METROPOLITAN POLICE

MG11

RESTRICTED (when complete)

URN | | | | |

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 16.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9

The Civil Procedure Rules 1998

Statement of:

Age if under 18: *(if over 18 inserts 'over 18')*

Occupation:

This statement (consisting of **[0]** page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have willfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature:

(witness) Date:

I, Mr. Simon Paul Cordell, of 109 Burncroft Avenue PO BOX EN3 7JQ.

I WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS

I am a client of the London Borough of Enfield because of being a secure tenant and haver lived in my rented property since the year 2006.
I am currently unemployed due to these claims ongoings and knowingly state the following: --

To Whom It May Concern,

Subject: Claim Regarding Errors on Police National Computer (PNC) Printout and Acro Related Issues

I am writing to formally raise a complaint and seek resolution regarding significant errors and Fraud on my Police National Computer (PNC) printout, which have caused considerable distress and damage to my reputation. The issue is that the CPS has placed my PNC/ACRO record into the case file. This court is the originating authority for several of the incorrect entries, including convictions that never occurred, wrong offence codes, wrong plea/offence dates, and entries created through administrative error or misconduct. Because the court may rely on this record for bail, credibility, or sentencing, I respectfully request that the court order correction of the inaccurate PNC/ACRO data under its statutory powers. The record cannot be relied upon in its current form.

Background:

1. **Discovery of Errors**: My mother discovered 8 incorrect cases on my PNC printout, along with another case labelled as guilty, which was actually found not guilty and upon receiving the memorandum of conviction, this was also confirmed. This makes a total of 9 incorrect cases.

2. **Court's Response**: My mother took the PNC printout to the courts, which confirmed that 8 out of the 31 convictions listed were never heard in those courts. The court acknowledged the errors but did not take immediate action to correct them, despite having a reviewing team responsible for updating convictions into the ACRO records.

3. **Police Response**: My mother approached the police with this information, but they refused to assist. She provided me with the documents proving the errors. Subsequently, a court employee involved in this matter was dismissed. At the time of my mother passing me the files the police attempted to serve a forged asbo and without any fair law, this caused the PNC files to be briefly overviewed while the Asbo was abusively processed, and more forged Court Order Application were developed by government staff maliciously to present the true ongoings being addressed.

4. **Reviewing the PNC/ACRO Files:** While my mother sought to address the **"PNC/ACRO Discrepancies"** that the courts acknowledged as errors, as exhibited here:
   a. **Since the 02/08/2025 PNC / Acro files and Correspondence**
      - <Dir> 02. PNC-Claim

   b. **The Original Police Acro And PNC Printout Files**
      - <Dir> 08. New Combined File 09-01-26

5. **Durning This Time of Attempted Resolution:**
   We faced severe mistreatment by the police, Enfield Council, and the NHS Mental Health Services. They engaged in the creation of fraudulent government records in my name and disseminated misleading information about me, which had been maliciously fabricated by them and then shared through their media departments to tarnish my reputation. This included other case outcomes that were in the process of appeal and should have been classified details, not fit for media.
   One significant example of this wrongful classification involves the sharing of Anti-Social Behaviour Order (ASBO) files that were under appeal in court. To evade the complaints made, as documented with the ASBO Files we submit here:
   a. **Asbo Files**
      Asbo-Files10-09-2023 -15-10-23

   In reality, the court cases and applications pertinent to Mr. Simon Cordell were developed through illegal means, and these claims shared through high-ranking government sources were maliciously fabricated to undermine his word. This was also the reason for the development of his NHS Mental Health Records, which were maliciously developed as well.
   The fabricated claims, arrests, and court orders were systematically unleashed against him. Arrests were obtained through unlawful methods to support these applications and restrain his freedoms. For instance, he was subjected to nine driving-related arrests, as exhibited here:
   a. **Driving Ban Files**
      3. Driving-Ban-Cases

   He was wrongly found guilty, and those nine driving ban cases were used to confine him to his home. Meanwhile, government-based media departments worked to damage his reputation by spreading fabricated rumors that endangered his life, as they became publicly viral. Over time, he and others who had helped him managed to overturn the nine driving ban cases and other fabricated court applications brought against him. However, during their time of wrongful

convictions or processes, they were used to keep him illegally in his home. Fabricated information was illegally spread about him, creating a hostile environment between members of his hometown and him, making it an unsafe city for him to walk. This still continues to date and has reached an extent where his own neighbors started to bang pots and pans on the walls at him around the clock to scare him away. During this time, his mother and others would contact local government officials, who illegally avoided protecting him and encouraged for him to be endangered even more by avoiding to reply to all correspondence sent to them. They believed months later that he had done wrong and replied back to his emails, which they had not replied to initially, in hopes of setting him up for something they believed he had done wrong at the time when he had not. Eventually, they went and met all the neighbors who had been attacking Mr. Simon Cordell for months to take statements from them, even when his mother was complaining about all of them first, to cover up the situation and dispose of Mr. Simon Cordell and his truths about them all. He documented it all in evidence, and this is that exhibited evidence here:

a. **2014 and onwards Files**
   5. 2014-Onwards-Exhibits

The police would pull him over every time he left his home, interrogate him relentlessly, and deliberately terrorize him by knocking on his front door to aid in any reason for arrest. Even if there was none, they would just set him up, as his recordings prove, all while imploring him to leave the country. Their manipulation of facts is well documented in exhibits and clear in text in the files named above. This led Mr. Simon Paul Cordell and others to prove that the government officials involved were acting unlawfully, despite his and others' continuous complaints through proper channels.

What they subjected Mr. S. P. Cordell to was an effort to brainwash him by illegally torturing him and attempting to endanger his life and well-being. Their actions were intended to obscure the truths underlying their hateful campaigns against him, reaching a point where it became clear that the police and the council had more to hide concerning their criminal record about him. This was partly due to government officials fabricating additional reasons for Mr. S. P. Cordell's arrests, which are reflected in his PNC/ACRO record. These arrests were based on fake statements designed to justify his detention for the creation of forged court orders that limited his **"Human Rights"** and were developed to aid in shielding those responsible from disciplinary actions.

The government officials involved are liable for creating false PNC Records and using those records to create fabricated court order applications, which resulted in unjust police actions and court bail conditions. This caused undue suffering, including the breakdown of the claimant's support networks, relationships, and friendships, as people distanced themselves to avoid stress and unwanted government attention.

This situation then left him and the few that still hovered around him unable to comprehend why the government would target Mr. Cordell and his family to such an extent, as the rumors spread by government officials were clearly lies. Once we had established the accused as liable persons for illegal activities within the development of the fraudulent ASBO Court Order Applications, and that our complaints and evidence supplied of innocence and horrific corruption were going to be abused by not being taken into weight, leading to the ASBO order being wrongly convicted as guilty, Mr. S. P. Cordell reviewed all the files he could obtain in his name held by government companies, starting with the ASBO. The issues found in the ASBO files were excellently identified and are a part of this claim due to being added to the ACRO Records by staff. The found reasons made us trace back into past investigations and back into the nine errors on the Police National Computer (PNC) printout and ACRO-related issues that his mother had questioned.

Out of 31 convictions, 9 were found to be erroneous by one Court House, leaving those 9 and 22 more to be investigated by Mr. Simon Paul Cordell himself. Upon further inspection of the files and the United Kingdom's government services' mandatory protocols and regulations, it became evident within this claim why such an illegal cover-up took place against Mr. S. P. Cordell, who is just one individual who now proves he has been treated as dispensable in the government's efforts to maintain a clean public image. If what he has uncovered is indeed a nationwide issue with criminal records and not just his, these provided reasons would be sufficient motive for them to undermine him publicly and disregard his existence and the evidence he can present.
This situation raises serious concerns about the accuracy of **"Plea or Offence Dates"** and the reliability of the intelligence listed. The potential for widespread errors in criminal records not only affects Mr. Cordell but also poses a significant threat to the integrity of the justice system and the rights of individuals across the nation. The consequences of such actions are severe, and as our exhibits prove, they are to be questioned. It is also correct for us to state, as our claim proves, that the listed liable parties continued their foul play by fabricating reasons for arrest and detainment, and by developing false court order applications to evade justice and cover up the true PNC/ACRO matters of Mr. S. P. Cordell's and other persons, complaints to themselves; **"The Government,"** which now has violated several laws, including:

1+. Abuse of Power: The misuse of authority to fabricate charges and detain individuals without just cause undermines the rule of law and erodes public trust in government institutions.

2+. Violation of Human Rights: Arbitrary detention and the imposition of unfair bail conditions infringe on basic human rights, including the right to a fair trial and the right to liberty.

3+. Breach of Legal Protocols: The creation of false court orders and the manipulation of criminal records contravene established legal procedures and protocols, which are designed to ensure justice and accountability.

- In a state of emergency, governments are granted certain powers to manage crises. However, these powers are not without limits. The misuse of emergency powers lead to: --

1+. Erosion of Civil Liberties: Prolonged or unjustified use of emergency powers can result in the suspension of civil rights and freedoms, leading to a state where normal constitutional checks and balances are weakened.

2+. Lack of Accountability: Emergency measures often reduce legislative and judicial oversight, allowing the executive branch to operate with minimal scrutiny.

3+. Public Distrust: When governments exploit emergency powers for purposes unrelated to the crisis, it can lead to widespread public distrust and resistance.

- The actions taken against Mr. Cordell highlights the need for stringent safeguards and oversight to prevent the abuse of power and to protect the rights of individuals. It is crucial to address these issues to maintain the integrity of the justice system and uphold the principles of democracy and human rights.

6. **Introduction to the Exhibit and Diary Overview:** Included in our submission is a diary that meticulously tracks the key events related to our case. While it may seem like a vast collection of exhibits at first glance, this diary efficiently encapsulates 97% of our documented files in chronological order. It will provide a straightforward narrative that aligns with our evidence. The diary is particularly crucial when considering how personal information is accessed and processed

by various government bodies in the United Kingdom. The system in place requires individuals to submit separate subject access requests to each authority, be it local councils, police, or NHS services to obtain their personal data. This approach often leads to fragmented pieces of information, which can obscure the complete picture. For example, when retrieving police records (such as those from ACRO), it's essential to understand that related documents from the NHS or local council may not be included unless bundled together for release. Our diary ensures that you can see the timeline and context of all available records, especially when multiple agencies are involved in a case. It's also worth noting that the local council insisted the claimant separate the claims before finalizing a complete submission. This requirement emerged after the claimant shared excerpts from his diary, which included notes from phone conversations, specifically a call with Kelly from the Enfield Council insurance department and now finally, what follows is a condensed version of the diary highlighting the most relevant details that underpin our claims.

7. **Telephone Calls!**
   - **Our Exhibited Weblink to Our Recorded Telephone Calls is as linked:**
     <Dir.> 09. MP3-Audio

8. **The-Particulars and their Reason File**
   <Dir> 02. The-Particulars

9. **Prior-Bad-Character-Application-and-Previous-Convictions: "PNC Printout!**
   This was one of the many case that the police brought against Mr. Simon Paul Cordell that was no Further action but keep him on bail conditions that took apart in the damaged wrongfully caused to his running objectives of his company best interest.
   - **What the document below proves to us is:**
     <Dir> 08. Prior-Bad-Character-Application-and-Previous-Convictions
     08. Prior-Bad-Character-Application-and-Previous-Convictions .rar

   The CPS and Police both had searched through the Claimants Acro record and noticed that he had not been convicted of any cases since 2009, which then was 6 years as they done there enquires on him.
   The records his mother included in the court proceedings demonstrated that what was left in the records that had been proved as convicted for was fabricated, meaning that even the year of 2009 as a guilty conviction was questionable.

10. **Some of our PNC Findings are: --**
    1+. Below, We Have Included the Exhibited "Si-Notes1-MUST-READ!"
    - Si-Notes1-MUST-READ.docx

    https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/

    PNC66/

    1.%20PNC-Errors-and-Its-Other-Claims/

    1.%20New-PNC-Claim-Folder/

    0.%20Plea-dates-or-offence%20dates%20and%20Acro%20Tel%20Call/

0.%20Plea-Dates-or-Offence%20Dates%2020-08-24-Must-Be-Case-Won/Courts-Highbury-02-09-2024-PNC-ACRO/

Si-Notes1-MUST-READ.docx

2+. <u>Below, We Have Included the Exhibited: "The PNC-Workout-Tool-Kit-File!"</u>
<Dir> 00. PNC-Tool-Kit

3+. <u>Acro Files being Titled as Disposable: --</u> must have such a status and purpose as disposable or have a title such as "Active," or "Permanent," or "Retained." For instance, there is this case of Mr. Simon Paul Cordell, whose ACRO/PNC record underwent amendments, including the complete deletion of an ASBO that had been fraudulently issued against him. This incident raises grave concerns about the actions of police personnel, who not only misrepresented the ASBO as a Criminal Behaviour Order (CBO) but also attempted to obscure their misconduct by changing the title of the document. After complaints from Mr. Cordell and others were made, this record was subsequently deleted as it was labeled as "Disposable," leading to its complete deletion by police to our findings when we requested the Acro report the year the Asbo conditions were stopped being imposed. These actions not only highlight a lack of accountability but also indicate a potential cover-up regarding the treatment of Mr. Cordell and the associated misconduct of police staff. Proper record management is crucial for transparency, and it is essential that all records are accurately labeled to reflect their legal status and significance. I urge you to reconsider the practices surrounding the labeling and management of records to protect individuals' rights and ensure a fair and just process and I also request that you delete the files labeled as disposable in my name.

4+. <u>No recollection of events by Mr. Cordell:</u> -- Mr. Cordell's lack of recollection of the events can be attributed to either of the 2 points listed **1.** his innocence, as he did not commit the majority of crimes in question that police have recorded as his criminal record. **2**. The severe psychological distress caused by relentless harassment from Government officials and their co dees in crime **I.E** members of his neighbours, which impaired his memory, due to their joint harassment which is and was so intense that it led to significant mental trauma, affecting his ability to recall specific details related to the incidents. Additionally, the confusion surrounding Mr. Cordell's case stems from several inconsistencies and errors in the records. The offence dates being the same as the plea dates, combined with the fact that he was charged late at night when courts were closed, raises questions about the accuracy of the documentation. The claim is that he was charged by police late at night and supposedly brought to court and released before midnight on the same day as charged and this more than unlikely to have happened and while also, it is highly unusual for the charges described. These discrepancies, along with the 1997 case predating the first reprimand and the majority of the records being marked as "no further action," suggest that the records are poorly labelled and conducted meaning that the police did not meet the standards required such as, verification tests. This has led to significant confusion for Mr. Cordell and others involved, making it difficult to ascertain what truly occurred.

5+. <u>Plea Dates or Offence Dates? That Was the Question! and Acro Tel Calls + Texts!</u>

- This weblink Downloads the Text Exhibited Below!
  <Dir> 02. Plea Dates or Offence Dates

Plea Dates or Offence Dates?
That Was the Question!

Regarding the question of plea dates or offence dates, it is important to refer to the original copy of the 2012 PNC printout. Looking at the first, second, and third offences listed, it becomes clear that the first date stated for each conviction is the court sentencing date. However, there is still uncertainty about the second date, which could either be the plea date or the offence date.

To clarify this matter, I contacted the court and was informed that after a court conviction is ruled by a Judge, the court is no longer responsible for submitting the offence to the Acro department. In fact, even if the arresting police officer is absent from the courthouse on the day of conviction, the results are sent back to the police, who then update Acro or pass it on to the CPS.

While AI in Edge stated that the court does not record the offence date mandatorily, it does record the plea dates and court conviction date. Examining the 2012 PNC printout, it is evident that the first and third offences listed have extensive descriptions in alphabetical lettering, stretching across the given text space. Following the description, there is more text that continues to the next line. Unfortunately, this text is provided in uppercase without any punctuation to indicate if it is a continuation of the previous sentence or a new sentence line. Consequently, it is impossible to determine whether this text represents a plea date or an offence date.

It is crucial to note that the requested Acro Report has stated that these dates are offence dates. However, this assumption is incorrect, and one should not consider it as factual evidence. Upon further examination of the 2012 PNC printout, I urge you to take a closer look at court convictions fourteen and sixteen. Pay attention to the wording prescribed for the offence charged and convicted date. Notably, offence number 2, in 14 is "No Insurance," and has a much shorter description than conviction number 1 and three, and number 2, in 14 has no subsequent text following it. This also continues in Conviction number sixteen which states "Handling" so, clever observation proves that the second date in each conviction within the 2012 and 2014 PNC printouts represents the plea dates and not the offence dates. Subsequently, conviction number two also becomes clearer. These findings indicate that all the ACRO printouts were fabricated when requested, and the PNC 2012 was utilized in their creation.

6+. The-Our-Request-Sheets File: --

<Dir> 05. Our-Request-Sheets

7+. The Combined File: --

<Dir> 02. Combined-2017-2020-2021-WorkOut-Codes
02. Combined-2017-2020-2021-WorkOut-Codes.rar
--
<Dir> 05. Workout-PNC-ACRO
05. Workout-PNC-ACRO.rar
No further actions

8+. The About-the-PNC-and-ASBO File: --

<Dir> 04. Asbo-Files10-09-2023 -15-10-23

9+. The Right to Deletion File: --

Right to deletion **I.E** Reprimand warnings on the spot penalty fines, No Further Actions and so on.

<Dir> 03. Removing-Spent-Convictions
03. Removing-Spent-Convictions .rar

10+. The Other-Claim-Files File: --

<Dir> 01. Trailer Case 3-Old

<Dir> 02. Police Gazebo Case

<Dir> 03. Driving Ban Cases

<Dir> 03. R-2014-and-Onwards

a. 1.TrailerCase
b. 2.PoliceGazeboCase
c. 3. Driving-Ban-Cases
d. 5. 2014-Onwards-Exhibits

e. 7. Kay-Others **"The Enfield Councils Insurance Department"**
f. 7. Kay-Others.rar
g. Folders-Outputs

<Dir> 09. Dac-and-Kay-Insurance-Enfield

<Dir> 08. 4KayOsborne-No-Insurnce-Cover-Really-No-Diary-Accepted

11+. Police Misconduct and Abuse of Power: --

* **The Additional Videos File: --**
13. More-Evidence-05-02-2024
13. More-Evidence-05-02-2024.rar

Police officers on duty clearly abused their powers by participating in a cruel conspiracy that spread virally among other officers. They used Mr. S. P. Cordell's name in a hateful and unprofessional manner while carrying out their duties. It is reported that police were recorded and displayed live on national TV shouting Mr. Simon Cordell's name, making it go viral without his consent and deliberately endangering his life. The officers involved were on duty and responding to an emergency call in a different borough.
The exhibited video shows the police officers true actions and intent and therefore it is correct to say that the police officers in the video created a violent and hostile situation, were they were videoed assaulting a person who was about to be detained for investigation under police powers, and this is said whether he complained to the police or not about his fail treatment that is record. The video evidence also demonstrates what Mr. Simon Paul Cordell has been proving for years, despite the lack of a fair investigation, it is evident that government officials used their media

connections to make his name go viral and this video is just one piece of proof of the crime committed by them in doing so.

- **Relevant Laws Breached**
  a. **Data Protection Act 1998**: Unauthorized use of personal data.
  b. **Human Rights Act 1998**: Article 8 - Right to respect for private and family life.
  c. **Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE)**: Misuse of police powers and misconduct.
  d. **Communications Act 2003**: Unlawful broadcasting of personal information.

- **Key Points:**
  a. **Abuse of Power:** Officers reportedly exploited Mr. Cordell's name during their duties in a manner that was both unprofessional and hateful, violating his rights.

  b. **Violation of Privacy:** Officers were recorded live on national television, shouting Mr. Cordell's name and contributing to a viral incident without his consent, potentially endangering his life. This constitutes a breach of privacy laws, which protect individuals from unauthorized publicity.

  c. **Inappropriate Conduct:** Evidence suggests that the officers were on duty and responsive to an emergency call in a different borough, raising questions about their conduct during active duty (under the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984).

  d. **Creating Hostile Environment:** The officers' actions led to a violent situation, as they allegedly assaulted an individual who was to be detained under police powers (under the Criminal Justice Act 2003).

  e. **Media Manipulation:** The video evidence reflects a broader pattern of misconduct that has persisted for years, implicating government officials and media entities in facilitating the unlawful use of Mr. Cordell's name.

- **Conclusion:**
  These allegations underscore serious concerns regarding the handling of sensitive information and the professional conduct of police officers, necessitating a thorough and impartial investigation. The actions of the police must constitute as violations of civil and criminal injustices

11. Other PNC and Acro Relevant Laws and Examples of Wrongs:

a. **Data Protection Act 2018:** This act requires that personal data be accurate and kept up to date. The errors on my PNC printout violate this requirement.

b. **Police Act 1997:** This act governs the use of the PNC and mandates that information must be accurate and up to date.

c. **Human Rights Act 1998:** Article 8 of this act protects the right to respect for private and family life. The incorrect information on my PNC printout has caused undue distress and impacted my personal life due to the mentioned within this claim.

12. The Most Recent Events Have Led to The Following: --

a. Mr. S. Cordell Contacted Acro by telephone: --

b. Mr. S. Cordell Contacted Telephone Number 101 and/or 0207 230 1212 Met CCC Lambeth, Bow and Hendon.
c. Mr. S. Cordell Contacted the Metropolitan Police Service New Scotland Yard
d. Mr. S. Cordell Contacted Highbury and Islington Court
e. Mr. S. Cordell Contacted the Wood Green Crown Court
f. Mr. S. Cordell Contacted the CPS
g. Mr. S. Cordell Contacted the

1+. Fabricated Complaints:

2+. Burncroft Avenue Members Filing More Fabricated Complaints: The complaint was made because the police, once again, used my neighbours in an illegal manner, deliberately allowing them to harm me despite the evidence we have provided. The police permit this behaviour as they are the ones who instigated the illegal hate crime, hoping to provoke a negative response from me, to build custodial sentences or Court Actions and due to me be able to. This would enable them to dismiss the truths I am uncovering about their actions from the beginning.

* I contacted the police to file a complaint. I recorded the conversations and received texts confirming that my complaint was logged. Eventually, I spoke to an officer and directed him to my website, where I had posted evidence of the errors, including the PNC fraud and errors, and ASBO cases that caused significant damage.

- **Officer's Investigation**: The officer initially doubted the possibility of plea dates and offense dates being the same but agreed to investigate. Police later texted me, as mentioned above. confirming that my complaint (reference: **CAD 2823/23Aug24)** was under review and that I would be contacted within 48 hours.

- **Official Communication**: I received a text from the Metropolitan Police stating that my complaint was under review and provided information on how to dispute mistakes on a DBS certificate through the UK Gov website.

- **Court's Follow-Up**: On the **02nd of September 2024**, I contacted the courts again, and they confirmed the existence of a reviewing team responsible for updating the Police National Computer of convictions. They advised me to send my complaint to this team.

3+. Below, We Have Included Exhibited: "Telephone Calls!"

File "*02.1. Simons Statement Pt2!"* was Here! Dated **20-04-26.**

13. Conclusion:

I request that the Metropolitan Police and the relevant authorities take immediate action to correct the errors on my PNC printout and ensure that such mistakes do not occur in the future. The ongoing use of these fabricated records against me is causing significant harm, and I seek a resolution to restore my reputation and peace of mind.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to your prompt response.

Yours sincerely,
[Your Name]

Signature:

Signature witnessed by:

Witness contact details
URN

Name of witness:
Home Address: Postcode:
E-mail address: Mobile:
Home Telephone Number: Work Telephone Number: Preferred means of contact *(specify details for vulnerable/intimidated victims and witnesses only)*: Gender: Date and place of birth:
Former name: Ethnicity Code (16 + 1):

DATES OF WITNESS NON-AVAILABILITY:

Witness care

1+. Is the witness willing to attend court?If 'No', include reason(s) on form **MG6.**

2+. What can be done to ensure attendance?

3+. Does the witness require a Special Measures Assessment as a vulnerable or intimidated witness? (youth under 18; witness with mental disorder, learning or physical disability; or witness in fear of giving evidence or witness is the complainant in a sexual offence case) If 'Yes' submit **MG2** with file in anticipated not guilty, contested or indictable only cases.

4+. Does the witness have any particular needs? If 'Yes', what are they? (Disability, healthcare, childcare, transport, disability, language difficulties, visually impaired, restricted mobility or other concerns?).

Witness Consent (for witness completion)

1+. The Victim Personal Statement scheme (victims only) has been explained to me

☐Yes, No☐

2+. I have been given the Victim Personal Statement Leaflet.

☐Yes, No☐

3+. I have been given the leaflet "Giving a witness statement to the police…"

☐Yes, No☐

4+. I consent to the police having access to my medical record(s) in relation to this matter (obtained in accordance with local practice.)

☐Yes, No☐

5+. I consent to my medical record in relation to this matter being disclosed to the defence

☐Yes, No☐

6+. I consent to the statement being disclosed for the purposes of civil, or other proceedings if applicable, e.g. childcare proceedings, CICA.

☐Yes, No☐

7+. Child witness cases only. I have had the provision regarding reporting restrictions explained to me.

☐Yes, No☐

8+. I would like CPS to apply for reporting restrictions on my behalf.

☐Yes, No☐

9+. I understand that the information recorded above will be passed on to the Witness Service, which offers help and support to witnesses pre-trial and at court.

☐Yes, No☐

Signature of witness: **PRINT NAME:**
Signature of parent/guardian/appropriate adult: **PRINT NAME:**

Address and telephone number (of parent etc.), if different from above:

Statement taken by: **Station**:

Time and place statement taken:

New year was shit locked up I miss my friends and not being keep next to the people who ruined my life by trying to kill me…. Richard and that done me on Christmas Eve and Christmas day while I was asleep and called the police to me, they see I was trying to be sleep and he was drunk, I explained that he will still not leave me alone in my home and what they do illegally and have done in the past. Rebecca 115 was the same banging at me. I have a witness. My flat is not safe to have a family in or for me to be kept inside of due to my name being made to go viral for horrible things that are not true. I was 32 when I was locked up and am now going to be 44 and can't have a family here or sleep in my bedroom with anyone or alone without being badly attacked. They want to kill a fourth child in a fourth woman who is happy to be with me and knows that I have nothing wrong with me as spread viral about me.