrates Teams**), re-serving the full defence disclosure bundle ahead of the trial listed for **16 December 2025**. The message forwards earlier correspondence and attaches all defence materials after the CPS confirmed by telephone that disclosure must also be sent to the magistrates' CPS inbox. The email requests "**Dismissal Of Case 1 (URN: 01YE1267925)" On Grounds Including Unlawful Charge Substitution,**	**Pages**: 17

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			fraudulent Representation Orders (**RO1/RO2**), withheld custody logs, backdated MG11 statements, and "**Breaches Of CPIA 1996 And Crim pr 15.4.**" In the alternative, the email requests an adjournment due to lack of disclosure, absence of Legal Aid, and insufficient preparation time. The message cites the Legal Aid Agency's confirmation (**08/12/2025**) that "**No Legal Aid Was Ever Granted In 2025** And That **No Applications Were Submitted**," supporting the allegation that Tuckers Solicitors created a fraudulent RO using a "**Reused URN**." The email also challenges the court's failure to review Case 1 at the "**03/11/2025"** hearing and provides a full list of attached defence files, evidential exhibits, and weblinks. This entry forms a key part of the defence timeline, evidencing proper service, procedural objections, and the defendant's repeated attempts to correct the record before trial.	
89.	**Date:** 15-12-25	1+ 89. CPS Recording 15-12-25-0915.m4a	**89 — 15-12-2025 — CPS Recording** **File:** 89. CPS Recording 15-12-25-0915.m4a **Description:** Audio recording of a further CPS conversation at 09:15 on **15-12-2025**. Proves Disclosure was received by them of the defence files! Also, adds to the evidential record of how CPS handled the defense's complaints and requests.	**Pages**: 1
90.	**Date:** 19-12-25	1+ 90. Received-Court letter after trial-19-12-25.pdf	**90 — 19-12-2025 — Court Letter After Trial** **File:** 90. Received-Court letter after trial-19-12-25.pdf **Description:** Two-page court letter issued after trial, setting out the formal outcome and any orders made. Key endpoint document for the proceedings.	**Pages**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
91.	**1+ Date:** 18/12/2025 **2+ Date:** 18/12/2025	1+ 91. Sent Soon 10. The Second Trial Date.pdf 2+ 91. 11. Illegal Bail Restrictions Tag GPS.pdf 3+ 91. Cps Receipt Recording Disclosure and More (48).docx 4+ 91. Cps Receipt Recording Disclosure and More (48).m4a 5+ 91. Keys Sent Asap Soon.docx 6+ 91. Keys Sent Asap Soon.pdf 7+ 91. Keys Sent Now.pdf	**To Be Viewed By Defence Solicitor** **91 — 18-12-2025 — Illegal Bail Restrictions & Second Trial Date** **Files:** 91. 11. Illegal Bail Restrictions Tag GPS.pdf Cps Receipt Recording Disclosure and More (48).docx 91. Sent Soon 10. The Second Trial Date.pdf (To Be Viewed By Defence Solicitor) **Description:** Documents analysing the illegality of the GPS tag bail restrictions and a detailed defence document about the second trial date. Together, they crystallise the defence case that bail conditions were unlawful and that the second trial proceeded on a procedurally defective foundation.	**1+ Pages**: 3 **2+ Pages**: 10
92.		1+ 92. Received as Seen 27-01-26 but Sent 22-01-26.docx 2+ 92. Received as Seen 27-01-26 but Sent 22-01-26.pdf	**92 — 16 12 2025 — Section 38 Appointment for Cross-Examination (Rebecca O'Hare)** **Files:** 92. Appointment for Cross Examination — 16-12-2025.pdf 92. Email from McLartys Confirming Section 36/38 Appointment — 22-01-2026.pdf **Description:** This exhibit contains the Court's formal **Section 38(4) Appointment** under the Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999, instructing McLartys Solicitors to conduct the **cross-examination of the complainant, Rebecca O'Hare**, on behalf of the Court. The order confirms that McLartys are "**Appointed Personally**," may only cross-examine the named witness, and "**Cannot Act For The Defendant**" without further permission of the Court. The accompanying email dated **22-01-2026** from McLartys confirms receipt of the	**1+ Pages**: 2 **2+ Pages**: 2

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			Section 36/38 appointment and raises concerns that the defendant has "**Not Been Granted Full Legal Aid"** despite a transfer application being made. The email also confirms that McLartys can only act under the "**Limited Section 38 Appointment**," meaning they cannot advise on evidence, prepare defence material, or represent the defendant in the wider case. **This Exhibit Demonstrates**: 1+ the Court's recognition that the complainant must be cross-examined by a court-appointed advocate, 2+ the defendant's continued lack of lawful representation for all other aspects of the case, 3+ the procedural irregularity that the defendant was required to proceed without full legal aid, 4+ and the ongoing obstruction caused by the unresolved legal aid issue and the fake Representation Order created by Tuckers Solicitors. This evidence supports the defence position that the proceedings were "**Procedurally Defective**," that the defendant was "**Unable To Prepare A Defence**," and that the trial could not safely proceed without resolving the legal aid obstruction and representation issues.	
93.		1+ 93. Received-28-01-26 **Pt1**.pdf 2+ 93. Received-28-01-26 **Pt2.**pdf	**93 — 27–28 01 2026 — Proof of Evidence & Defendant's Statement (Case 2)** **Files:** 93. Received-28-01-26 Pt1 — Email from McLartys enclosing Proof of Evidence.pdf 93. Received-28-01-26 Pt2 — Defendant's Statement (Proof of Evidence).pdf 93. Proof of Evidence.docx (as originally attached by McLartys) **Description:** This exhibit contains the **Proof of Evidence** sent by McLartys Solicitors on **27 January 2026**, together with the defendant's full **Statement of Evidence**, signed and dated **28 January 2026**,	**1+ Pages**: 1 **2+ Pages**: 3

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			relating to Case 2 (URN 01YE1300125), listed for trial on **3 February 2026**. The email confirms that McLartys prepared a draft statement for the defendant and requested corrections, signature, and return. The attached statement sets out: 1+ the defendant's position of **Not Guilty**, 2+ the existence of **two trials** (3 February and 24 February), 3+ the defendant's concerns about **Tuckers Solicitors self-granting a Legal Aid Certificate**, 4+ the resulting **failure of legal aid transfer**, leaving the defendant represented only under a **Section 36/38 appointment**, 5+ the defendant's personal circumstances (mother critically ill in ICU), 6+ the defendant's repeated attempts to provide **five Defence Statements**, 7+ the CPS admission on **27 January 2026** that they had **not served any documents** for the upcoming trial, 8+ the ongoing **non-disclosure of Body-Worn Video (BWV)**, 9+ the events of **26 August 2025**, including the wrongful arrest for an alleged tag breach, 10+ evidence that the tag breach was caused by **Serco equipment failure**, 11+ inconsistencies in police accounts of the alleged assault, 12+ and the defendant's position that **intent is not made out**, meaning the offence cannot be proven. This exhibit demonstrates the defendant's consistent attempts to comply with the process, the CPS's failure to serve evidence, the absence of BWV, and the ongoing prejudice caused by the unresolved legal aid obstruction and the fake Representation Order created by Tuckers Solicitors. It also evidences that the defendant was forced to prepare his own defence	

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			materials due to the lack of lawful representation, despite the complexity of the allegations and the proximity of the trial date.	
94.		1+ 94. Sent-28-01-26.pdf	**94 — 28 01 2026 — Updated & Signed Proof of Evidence Returned to McLartys Files:** 94. Sent-28-01-26 — Updated and Signed Statement Email.pdf 94. Proof of Evidence (Signed 28-01-2026).pdf 94. Proof of Evidence.docx (as originally provided by McLartys) **Description:** This exhibit records the defendant's **updated, signed, and returned Proof of Evidence** for Case 2 (URN 01YE1300125), sent to McLartys Solicitors on **28 January 2026**. The email confirms that the defendant reviewed the draft statement provided by McLartys, made a minor but important addition regarding his mother's critical medical condition, and then **signed and dated the corrected version** on **28/01/2026**. This entry demonstrates: 1+ The Defendant's **Active Cooperation** With The Section 36/38-Appointed Solicitor, 2+ The Defendant's **Timely Compliance** With All Requests Despite The Absence Of Full Legal Aid, 3+ The Defendant's Continued Attempts To Ensure Accuracy And Completeness Of His Evidence, 4+ And The Fact That The Defendant Was Forced To Manage His Own Defence Preparation Due To The Unresolved Legal Aid Obstruction Caused By Tuckers Solicitors' Self-Issued Representation Order. The signed Proof of Evidence forms part of the defendant's consistent and documented efforts to provide full disclosure, maintain transparency, and correct the record before trial, despite the CPS's failure to serve	**1+ Pages**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			evidence and the ongoing non-disclosure of Body-Worn Video (BWV).	
95.		1+ 95. Sent-29-01-26.pdf 2+ 96. Recording London Courts 29-01-26 14-33.docx 3+ 96. Recording London Courts 29-01-26_14-33.m4a 4+ 97. Received-29-01-26.pdf 5+ 98. Sent-29-01-26.pdf 6+ 99. Received-29-01-26.pdf 7+ 100 Received-30-01-26.pdf 8+ 101. Sent-30-01-26.pdf 9+ 102. Received-30-01-26.pdf 10+ 103. Received-30-01-26-Application to Adjourn (1).pdf 11+ 103. Received-30-01-26.pdf 12+ 104. Recording Cps 30-01-26 --15-35.docx 13+ 104. Recording Cps 30-01-26 --15-35.m4a	**1+ Pages**: 3 **95 — 29 01 2026 — Exceptional Circumstances Notice (Mother in ICU & Disclosure Failures)** **Files:** 95. Sent-29-01-26 — Exceptional Circumstances Notice.pdf 95. Email to Court, CPS & McLartys — 29-01-2026.pdf **Description:** This exhibit contains the **Exceptional Circumstances Notice** sent on **29 January 2026** to Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court, CPS North London, and McLartys Solicitors. The notice formally informs all parties that the defendant's mother is in the **Intensive Care Unit (ICU)** with **critical kidney failure**, functioning at approximately **1%**, and now has a **Do Not Resuscitate (DNR)** order in place. The defendant, as eldest son, is required to remain available for urgent medical decisions, creating a genuine and severe barrier to trial preparation. The notice also sets out: 1+ the **Legal Aid transfer failure**, caused by incorrect information about Representation Orders allegedly linked to Tuckers Solicitors, 2+ confirmation from the Legal Aid Agency that **no RO had ever been issued**, 3+ the resulting obstruction that left the defendant represented only under a **Section 36/38 appointment**, 4+ the defendant's repeated attempts to obtain disclosure, including **five Defence Statements**,	**1+ Pages**: 3 **2+ Pages**: 4 **3+ Pages**: 1 **4+ Pages**: 1 **5+ Pages**: 3 **6+ Pages**: 3 **7+ Pages**: 4 **8+ Pages**: 1 **9+ Pages**: 3 **10+ Pages**: 2 **11+ Pages**: 1 **12+ Pages**: 5 **13+ Pages**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			5+ CPS confirmation on **27 January 2026** that they had **not served any trial documents**, 6+ the ongoing **non-disclosure of Body-Worn Video (BWV)**, 7+ and the procedural background of the **26 August 2025** wrongful arrest for an alleged tag breach later proven to be caused by **Serco equipment failure**. The notice requests: 1+ an **adjournment** of the 3 February 2026 trial, 2+ full disclosure including BWV, 3+ a review of the representation position, 4+ and a response from CPS and the Court to the issues raised. The P.S. section emphasises the **time-critical nature** of the situation, noting that the trial was only **three business days away**, and that the defendant required urgent clarity due to both the ICU emergency and the unresolved procedural defects. This exhibit demonstrates the defendant's proactive communication, the seriousness of the family emergency, and the ongoing procedural unfairness caused by disclosure failures and the unresolved legal aid obstruction. **2+** **Pages**: 4 **3+** **Pages**: 1 **4+** **Pages**: 1 **5+** **Pages**: 3 **6+** **Pages**: 3 **7+** **Pages**: 4	

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
			8+ Pages: 1 **9+ Pages**: 3 **10+ Pages**: 2 **11+ Pages**: 1 **12+ Pages**: 5 **13+ Pages**: 1	
105.		1+ 105. Received-30-01-26 - Discontinuance Notice.pdf 2+ 105. Received-30-01-26 - Electronic Version - Discontinued.pdf 3+ 105. Received-30-01-26 - File Closing - (Case2).docx 4+ 105. Received-30-01-26 - File Closing - (Case2).pdf		**1+ Pages**: 1 **2+ Pages**: 2 **3+ Pages**: 1 **4+ Pages**: 1
106.		1+ 106. Sent-31-01-26.pdf 2+ 107. Plan of Action -02-02-26.docx 3+ 107. Plan of Action -02-02-26.pdf		**1+ Pages**: 1 **2+ Pages**: 8 **3+ Pages**: 8
108.		1+ 108. 02-02-25/ [To Parent Directory] a) CPS Names.docx b) CPS Recording 02-02-26 1138.docx c) CPS Recording 02-02-26_1138.m4a		**1+ Pages** **a)**: 1 **b)**: 4 **c)**: 1 **d)**: 4 **e)**: 1

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
		d) Tuckers Recording 02-02-26 1317 pt1.docx e) Tuckers Recording 02-02-26_1317 pt1.m4a f) Tuckers Recording 02-02-26 1207 pt2.docx g) Tuckers Recording 02-02-26 1207 pt2.pdf h) Tuckers Recording 02-02-26_1207 pt2.m4a i) Here.docx		**f)**: 14 **g)**: 14 **h)**: 1 **i)**: 4
109.		1+ 109. Received-02-02-26.pdf		**Pages**: 1
110.		1+ 110. Received-02-02-26.pdf		**Pages**: 1
111.		1+ 111. Sent-03-02-26.pdf		**Pages**: 4
112.		1+ 112. PISS OFF -- Recording Tuckers Insurance 03-02-26 1341.docx 2+ 112. PISS OFF -- Recording Tuckers Insurance 03-02-26 1341.pdf 3+ 112. PISS OFF -- Recording Tuckers Insurance 03-02-26_1341.m4a		**1+ Pages**: 3 **2+ Pages**: 3 **3+ Pages**: 1
113.		1+ 113. Sent-04-02-26.pdf		**Pages**: 2
114.		1+ 114. Received-LAA-04-02-26.pdf		**Pages**: 3
115.		1+ 115. Sent - to LAA - 07-02-26.pdf		**Pages**: 5
116.		1+ 116. Sent Soon-07-02-26.pdf 2+ 117. Sent Soon-07-02-26.pdf		**1+ Pages**: 4 **2+ Pages**: 7

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
118.		1+ Recording (63) CPS 10-02-26 -10_26.m4a 2+ Sent - to LAA - 10-02-26.pdf		
119.		1+ Received-LAA-11-02-26.pdf 2+ Recording (65) LAA 11-02-2026 0946.docx 3+ Recording (65) LAA 11-02-2026 0946.pdf 4+ 121. Recording (65) LAA 11-02-2026_0946.m4a 5+ Recording Tuckers All Systems are Down Please Call Back.m4a 6+ Recording (66) Elliot Stern Solicitors PT1.m4a 7+ Recording (67) Elliot Stern Solicitors PT2.m4a 8+ CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Initial Details Pros Case (4).pdf 9+ 125. CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Unused Material Disclosure.pdf 10+ 125. FW R v Simon Cordell Highbury Corner Magistrates Court 24 February 2026 at 1.30 p.m. (Trial) 01YE1267925 -.pdf 11+ 125. Received-Mc L-11-02-26.pdf 12+ Recording 11-02-25 Elliot Stern Solicitors PT3.m4a		
120.		1+ 127. Rebuilt Received-LAA -13-02-26.docx 1+ 127. Received-LAA -13-02-26.pdf		

Exhibit No.	Date	File / Folder	Description	Page No.
121.		1+ 128. Sent 16-02-26 to LLA and CPS and Courthouse/		
122.				
123.				
124.				
125.				
126.				
127.				
128.				