Date:10/04/2024
Email: Re_wired@ymail.com
Our Ref: one.
Your Ref:
Phone:
Address:
Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Avenue
Enfield
London
En3 7jq

A Exhibited description of the lead up to all that is being complained about: --

EXHIBITS FOR THE N1 CLAIM FORM

Exhibits for the N1 Claim Form: --

The Claimant, Mr. Simon Paul Cordell, whom we represent as his diligent solicitors, finds himself currently confined to the safety of his own home. This unfortunate situation arises out of a legitimate fear of retribution from the individuals who have managed to evade the grasp of justice for the egregious harm they have inflicted upon our esteemed Client. Their actions have not only caused personal detriment to Mr. Cordell but have also cast a shadow upon his character and reputation.

These individuals, who collectively bear the title of **"Accused Civil Persons,"** comprise either current or former residents of the United Kingdom and due to the sheer number of people involved in this convoluted web, it has become necessary to provide an exhaustive list of these individuals in a separate document entitled **"The Accused as Liable,"** which we have attached for ease of reference. By doing so, we hope to reinforce the gravity of the situation at hand, while Providing Our extensive evidence that we have gathered to support our Client's claims.

Mr. Cordell firmly contends that the actions taken against him by the Accused Civil Persons have been a direct violation of his autonomy and freedom of choice. Their conscious decision to commit criminal and civil offenses, rather than abide by the regulations and laws that govern the United Kingdom, compels him to assert his rights and present these claims before the appropriate authorities. The circumstances leading to this course of action have imposed significant, life-altering risks upon Mr. Cordell, which he now bears through no fault of his own and are solely as a result of their deliberate actions.

It is imperative that the illegal activities perpetrated by the **"Accused as Liable"** are not overlooked or downplayed. Our Client, Mr. Cordell, has meticulously compiled a wealth of evidence that unequivocally demonstrates their culpability. By presenting this evidence, we strive to ensure that justice will not evade him, despite the desperate attempts made by these individuals to evade accountability. Their subsequent fabrication of fraudulent official paperwork, aimed at evading the justice Mr. Cordell so steadfastly seeks, only serves to highlight the highly illegal practices they have directed against him and the consequent erosion of moral standards in his life. These actions represent a clear abuse of his human rights, wherein the Accused Civil Persons have flagrantly and exclusively violated the rules and laws of our revered Land.

It should be duly noted that among those individuals accused as liable are individuals who currently or previously held positions as Government Work Staff. These individuals, entrusted as an integral part of the United Kingdom's government employment force, are named explicitly in the annexed document, "The Accused as Liable," further cementing their association with the alleged offenses.

In conclusion, the actions undertaken by the Accused Civil Persons must not be overlooked or tolerate. We kindly request your prompt attention to the matters raised herein and the attached evidence, as we advocate for justice on behalf of our esteemed Client, Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.

It is alleged that the claims made by the Now Claimant were a result of government officials abusing their powers. Multiple reports were submitted to government bodies for fair investigations, but no action was taken. The offenses reported by the Now Claimant and others were brought to the attention of relevant government officials. However, instead of addressing the reported wrongdoings, the government officials allegedly used their powers to impose illegal restrictions on the Now Claimant. Additionally, civil persons were allegedly influenced by the false information provided by the accused government officials and engaged in wrongful acts against the Now Claimant. It is claimed that the government officials and civil persons worked together to cover up the ongoing issues reported by the Now Claimant.

Description of Events

The Now Claimants continued to reported injustices through the years of **2012** until the date of this: **"Short Description of Events,"** that briefs up until the **06th/04//2024**. Those reported injustices are being accounted as **"Thirteen Events?"** in this document that were complained about by way of using verbal & electronical data and all the sent and received correspondence that Official Persons were put in receipt of they then afterwards purposely left or manipulated against the Claimant, so, that they can "illegally evaded Justice for themselves or colleagues and/or persons of favourability".

As a result, this has been allowed to continue due to no fair investigations ever being initiated and therefore no arrests ever being made, causing the crimes continued to persist and even flourish and all as we continue to diligently collect and compile evidence to support these claims.

Event One "Is The Basie of our claim"

- **Please note that we have enclosed our insurance claim files along with their corresponding exhibits within their own N1 Claim Form, which we have also, duly submitted in this legal proceeding."**

a PNC/ CRIMINAL RECORD, ACRO REPORT:

- **Start Date:** 00/00/2012.
- **End Date:** 00/00/0000.

Members of the Metropolitan Police target out the Now Claimant, a Mr. S. P. Cordell from his early adolescent age and his compiled criminal record illustrates a pattern of Torture Tactics. The number of arrests leading to non-conventions is due to the Metropolitan police and Crown Prosecution Services wrongfully singling out Mr Simon Cordell and therefore not being able to supply evidence beyond reasonable doubt and this is disgusting for the Now Claimant to have to of suffered throughout pursuant. The criminal record also, shows dates of arrest and these dates prove Mr. Cordell's good Character leading up to and after the placement of a Forged Asbo Court Order that the Enfield Council & Metropolitan Police Force applied for at a Court of law. A specific instance is outlined in the following examples below in this document.

- ✓ **Exhibit** *****:
  - The previous offence date before the Asbo is 00/00/
  - The rehabilitation Act.
  - "In the Table at The Bottom of This Document Below is Exhibit *****."

Event Two: - "Is partially included in the Claimant's Acro Record Report due to police liability. Additionally, we have enclosed our insurance claim files along with their corresponding exhibits within their own N1 Claim Form, which we have also, duly submitted in this legal proceeding."

b DRIVING BANS:

- **Start Date:** 00/00/0000.
- **End Date:** 00/00/0000.

Event Three: - "Is included in the Claimant's Acro Record Report due to the **Metropolitan police Force** and its members inclusively of **the Enfield Council** and its involved supplementary **Companies** liabilities. Additionally, we have enclosed our insurance claim files along with their corresponding exhibits within their own N1 Claim Form, which we have also, duly submitted in this legal proceeding."

c GAZEBO CASE:

- **Start Date:** 00/00/0000.
- **End Date:** 00/00/0000.

Event Four: - "Is included in the Claimant's Acro Record Report due to the **Metropolitan police Force** and its members inclusively of **the Enfield Council** and its involved supplementary **Companies** liabilities. Additionally, we have enclosed our insurance claim files along with their corresponding exhibits within their own N1 Claim Form, which we have also, duly submitted in this legal proceeding."

d THE 1st ASBO ORDER

- **Said to have got Served Date:** 12/09/2014.
- **End Date:** 04/08/2020.
- **Files Included Are:** N1 Claim Form, Pre-Action-Conduct-Letter, Exhibits.

The Asbo Order imposed on the Now Claimant is riddled with egregious forgeries. Despite the Now Claimant and others presenting clear factual discrepancies and exposing the fraud, their efforts to report these findings to the relevant authorities were met with a lack of fair follow-up or resolution.

The Enfield Council and associated parties subjected the Now Claimant and his support network to multiple fraudulent Court Order applications during the Asbo proceedings. These deceptive practices were utilized to assist certain team members in evading justice and accountability as prior mentioned.

The Enfield Council, in collaboration with the Metropolitan Police, orchestrated the creation of:

1) Five Falsified Court Order Applications,

- with the Asbo being the initial one in this series. These additional four orders aimed to prevent the Now Claimant from voicing his grievances regarding the prior fabricated PNC/Criminal Record - ACRO Report, ongoing and the Driving Bans used in connection with the Asbo Order, to keep him in his house and not to forget the Gazebo Case referenced earlier. Throughout these legal proceedings and both prior and subsequently to them, members of the Metropolitan Police force and the Enfield Council persistently engaged in "**Tortures Tactics**" no matter of the level of severity of the misconduct that they were committing illegally against the Now Claimant, in a plan to contributing to deteriorate his well-being.

At the focal point of the illegal activities perpetrated by members of the: --

1) Metropolitan Police force and
2) the Enfield Council alongside with the
3) Crown Prosecution Services &
4) Members of the NHS & Private Mental Health Services and not to forget: --
5) Members Of Parliament,

- lies a reprehensible scheme that they ploy to commit, there ploy once committed or indulge into Utilised their Media Centres to: --

- **Inspired Racial Hatred by using**: --

a. Members Of the Public, but namely Members of His Neighbours, as whom have been named in this document, acted in a manner Against the Now Claimant: --

1) To Scare Away the Complainer About His Complaint to An Extent Were They Spreading Malicious Rumours That He Is Barred from His Country to Live And/or reside!
2) Slandering The Complainer Wellbeing in The Public's Opinion to Put Doubt on His Words.
3) Demoralise The Complainer's Wellbeing with Barbaric Medieval Procedures by allowing hatred acts by whoever would take apart in inflicting pain and sufferings upon him to happen.

- They as accused all unjustly targeted the Now Claimant to evade accountability, leading to a significant escalation of misconduct and a catastrophic turn of events. These actions resulted in severe violations, including: --
  - Breaches Of the Now Claimant's Personal Data,
  - Defamation Of His Character,
  - Denial Of a Fair and Expedient Trial, And
  - Encroachment Upon His Human Rights, Notably Violating Article 2 Concerning the Right to Life.

In a grand display, the Enfield Council and Metropolitan Police incited racial animosity against the Now Claimant, allowing harmful narratives to proliferate and enabling acts of hostility and suffering against him.

The Asbo Court Order alongside other Court Orders that the Enfield Council and Metropolitan police all applied for show that the case developers and case handlers deliberately hurt Mr. S. P. Cordell with bad intentions in mind.

The Court should have not allowed the Asbo application "to be submitted solely with hearsay and no factual evidence." Because the High Court has emphasised that the use of the words "if any" shows that, "hearsay evidence may be given no weight at all." Legal Law States the Prosecuting team can rely on hearsay evidence; however, the Court of Appeal have prior governed that they will not expect a Court to find that the criminal standard has been reached if the prosecuting team are relying solely on hearsay evidence.

As per the Law, it is also, a well-established principle that the prosecuting team is required to serve a Hearsay notice to accompany any evidence presented. Furthermore, any application for hearsay must subsequently be approved by the reviewing Judge before being permitted to proceed. However, in the case brought against Mr. S P Cordell, these fundamental rules were not adhered to, nor were they rectified when challenged. This failure resulted in the submission of evidence that was not subject to cross-examination, thereby unlawfully casting doubt upon the final conviction. The Judge is to place little or no weight upon material that has not been Prosecution evaluated, by way of a fair cross examination.

✓ In Cleary, the Court of Appeal again restated that Courts should consider attaching no weight at all to such material, by the words of the statute: --
  - *Cleary v Highbury Corner Magistrates & (1) Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis and others* ***(2007) 1 WLR 1272; [2006] EWHC 1869.***

Based on the latest updates as of the present date, it is important to highlight that the Now Claimant has achieved a remarkable success rate, winning 99% of the cases brought against them by the Enfield Council and the Metropolitan Police. This outstanding record demonstrates the inability of these authorities to provide sufficient evidence to prove guilt in a court of law. It is crucial to note, however, that one case, specifically the "Asbo Proceedings," resulted in an unfortunate outcome where the Now Claimant was found guilty by a Judge. It is my professional opinion that this verdict was unjust and should never have been reached based on the available evidence.

Exploring Further Factors Concerning the ASBO

The foundation of creating an ASBO involves certain prerequisites that must be followed by official individuals, but these mandatory requirements were not fulfilled by the staff. Before applying for an ASBO, the Enfield Council and Metropolitan Police should have considered several voluntary solutions and alternative measures. Some examples of these include: --

1) Mediation,
2) Verbal And
3) Written Warnings from Relevant Authorities,
4) Police Support Packages,

5) Diversionary Schemes and Activities,
6) Rehabilitation, And Criminal Investigation,

It is important to note that the above is not an exhaustive list. The claimant, Mr. S. P. Cordell, expressed his concerns at the earliest opportunity to the Enfield Council, Metropolitan Police, and even the CPS Prosecution Team member. However, they did not provide him with any opportunity to explore other remedies before initiating official court proceedings and imposing penalties.

Breaches Of the Hearsay Evidence Rules

The Asbo Applications Material facts were based solely on Hearsay evidence that the Enfield Council and Metropolitan Police created in a Malicious falsehood against the Now Claimant.

Breaches Of Intrusted Powers of Work and What Is & Is Not a Tolerated Level of Behaviour at A Place of Work.

The breach of entrusted powers at the workplace and the level of behaviour that is and is not tolerated are important considerations. It is unacceptable for staff, who hold official positions, to engage in mischievous activities and intentionally sabotage someone's life while abusing the powers granted to them by their job role as has happened to Mr. Simon Pal Cordell. This behaviour, which includes planned computer abuse leading to fraud and corruption, must be detected, and prevented at the earliest opportunity, as has not. The Enfield Council and Metropolitan Police force have a mandatory obligation to handle this matter appropriately as must now be their mandatory Obligation.

Criminal and Civil Wrongs: Negligence and Deliberate Actions

The Now Claimant has been subject to negligence and deliberate wrongful actions from the staff of the named liable companies, as permitted by their management teams. This has allowed torts to develop, start, escalate, and continue against the Now Claimant. The intention behind the development of the Asbo Court order application is evidently to harm the Now Claimant, jeopardizing his well-being, reputation, and belongings.

The Master of the Rolls holds a crucial position within the legal system, yet Mr. Simon Paul Cordell's case was failed on multiple legal obligations. The following factors are believed to have contributed to this situation:

1) **Administrative Oversight**: Certain administrative tasks and responsibilities were overlooked, resulting in failures.
2) **Judicial Errors:** Judges, including the Master of the Rolls, made legal errors in their judgments. These errors have led to the misinterpretation of facts, applications filed with incorrect legal principles, and a clear oversight of relevant precedents.
3) **Workload and Stress**: Favourability, peer pressure, and a desire not to get caught when engaged in improper actions have contributed to failures.
4) **Lack of Good Management**: Inadequate management has been a factor in the failure to fulfil legal obligations.
5) **Ethical Lapses**: Violations of ethical standards, conflicts of interest, and breaches of professional conduct have led to failures in meeting legal obligations.
6) **Communication Issues**: Ineffective communication with colleagues, litigants, or other stakeholders has resulted in missed deadlines or incomplete tasks.

No Real Persons As Victims

The lack of real victims in the case presented a challenge in court, as the Enfield Council and Metropolitan Police Force have forged applications. This fraudulent behaviour prevented us from being able to challenge the accused offenses by presenting the names of victims to attend to court. Furthermore, they knew that we had also lodged complaints regarding the fraudulent creation of the Asbo application prior to the following frauded applications.

Fraud

Fraudulent activities have been uncovered in the documentation used to create the ASBO application for the court. These discrepancies, include backward time stamps, that were brought to the attention of the prosecuting team by Mr. Simon Paul Cordell and his legal representatives. However, they unfortunately disregarded the presented evidence, even though it was prominently included in his response to the ASBO Order Return Folder.
Shortly after, the claimant was able to review some of the pages from the ASBO application that had been photocopied by his mother after discovering scattered pages in a public place. It was at this point that he identified fraudulent elements associated with his name and promptly contacted the police, alerting them to the deceitful nature of the ASBO application. "**Evidence that we Exhibit as** *****" is in the table provided below serves as evidence of this fraudulent activity.

Members of the United Kingdom's Parliament

Throughout the various complained about Frauded Court Order Applications, the Members of Parliament (MPs) were contacted directly by us and as involved Members of Parliament they engaged in email correspondence with each other & ourselves. However, they failed to take appropriate action to address the reported crimes brought to their attention by the Now Claimant and his mother. This failure on their part, "The Part of The MPs Of the United Kingdom, who" not only jeopardized the safety of the Now Claimant's life but also undermined public trust in the government and its institutions.

The Fabrication and Premeditation of Four Additional Court Orders

All subsequent applications made following the issuance of the Asbo were a direct result of the Enfield Council, Metropolitan Police, and members of the NHS & Private Mental Health teams Workers failing to act based on the valid concerns raised by the Now Claimant and his support network. They were all continuously warned about the illegal activities being conducted against him, by members of the public and their teams, such as neighbours relentlessly assaulting him by banging on floors, walls, and doors in a deliberate attempt to victimize him.
The Enfield Council and Metropolitan Police Force members unjustly developed additional court orders against the Now Claimant, including the first and second housing possession orders, as well as the first and second injunction orders. The primary motive behind these fabricated court orders and the allowance of malicious behaviour by the neighbours was to conceal the truth that the Now Claimant and others had been reporting.

And Here Are the Relevant Laws Pertaining to The Asbo Order

The Enfield Council and Metropolitan Police Force denied Mr. Cordell's right to justice by avoiding a fair investigation. The relevant laws that apply to this case include: --

1/ Breaches of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000.
--

2/ Breaches Admitting Evidence Under Sections 9 and 10 Criminal Justice Act 1967 - Updated 02nd of August 2018 as amended 2003.

- **Article 190.1:** Definition of Offense
  A person commits the criminal offense of fabrication of evidence when he or she, with intent to mislead, fabricates anything intending it to inserted as evidence in existing or proposed judicial proceedings.
- **Article 191.1:** Definition of Offense
  A person commits the criminal offense of presentation of false or forged evidence when he or she presents evidence in judicial proceedings knowing it to be false or forged.
- **Article 198.1:** Definition of Offense
  A person commits the criminal offense of "providing assistance to a perpetrator" after the commission of a criminal offense when he or she: **Section (b)** helps the perpetrator of a criminal offense elude discovery by concealing instruments or evidence or aids the perpetrator in any other way.

3/ Disclosure Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 (CPIA) Nondisclosure
a) A

4/ Breaches of the Oaths Act 1868
b) A

5/ Breaches of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 / 1st Asbo

c) A

Event Five: - "Is included in the Claimant's Acro Record Report due to the **Metropolitan police Force** and its members inclusively of **the Enfield Council** and its involved supplementary **Companies** liabilities. Additionally, we have enclosed our insurance claim files along with their corresponding exhibits within their own N1 Claim Form, which we have also, duly submitted in this legal proceeding."

e Created Hate Crime & Encouraged Neighbours to Attack Me While Recklessly Allowing It to Be Permitted:

- **Start Date:** 12/09/2014.
- **End Date:** 11/04/2024.

Over the course of several years, more than thirty different neighbours engaged in a relentless campaign of attack against Mr. Cordell, using various means to cause harm and install fear in him. This kind of behaviour is considered as illegal in most governed states. It is unacceptable for an innocent individual like Mr. Cordell to be subjected to such torture in our modern society.

The Enfield Council made a grave error in assuming that concealing an arrestable offense would go unnoticed. Mr. S. P. Cordell repeatedly reported instances of civil and criminal wrongdoing by his neighbours, which included acts of nuisance and harassment.
These acts were in clear violation of: --

1) The Tort of Nuisance Act 1893 And Christie V Davey,
2) Criminal Law Act **1967** – "Concealing an Arrestable Offence.

- and were committed against Mr. Cordell without any justifiable reason.

Mr. S. P. Cordell and other persons repeatedly reported the Tort of nuisance Act **1893** Christie v Davey and other civil and criminal wrongdoing what members of his Neighbours vigorously doing to him with contemporary relevance to no evade of law that equalled to justice.
The tremendous while yet mortifying attack that Mr. S. P. Cordell reclaims taking place over the course of several years that his next-door Neighbours took apart in panned out to be more than just a punishment and without any fair law or reason. More than thirty different Neighbours engaged in a relentless campaign of attack against Mr. Cordell, using various means to cause him harm and install fear in him. Their kind of behaviour is easily considered as an evilly illegal, fuelled frenzy attack that behaviour of such a kind must not be considered as acceptable. Their illegal acts against him were and are a crime against humanity and are to be an imprisonable offence in most governed states with any fair democracy.
It is unacceptable for an innocent individual like Mr. Cordell to be subjected to such torture in our modern society.
Mr. S. P. Cordell stays scared till date of reprisals as his Neighbours and local authorities leave him quaking in his shoes when applying for justice. From Floorboards & windows being used as Weapons to wound him mentally and not to forget the Neighbours who he is happy to name who used their doors or cooking pans and more to hurt him to any extent possible, Mr. Simon Cordell with the Cristian name of Paul had objects banged aloud to disgrace him and petrify him and even when they involved knew that he had done nothing wrong., non would stop or help prevent the evil ongoings being committed to him repeatedly through his days. An innocent person tortured such as Mr. S P. Cordell took place, and the behaviour of the people he accuses is yet, again not acceptable to accept in today's modern society.
The different illegal scenarios that Mr. S. P. Cordell suffered forcefully put him in fear of losing his life or well-being and has had since negative life changing effects on him.
The Now Claimant's mother wrote at his request to the authorities for protection, to whom in part was the Enfield Council Neighbourhood Watch Team & The Enfield Council Civic Centre, Local police Force and all other relevant department that could help save her sons life," while he made phone calls to the police & Government officials alike that have all been recorded, documented and Exhibited as our evidence in these proceedings.

The Enfield Council and Metropolitan Police Force exhibited a clear disregard for the rights of the Now Claimant when they failed to respond to his and his mother's complaints about the injustices committed by

their neighbours & their staff alike. Despite multiple emails being sent, these authorities chose not to reply or take any action, other than fabricating vicious claims about him or manipulating more laws to restrict him and without any due care being took to protect him, as a fact the laws were used to hurt him while restricting him into his home to any extent so, that he would not leave his home and all while allowing the neighbours to persist in their hateful and hurtful conduct. Furthermore, their attempt to divert attention away from themselves by falsely creating a mental health history for the Neighbours illegally against the Now Claimant by avoiding the first emails of complaint to which they were sent and for months afterwards in knowing that they were allowing the crime s to continue. It is said that once they realised the persistence in the now claimant and his support team making a claim against them, they showed no respect for life itself.

It is also, said that the named below: --

1) Dawn.Allen@Enfield.Gov.Uk **Enfield Homes** Tenancy Management Officer Council Housing the Edmonton Centre 3644 South Mall Edmonton N9 0TN. **No Dates or Info Yet!**
2) louise.Brown2@Enfield.Gov.Uk **Enfield Homes No Dates or Info Yet!**
3) Jackie.Gubby@Enfield.Gov.Uk **Enfield Homes** Housing Manager Tenancy Management the Edmonton Centre 36-44 South Mall Edmonton Green N9 0TN. **No Dates or Info Yet!**

- All intentionally after months of avoiding Official correspondence thought that Mr. Simon Paul Cordell had committed a wrong by defending himself from the neighbour who lived above him by arguing with her outside. This person of interest they as a part of the housing management team knew because of the complaints made to themselves and due to her having her children taken away from her due to suffering with mental health issues. This person of interest lived directly above Mr. Cordell and was one of those who badly attacked him.

It is said that the listed as above all used her, by leaving her & others to commit the crimes against Mr. Cordell to which he and others complained about to them and it is said that once things had come on top for themselves due to, he and others keep messaging them they arranged a meeting with the real perpetrators to be able to labelling him the victim as a perpetrator and those who were committing the crime victims and to aid in this cover up they contacted the mental health teams and arranged meetings to

them as the victims while branding him the claimant as the perpetrator and this is a clear indication of their refusal to address the factual claims made against them & others to avoid justice themselves for wrongs committed and being complained about.

It is imperative to note that the neighbours, whose contact information is **provided below,** are aware of the accusations levelled against them. They continue to utilize their homes as tools to harm the Now Claimant physically and mentally, with the intention of silencing him and preventing him from exposing their illegal activities. The named Council housing officers, mentioned below, have also demonstrated a failure to take any action or provide relevant information pertaining to the case.

And Here Is the Relevant Laws Pertaining to The Crimes Encouraged for The Neighbours to Take Apart In: --

The Enfield Council and Metropolitan Police Force denied Mr. Cordell's right to justice by avoiding a fair investigation. The relevant laws that apply to this case include: --

a) The Criminal Justice Act **2003**
b) Criminal Law Act **1967**
c) Tort of nuisance Act **1893** Christie v Davey
d) Offences against the Person Act **1861 s.18**
e) Housing Act **1988**

The neighbours' intention was to cause significant harm to Mr. Cordell or any other individual who chose to stand up against their illegal activities. These illegal incidents left Mr. Cordell fearing for his life and well-being, with detrimental and life-changing effects. To seek protection, Mr. Cordell's mother wrote to the authorities, specifically the Enfield Neighbourhood Team and Enfield Council, while I personally made phone calls to the police. However, both the Enfield Council and Metropolitan Police Force disregarded our

requests and failed to respond to our emails, which allowed the neighbours to continue their attacks with impunity. Only when we persisted in our attempts to seek justice did they attempt to label Mr. Cordell with a mental health history, in an obvious attempt to divert attention away from their own negligence.

Event Six

f Created the first forged Possession Order to:

- **Start Date**: 09/08/2017.
- **End Date:** 09/08/2017!
- **Other Important Information**: This Case Never Got to A Trial Only the Asbo Did.

The 1st Possession Order alongside with **E, F, G,** below were interlocutory orders and Mr. S. P. Cordell suffered through the years of **2016**, **2017**, **2018, 2019** because of these proceedings and at no fault of his own.

Mr. S. P. Cordell contended the allegations from the very start of the justice system process taken against him and beard the loss of costs and damages.

The original Court orders were all produced in a none chaological order and were redacted copies and without any persons named to be able to evaluate the turning stones of the law and without the statement makers name being present to assess the weight of the bearing of the statements, Mr. S. P. Cordell or his litigation friend could not rightly challenge the requested in Court.

The proceedings never made it to trial as Mr. S. P. Cordell and others challenged the case handlers about the legal practicalities of what they were doing.

“In a nutshell” when the provided paperwork from the Enfield Council was overviewed by the Now Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell it became plainer that the law-abiding documentation was yet again frauded due to obvious inaccuracies.

The government bodies in question disregarded warnings and failed to take the necessary measures to ensure justice was upheld. Their actions were motivated by protecting the interests of both the company and individuals associated with attacking Mr. S. P. Cordell, without regard for whether their actions were morally right or wrong. The involved management demonstrated a lack of concern for the implications of their behaviour in relation to this claim.

The Enfield council staff, in collaboration with the Metropolitan Police Services, NHS, Private Doctors, and Mr. Cordell's neighbours, made illicit attempts to unlawfully evict him from his rented residential premises. They did so by providing false statements to obtain an Interim Possession Order. This concerted effort to victimize Mr. Cordell constitutes a criminal offense under the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.

The Enfield Council staff, through the fraudulent manipulation of company records and the subsequent use of forged documents, deliberately orchestrated a calculated scheme to obtain lawful Court orders with the intent to restrict Mr. Cordell's freedom and unlawfully deprive him of his home. Their actions were maliciously aimed at causing significant physical and psychological harm to Mr. S. P. Cordell. The severity of the attack employed a combination of calculated forgeries and testimonial evidence, all aimed at disturbing the peace and stability of Mr. Cordell's life. Furthermore, the prolonged duration of these illegal activities, carried out by the Enfield Council staff, far exceeded any reasonable limits, even once reported.

“In the Table at The Bottom of This Document Below is **Exhibit** *****.”

And Here Is the Relevant Laws Pertaining to The Crimes Encouraged & Took Apart In: --

1) Making False Statement to Obtain Interim Possession Order Criminal Justice and Public Order Act **1994**

2) Civil Evidence Act **1968**
3) Protection from Eviction Act **1977 s.1**
4) Assisting or Encouraging Crime

Event Seven

g Created the first forged Injunction Order to:

- **Start Date:** 09/08/2017.
- **End Date:** 09/08/2017!
- **Other Important Information:** This Case Never Got to A Trial Only the Asbo Did.

For the avoidance of doubt, the Now Claimant tried standard disclosure and failed in its obligation to sever the order in accordance to service of post, Civil Procedure **Rules part 6**.
A copy of the application that failed service on Mr. Cordell was also, emailed to his mother and for her reference only. The Email received held a list of documents about the 1st Injunction Order application. The list was in standard form.

The then found list of frauded allegation accused against her son a Mr. S. P. Cordell he denied he had committed, and she the Now Claimants mother agree with her son for her own list of different varied reasons.

The 1st Injunction Order was different from the 1st Possession Order because it held a Subpoena. The Enfield Councils staff had avoided acting on the first and correct attributing claims sent to them by his mother about any of the then participating turned from perpetrators to victims and was swindled out of any fair outcomes by the Enfield council staffs from any fair outcome of her complaint by corruption as when request the Enfield Council objected to an inspection and continued proceedings, Nevertheless, Mr. S. P. Cordell contended the allegations and beard loss of costs.

Words and expressions by the Judge brought a sharp close to proceedings as the Enfield Councils legal team did not return their questionnaire within the correct timeline requested by his honour.

The Now Claimant gave further tries for his honours decision to get Overturned, but the Judge was stern in his direction and stuck the case out of Court for good.

The 1st Injunction Order application clearly made and still makes a mockery of the Civil Evidence Act **1968** codes of practice and equality that with more other absolute Rights of Mr. Cordell & his family members.

"In the Table at The Bottom of This Document Below is **Exhibit** *****."
And Here is Relevant Laws

a) A
b) A

Event Eight

h Created the Second forged Injunction Order to:

- **A**
-
- **Other Important Information:** This Case Never Got to A Trial Only the Asbo Did.

After winning the first possession order and first injunction order Mr. Cordell made a telephone call to Lemmy as to complain in polite about what had occurred. Lemmy told me that I was a dead man down the phone and my mother was dead as well. After our recorded conversation had finished, he called the police and said I had said similar things to him when I did not as I had just won the frauded cases put illegally against me and knew I was going to win the Asbo case next.

“I now can prove he had attended Court every day almost trying to get the cases reopened against me in the same case number that the Judge had stuck out and was refused on more than one occasion.” The police came to my rented home straight after our conversation on the phone and arrested me.

While I was in the police station Lemmy went to Court and refiled for a 2nd Injunction Order for himself and all other Enfield Council Staff.

They used the company credit card and refilled with a new case number. While in the Police Cell the police allowed a man into my cell who tried to serve me the Court order, but I refused to accept Knowlagent of service.

The Enfield Council posted a letter into my front door of service, but my mother attended my home and picked up the letter and left with it before I got home. Luckily for me I have a camera in my hallway facing my front door that recorded me not able to receive any documentation of service.

The Now Claimant was in police custody while a Process Server posted the order in his letter box and then afterwards taken out of my house by mother.
“I will upload a video link latter.”
Also, my past Solicitor who acted for me for the first time in the 1st Injunction Order had a copy of service served of Lemmy’s new 2nd Injunction Order for himself to his upset: I never used the same Solicitor firm again after that”.

Lemmy then allowed the Mathiyalagan family to attend the Court and do a declaration of facts of past events that had got stuck out prior and gave them on top of his claims in the 2nd Injunction order.

I complained to the Judge, and he Ordered for the folder to get Indexed.

I won the case in the End but before this happened the Judge ordered for another Mental Health assessment to take place not under his request but by the Enfield Councils request this is all on recording as well.

The assessment said that there was no mental illness on my behalf and the Judge ruled that Lemmy and the Enfield Council inclusive of Lumina rehouse me for a like to like Two Bedroom house as an Emergency transferer and I can have who I like to live with me a support network.
Lemmy tried to argue in Court by the Judge made it his final order putting Lemmy and Lumina in their places.
Just type transfer in the Diary Years.
The Enfield Council agreed to do this once my mother filled out the forms which she done straight away,
The Enfield Council staff treated the Now Claimant evilly by the Council and told that the Emergency transfer will go before the board meeting at the end of the month the same happened for three months afterwards with no meeting taking place.
the Enfield Councils staff told The Claimant and his mother that they could not attend and all while the Now Claimant Neighbours brutally attacked him in his home and to prove this fact, he collected evidence on mp4 & Mp3.
By the second month that an emergency board room meeting should have taken place my mother had informed the MPS of what was going on with the fraud and housing transfer and that the issues have not been prioritised as an Emergency, the MPS said that they had wrote to the Enfield Council and was waiting for a reply.
“I did not think this was fair as the Council continued to allow people to attack me while in my home and had a chance to cover up as much as possible rather than an official investigation taking place.
“This is in Audio & Emails”
The Enfield Council and Neighbourhood team lied to the MPS and said that my mother was lying about the housing transfer and The Enfield Council told a lie by saying the Judge said for the housing transfer to take

place the Now Claimant needs to accept the Doctors to be in his life and a care worker to live with him, or he will not be moved.
"This was a clear lie as you can tell by reading the first couple of emails about the housing transfer as to what the Jude really ordered to happen because all parties involved agreed in those emails then afterwards the Enfield Council requested for a new Solicitor to deal with the case from Harringay Council named as Michel.
"There is also Audio of this me speaking to Michael".
Michael sent an email straight after taking the case on and said that Enfield Council has Ordered for him to start new Possession proceedings and called my mother a liar in the emails.

Event Nine

i Created the Second forged Possession Order to:

- **A**
- **Other Important Information:**

1. This Case Never Got to A Trial Only the Asbo Did.
2. Proceedings for the 2nd Possession Order never started straight away.

The claimant continued to violently be under assault by members of his Neighbours.

Most times my mother authored an email to the Enfield Council, they referred me to the Doctors for no obvious reason.
Also, in my Diary under the **25/10/2015** you can see Dawn Allen "Enfield Councils Neighbourhood Team" request of the NHS if there is any Data held on me by them as she had been blacking my mother's request to protect me for moths prior.

The reply was no and the next days she agreed that they are going to build a history for myself.

Shortly afterwards she sent the Doctors to my house to do an assessment on me without ever meeting me she tells them to brig bite back spray for my dog and more.

Lemmy started work on the 10/10/2016 and read her notes and continued to victims me and build a history for me.

This is all just in a concise summary and there is more to account for were the Government bodies failed me.

Back to the point of this text. "**A Conflict of Interest"**

I received a letter of harassment hand delivered into my letter box by police.

While making my report.
I went outside to the police explaining this was out of order.
Police arrested the claimant and put into Chase Farm Hospital, due to the Enfield Council fabricating more frauded evidence against him.
Even more illegal activities took place around this time that I will explain latter when I type up everything.

25/10/2019
Doctor green side was not available to do the 24-hour assessment for detention and no other person would do it until he got back from holiday.
People tried to give me meds without any reason or doctor prescribing them.
When doctor Greenside did come back from being away, we had our first meeting my mother and uncle were present.
My family explained that the Doctors were wrong in detain me.

The reason Doctor greenside thought the claimant was getting Heald was for the arrest of spitting at the police when it was not.

After release from the hospital and with no Mental Health Diagnosis my m

I made a phone call to Luminas Solicitor firm based next to pizza hut in Enfield Town who had hired her out to the Enfield Council to take the Now Claimants case load on and explained what I could prove, she wrote to my past Solicitor and the police and took place in the creation of forged documents used in Court orders against me.

which there and the Judge Ordered that

Blocked Phone Calls to The Enfield Council & Its Affiliated Companies.

In **2019** I received letters of Jeremy Chambers who then worked for the Civic Centre as Director of Law and Governance.
At this time, the Council and Neighbours had lost all the falsified cases they had built against me and had never taken anything I had explained fairly.
The letters I received of Jeremy Chambers barred me from calling the Civic Centre and or attending the building in an attempt of themselves stopping me from displaying the true events that took place and in turn making a start towards cleaning my name.
All the conditions apposed in the letters are the same as in past forged applications that I have prior won and in a knock-on effect allowed for me to get attacked day in and night out without any justice.
I requested the right to appeal the decision in Jeremys and Ian Davis letters but due to the past Telephone conversations and other relevant documentation Jeremy Chambers and Co understood they could not allow for this to happen as I have the evidence to prove my innocents and so, he and others just refused to acknowledge my legal rights even further than what had already taken place and continues to happen by way of blanking me.
Jeremy and Ian Davis as signed on the documentation blocked my mobile phone so I can no longer call the civic centre, and this took place from around the year of 2016.
Another point is that the first point of contact given was Deion Grant who had been messaging my mother in the email's months beforehand and I as well as mother was not happy with the way in which she managed the situation. Deion Grant's recordings are now, listed below.

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. Jeremy passed to Deion grant 11/12.2019 time 09:42
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,

There are other emails made to the Civic centre and telephone calls relating to the specifics of Deion grant due to being the only point of contact that the Now Claimant can contact in the Enfield Council and its subsidiary companies without facing Prosecution.

The second point of contact will not answer to emails or a request for a call back to myself up until date 10/01/2021.

I refused to have the second Possession Order dropped under the grounds offered and due to knowing that I could prove what had really happened and to win in Court. If you look in audio around the dates of these letters and find me talking to my Solicitor, which I will join / link up latter while still working hard, you can listen to what I was saying!

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1

1x Recording.
01m. my Solicitor Ronad Ahmhed 20_09_2019
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**

Stage 1
1x Recording.
01m. My Solicitor (2) 24/10/2019
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
Update

Page 4 is the number in document above that I was calling the Enfield Council's Whistle Blowing line regarding their employed staff and other's criminal activities over the years list below.

Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed

Stage 1
1x Recording.
Civic centre 28/12/2017
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,

Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed

Stage 1
1x Recording.
Chief Heather littler!
1st Recording
01m. 1. 1st Chief 29/12/2017D
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,

Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed

Stage 1
1x Recording.
01m. 3. 29/12/2017 Chief Heather littler! 2nd Recording call back after Christmas Chief Heather 1 of 3
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**

Stage 1
1x Recording.
Chief Heather littler!
2nd Recording
01m. 4. 01/01/2018 chief Heather 2 of 3
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**

Stage 1
1x Recording.
Chief Heather littler!
3rd Recording
Next week someone will get back to me.
01m. 5. 02_01_2018 chief Heat 3 of 3
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**

Stage 1
1x Recording.
Chief Heather littler!
4th Recording
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
08/01/2018

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**

Stage 1
1x Recording.
Chief Heather littler!
5th Recording
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
08/01/2018

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**

Stage 1
1x Recording.
01m. 31. Enfield whistle rec12/01/2018
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**

Stage 1
Chief Heather littler!
6th Recording
01m. 6. 15/01/2018 Arrested again - Heather littler
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**

Stage 1
1x Recording.
01m. 7. 25_01_2018 (m) Heather Littler555
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**

Stage 1Heather littler
1x Recording.
01m. My Solicitor (2) 24/10/2019
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,

Then Jeremy took Control of it as below pdf page 2.

over the years of 2013 to 2019 the Now Claimant and his mother sent mutable emails to the Enfield Council who are also my landlord's, by the year of 2019 the Enfield Council had allowed for me to get tortured by my Neighbours for years to cover up an Asbo Order that got made in joint enterprise / Joint circler by themselves and other government bodies.

Event Ten

j Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:

- **A**

Event Eleven

k Housing Disrepair Issues:

- **A**

Event Twelve

l ** Issues:**

- **A**

Event Thirteen

m ** Issues:**

- **A**

Please look in the attached document:

EXHIBITS FOR THE N1 CLAIM FORM

****** Our Clients Diary Case Files *****	
Numb	**Below we have included our given reason to include the Now Claimants Diary, in these proceedings!**
1)	• The Diary demonstrates the official documents that were sent between all parties involved in a chronological order. • The diary's context contains official documents that are presented within it that show the circumstances that the prosecuting team bases their defence upon. • Therefore, the purpose of the diary, being exhibited, is to prove beyond reasonable doubt the true events of the claim. **Further reasons that are included in the diary that are of utmost importance to be exhibited in the court of Law of The United Kingdom's Land are as follows: –** • For us as the prosecuting team to be able to prove our reasons for claim as facts, by the use of official documents that are presented within the majority of the diary, that are our exhibits, therefore making our lines of enquiries easier to be reviewed as necessary when any person is establishing the facts of truth pertaining to the case and these elements may include some of the following as listed: – **1+** The event's case's matters that are relevant to the case, such as the dates, times, locations, and/or details of the incidents, and/or meetings,

		conversations, and/or agreements while yet, inclusive of rights and wrongs committed. 2+ To Also, show or support the defences states of minds, feelings, intentions, motives, and/or plans at the relevant points in time, while we are challenging and/or contradicting the evidence or testimony of the defending teams party and/or witness and when, we are testing any of their credibility's, reliability's, consistencies, and/or accuracy's. 3+ To be able to illustrate Our Clients character, personality, habits, and forced change of lifestyle, such as his hobbies, interests, values, beliefs, and relationships and this also, includes emotional distress, fear, anger, remorse, and his honesty thought our claims ongoings and that of the wrongful use of Mental Health Services instated. **Due to it being known in law that, not every diary entry is automatically admissible in court because there are some rules and conditions that must be mandatory followed to ensure that the diary is authentic, relevant, reliable, and not hearsay, opinionated, or speculation, we continue to note the following:** -- **1+** We as the prosecuting team intend to rely on our client's diary as the Exhibit *** and therefore if any of the following occur **a.** The judge objects to the diary or parts of it. **b.** The other party involved object to the diary or parts of it. • We make Our note now, that we are prepared to exclude or redacted the ordered by any Judge to meet the legal standards for evidence, as then ordered as required. **Our Other Things of Importance to Mention Are As Follows**: -- All the listed as liable within this official letter of our request for pre conduct. Refused to acknowledge any of the proof or official facts that was sent and received between all **What the diary is capable of demonstrating:** **2012** till **2023**
	Numb	**Below is an example of Our evidence extracted from our Diary exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims?**
	2)	• **June 2012**: The document starts with a timeline beginning on 03/06/2012. • **July 2012**: Continuation of the timeline with daily entries. • **August 2012**: The timeline persists with no specific incidents recorded.

- **September 2012**: Daily dates are listed, similar to previous months.
- **October 2012**: The pattern of listing dates without incidents continues.
- **November 2012**: Dates are noted, and the document mentions an update on 26/11/2012.
- **December 2012**: The timeline for the year concludes with daily entries.

- **January 2013 - May 2013**: The document lists dates without incidents for each month.
- **June 2013**: No specific incidents are recorded for this month.
- **July 2013**: The timeline continues in the same format.
- **August 2013**: Dates are listed, with no additional details.
- **September 2013**: The document includes a significant entry about an ASBO granted on 12/09/2013.

- **October 2013 - February 2014**: Monthly dates are provided without incident details.

- **March 2014**: A complaint email regarding repairs is sent on **02/03/2014.**
- **April 2014 - May 2014**: The document records emails sent about flat repairs and neighbour issues.
- **June 2014**: An email is sent on 10/06/2014 seeking help with ongoing issues.
- **July 2014**: The page notes an ASBO (Anti-Social Behaviour Order) was granted on 12/09/2014 and mentions a police officer by name, implying a legal issue. It also references the end of ASBO court order on 04/08/2020 and expresses concerns about being set up by the police and council.
- **August 2014**: No specific incidents or details are mentioned for this month.
- **September 2014**: The page details communication with Enfield Council regarding flat repairs and neighbour issues. It includes emails sent by the user's mother to the council, highlighting ongoing problems and requesting assistance.
- **October 2014**: The page continues to document the struggle with getting a response from the council

regarding the ASBO case and flat issues, with further emails sent to council officers.

- **November 2014**: No specific incidents or details are mentioned for this month.
- **December 2014**: No specific incidents or details are mentioned for this month.

- **January 2015**: No specific incidents or details are mentioned for this month.
- **February 2015**: No specific incidents or details are mentioned for this month.
- **March 2015**: The page records a complaint email sent to feedback@enfieldhomes.org about the condition of the user's flat and the lack of heating, as well as concerns about carbon monoxide poisoning due to faulty alarms.
- **April 2015**: The page shows continued attempts to contact the council officer, Dawn Allen, regarding the neighbour issues and flat repairs, with no response received.
- **May 2015**: Further emails are documented, expressing frustration over the lack of response from the council and the ongoing neighbour issues affecting the user's health.
- **June 2015**: The page includes an email sent to Dawn Allen, pleading for help with the neighbour issues and highlighting the impact on the user's health and well-being.

- **July 2015**: No incidents or notable entries are recorded for this month.
- **August 2015**: The web page does not list any incidents or specific details for this month.
- **September 2015**: There are no incidents or significant events mentioned for September 2015.
- **October 2015**: The page does not provide any incidents or noteworthy information for October 2015.
- **November 2015**: No incidents or particular details are provided for November 2015.
- **December 2015**: The web page does not mention any incidents or events for December 2015.

- **January 2016:** No incidents or notable entries are recorded for this month.
  - **01/01/2016**: The month begins without any notable incidents or entries recorded.

1. **Confidential Email**: An email marked as confidential and for protection was sent on **01 February 2016**.
2. **Recipients**: The email was addressed to Bola Quadri, George Benyure, and Craig Susan.
3. **Subject**: The subject concerned Debra Andrews and mentioned a mental health warrant.
4. **Urgent Matter**: The sender indicated that urgent information regarding Mr. Cordell needed to be considered, following the receipt of an email about a mental health warrant granted for him.

- **18/01/2016**: An email from PC James Flesher to Louise Brown discusses the support for Deborah, mentioning she is in better spirits and may receive help to move. There is also a discussion about a camera, with a suggestion to advise the owner to remove it, or for the authorities to do so if he refuses, ensuring the camera is returned to the owner.
- **26/01/2016**: Simon Cordell issues an authority letter granting his mother the right to speak, write, and email Enfield Council on his behalf, including receiving replies and correspondence.
- **27/01/2016**: An email from Simon Cordell's mother to Nicholas Foster at Enfield Council includes attached letters concerning Simon Cordell's Subject Access Request under the Data Protection Act 1998.

- **February 2016**: The page does not provide any specific incidents or details for this month.
- **March 2016**: There are no entries or incidents listed for March 2016.
- **April 2016**: The document does not mention any incidents or specific information for April 2016.

- **CASE 1 OF 10.**

	Numb	******** The PNC Case Files ******* **Part One of Two.** • **Here Are a Couple of Facts About the Claimants PNC Record That Are Included in Our N1 Claim Form: --**
	1)	**(1)** 1997 is supposed to be the first conviction but it is not. **(2)** There is **68** Arrests that are showing in the Acro Report. **(3)** The **2017** copy of the Acro Report includes **One** of the **Six** Court Orders Application which the police and Council pursued Our Client for and that **One** is filed as an ASBO Application. The Acro Report clearly shows the ASBO Application as filed as a CBO Court Order but was then latter modified in the latter made requests to ****** and this was clearly done due what our client was stating with others in his legal defence and that being that ASBO Application was latter modified to prevent the course of justice as to what claims the now claimant had exhibited and then afterwards was removed government officials to cover up the truths of their crimes at their own requests. **(4)** **6** cases that are agreed by the Court houses to which was supposed to have issued those offences as already fake. **(5)** Cases that are additional to the Six cases that are agreed to be fraudulent by the Court houses equal to: **00.** **(6)** Won Cases that were No Further Actioned by the Police or CPS & Court Houses equal to: **00.** **(7)** The Number of Cases that were classified as disposable data due to the Now Claimant being rehabilitated are: **00.** **(8)** Time spent on bail conditions for cases dropped or won equal to **00.** 1. **Court & Police Station Dates**: The individual was remanded on police bail on **March 15, 2018**, and was scheduled to appear in court again on **April 5, 2018.** 2. **Human Rights Violation Allegations**: The individual claims that their human rights were violated through cruel and malicious treatment by government officials, who allegedly participated in and allowed the continuation of this treatment despite the individual's innocence. The government

		officials are accused of creating multiple forged court applications to infringe upon the individual's legal rights and deprive them of their way of life. 3. **Conflict with Neighbours**: The Mathiyalagan family, who lived above the individual, is accused of mental assault leading to physical and mental harm. The individual and their mother had reported the family for illegal activities, which they claim the family tried to cover up with the council's help. 4. **ASBO (Anti-Social Behaviour Order)**: The police and Enfield Council are accused of forging an ASBO application to cover up corruption. The individual claims that this was done to prevent them from revealing the truth to the public. 5. **Possession Order**: A possession order was issued, which the individual claims had a domino effect caused by government officials covering up the forged ASBO and other forged court orders. The officials are accused of using the UK's mental health facilities illegally to tarnish the individual's reputation. 6. **Alleged Conspiracy**: The individual alleges that Enfield Council officials conspired with neighbours in a criminal plot against them to cover up past illegal activities, including the creation of the ASBO and subsequent attacks by neighbours based on rumours. 7. **Injunction Order**: The individual claims that Enfield Council forged an initial injunction order and then another one against them while complaints about the ASBO and other actions were ongoing. 8. **Government Misconduct**: The individual asserts that they continued to be assaulted due to the deliberate spite and/or refusal of government officials to act fairly and in accordance with the law.

Numb	****** The PNC Case Files ***** **Part Two of Two.** **Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims**
2)	• **In reference towards three.**

- **Case Number:** 19/0000/00/592734E
- **Dated Arrested:** 26/05/19.
- **Last Updated:** 28/05/19
- **Case Name**: BURGLARY IN OTHER THAN DWELLING WERE PERSON SUBJECTED TO VIOLENCE OR THREAT OF VIOLENCE
- **Arresting Officer**: LAW /PC/233651
- **Police Force**: Met
- **Remand:** Yes 1 Condition
- **NFA Date:**
    1) **Police Result:** "Accused WON."
    2) **Case End Date:** 16/07/20
    3) **Time Spent:** 1 year and one & Half Months
    4) **Bail Condition 1:** NOT TO CONTACT DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY
- **Offence Date(s):** 26/05/19

- **In reference towards four.**
    - **Case Number:** 18/01YD/01/8306E
    - **Dated Arrested:** 25/10/18.
    - **Last Updated:** 25/10/18
    - **Case Name**: ** ASSAULT OCCASIONING ACTUAL BODILY HARM Offence Date(s): 25/10/18
    - **Arresting Officer**: ** SABRI /PC/243525
    - **Police Force**: **Met
    - **Remand:** ** Yes 1
    - **NFA Date:**
        1) **Police Result:** "Accused WON."
        2) **Case End Date**: ** 06/02/20
        3) **Time Spent:** ** 1 year 3 months.
        4) **Bail Condition 1:** ** NOT TO CONTACT DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY
        5) **Bail Condition 2:** ** NOT TO ENTER THE BLOCK OF FLATS NUMBERED 105 BURNCROFT AVENUE EN3
    - **Offence Date(s):** ** 25/10/18

- **In reference towards five.**
    - **Case Number:** 18/01YD/01/4274X
    - **Dated Arrested:** 31/05/18.
    - **Last Updated:** 05/02/20
    - **Case Name**: HARASSMENT - BREACH OF CIVILINJUNCTION Offence Date(s): 30/05/18
    - **Arresting Officer**: STYLIANOU /PC/242454 /YE
    - **Police Force**: Met
    - **Remand:** Yes 1
    - **NFA Date:**
        1) **Police Result:** "Accused WON."
        2) **Case End Date**: 05/02/20
        3) **Time Spent:** 1 year 8 moths 5 days.

4) **Bail Condition 1:** NOT TO CONTACT DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY
5) **Bail Condition 2:** NOT TO ENTER THE BLOCK OF FLATS NUMBERED 105 BURNC ROFT AVENUE EN3

➢ **Offence Date(s):** ** 30/05/18 and 31/05/18

- **In reference towards six.**
  - ➢ **Case Number:** 18/01YD/01/1984H
  - ➢ **Dated Arrested:** 15/03/18.
  - ➢ **Last Updated:** 18/12/18
  - ➢ **Case Name**: AFFRAY
  - ➢ **Arresting Officer**: Met
  - ➢ **Police Force**: YES 1
  - ➢ **Remand:** **
  - ➢ **NFA Date:**
    1) **Police Result:** "Accused WON."
    2) **Case End Date**: 18/12/18
    3) **Time Spent:** eight moths 3 days.
    4) **Bail Condition 1:** NOT TO ATTEND 117 BURNCROFT AVE EN3
    5) **Bail Condition 2:** NOT TO CONTACT ANY OF ...
  - ➢ **Offence Date(s):** 15/03/18

On this day, **March 15, 2018**, the individual did not want to leave their flat due to forged applications allegedly made by Enfield Council against them, which they claim were made without their legal consent. Despite feeling unsafe, the individual had to go to the shop to get essentials. They took precautions to avoid any neighbours who had been attacking them or were believed to be involved in the forged applications with the council and police officers' help.

While returning from the shop, the individual encountered the Mathiyalagan family in the car park. Markandu Mathiyalagan began recording the individual on his mobile phone, which the individual perceived as a provocation. The individual continued to their building, only to find the Mathiyalagan family driving past, still apparently recording.

A confrontation ensued when Mr. Mathiyalagan shouted at the individual from across the road and then approached aggressively with a metal pole. The individual felt

		compelled to defend themselves, leading to an altercation that resulted in damage to a nearby car. The individual cites various laws and regulations, including the Race Relations Act 1976 (repealed), Race Relations Act 2000, and the Equality Act 2010, suggesting that these incidents may have been in violation of anti-discrimination laws. They also mention the use of a server (https://serverone.hopto.org/) for further details on discriminatory advertisements. This account highlights the individual's perspective on a series of events involving alleged legal violations, discrimination, and personal safety concerns. It is essential to seek legal counsel to address such complex and serious matters properly.
	3)	
	4)	
	5)	
	6)	
	7)	
	8)	
	9)	
	10)	
	11)	
	12)	
	13)	**"Eight Driving Ban Cases"** that were overturned from lost to won in different courthouses spread across London over a span of **Five Years.**
	14)	**"The Trailer Case"** exhibits how the police and CPS wrongfully took away **Two Years** of Our Client's life for the trailer case that they lost in the courts. This well-documented case is attached within this **pre-action letter of claim**. The case's outcome was an unassailable win for the claimant, but it took them too long to rightfully dispose of it.
	15)	**"The Gazebo Case"** is another case where the claimant demonstrated a strong and well-argued defence that the CPS could not overcome to obtain a guilty conviction against him. This wasted over **Two Years** of his life on conditions.
	16)	**08/06/2021** Police bail Conditions because of 117 Burncroft Avenue Enfield London Tenant and mother and the arresting police office

a) **Presented Below Are Instances of Forged Official Court Order Applications Orchestrated by Government Officials**: --

- **CASE 2 OF 10.**

****** The ASBO Case Files *****	
Numb	**Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims?**
1)	The case known as **"The ASBO Case,"** that we previously mentioned about, can be succinctly described as a **[Frivolous Lawsuit.]** We have furnished clear evidence with Our Client of both Criminal & Civil Misconduct within the case files attached to our **N1 Claim Form**, which is in pursuit of the injustices caused by the ASBO.

- **CASE 2 OF 3.**

****** The 1st Housing Possession Order Case Files *****	
Numb	**Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims?**
1)	**"The 1st Housing Possession Order"** was a forged lawsuit that the Government Officials brought to court against the Now Claimant who is Our Client and is easily considered when overviewed to have no legal merit & Should have Not have even been wrote down by Officials on the paper that it was, as the case showed no chance of being won, as it was obviously frauded from its start. **Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims: --** The first email to Dawn Allan in the document was sent on **19/03/2015**. It was regarding issues with neighbours and flat repairs. The sender expressed concern for their son's health due to the ongoing situation. The entry for **20/03/2015** in the document you are viewing details an email sent by Simon Cordell's mother to Dawn Allen, a council officer. The email expresses concern over

	ongoing issues with neighbours and requests assistance. It mentions previous attempts to address the problems through emails and phone calls that have gone unanswered. The email highlights the negative impact of the neighbour's actions on Simon's health and the lack of response from the council. The mother is seeking a resolution to the neighbour disputes and the repair issues in Simon's flat. The document indicates a sense of urgency and frustration due to the lack of support from the council.

- **CASE 3 OF 10.**

****** The 1st Injunction Order Case Files *****	
Numb	**Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims?**
1)	**"The 1st Injunction Order"** is a clone of the last **[Forged Official Court Order Applications]** as is the case next that the judges refused to hear in their court after a late notice. **Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims: --** **129 – days!** **Day 105** On the **10/02/2017** is when Lemmy who did work for the Enfield Council, sent my mother **12** made up allegations accusing myself of offences. Lemmy continued to send my mother the same **12** offences until the **1st Possession Order** dated **19/06/2017** The **21/03/2017** was the last email sent to my mother after the email sent on the **10/02/2017** at **16:01** giving the same **12** accused allegations. There is **129 Days** in between **10/02/2017** & **19/06/2017.**

- **CASE 4 OF 10.**

****** The 2nd Injunction Order Case Files *****	
Numb	**Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims?**
1)	**"The 2nd Injunction Order"** ========== **Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims: --** ==========

- **CASE 5 OF 10.**

****** The 2nd Possession Order Case Files *****	
Numb	**Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims?**
1)	**"The 2nd Possession Order"** ========== **Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims: --** ==========

b) Other Case Files That Are Pending Action Are as Follows: --

- **CASE 6 OF 10.**

**** The Housing Disrepair Case Of 109 Burncroft Avenue Case Files ***	
Numb	**Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims?**
1)	**"The Housing Disrepair Case Of 109 Burncroft Avenue"** ========== **Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims: --** ==========

- **CASE 7 OF 10.**

****** The Housing Transfer Of 109 Burncroft Avenue Case Files *****	
Numb	**Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims?**
1)	**"Housing Transfer Files"** ========== **Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims: --** ==========

c) Here Are the Conspiracy Factors Deployed by Government Officials: --

- **CASE 8 OF 10**

****** The Government Officials Sex Scandal Case Files *****	
Numb	**Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims?**
1)	**"The Government Officials Sex Scandal"** ========== **Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims: --** ==========

d) Here Is a Brief Description of The Additional Losses Incurred by The Current Claimants: --

(1) Public Health Services Such As: --

- **CASE 9 OF 10.**

****** The Dentist Case Files *****	
Numb	**Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims**
1)	**The Dentist** ========== **Below are examples extracted from our exhibits, providing evidence to support our claims: --** ==========

Memorandum and Articles of Association of Enfield Homes Limited Weblink

✓ https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/Memorandum-of-Articles-of-Association/

Based on the provided information, inside of the document for the Memorandum and Articles of Association of The Enfield Homes & At the Indexed **"Disqualification and Removal of Board Members"** in Section **18,** till 18.14, it states the following: --

1/ Section 18: Disqualification and Removal of Board Members

2/ 18.1. The disqualification and removal of board members policy of Enfield Homes Limited states various criteria for a person to be ineligible or removed from the Board in accordance with the provisions of the Act and relevant laws.

3/ 18.2. A person shall cease to be a board member if any provision of the Act prohibits them from being a company director or if they become disqualified from elected membership of a local authority.

4/ 18.3. Bankruptcy or entering into any arrangement or composition with creditors generally shall result in the disqualification and removal of a board member.

5/ **18.4.** If a person is admitted to a hospital for mental health treatment under the Mental Health Act 1983 (or the Mental Health (Scotland) Act 1960 in Scotland) or if a court order is issued for the detention or appointment of a person to handle their property or affairs due to a mental disorder, they shall be disqualified and subject to removal from the Board.

6/ **18.5.** Any board member who resigns by providing notice to the organization will cease to hold their position.

7/ **18.6.** A board member may be removed from office by a resolution passed by at least three-quarters of all other board members, in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Articles of Association.

8/ **18.7**. If a board member is absent without permission from board meetings for more than six consecutive months, the board may pass a resolution to vacate their office.

9/ **18.8**. A resolution to vacate the office of a board member may also be passed if they are absent without permission from at least 60% of the board meetings held in a 12-month period.

10/ **18.9.** A resident board member shall cease to hold their position if they cease to be a resident of the council member, except in cases of temporary cessation due to demolition or works carried out in their home.

11/ **18.10**. A resident board member may be disqualified and removed from the Board if, in the reasonable opinion of a majority of the board members, they commit a serious breach of obligations as a resident.

12/ **18.11**. Becoming a resident as a council board member, resulting in a breach of the limit stated in Article 13.6, shall lead to disqualification from the Board.

13/ **18.12**. Becoming a local authority person as a resident board member, leading to a breach of the limit stated in Article 13.7, shall result in disqualification from the Board.

14/ **18.13**. Becoming a resident or local authority person as an independent board member shall render them ineligible and subject to removal from the Board.

15/ **18.14**. The council member may remove a board member by a resolution passed in accordance with Article 14.

In the given scenario, it is evident that our named and accused as liable as listed below: --

Named and Listed

1/ *
2/ *
3/ *

- who works or worked for the company who we claim as liable and who are or were member of the Enfield Homes board and or over Boards alike have deliberately tarnished our client's life by using private and NHS mental health services without any fair reason and/or in a malicious way. These persons, being board members and/or figures of authority, clearly demonstrates their awareness of the regulations outlined in the disqualification and removal of board members policy, by being members of the company as well as the potential long-term effects of misusing mental health services. Section: **18.4.** *If a person is admitted to a hospital for mental health treatment!*

Their actions include facilitating attacks by neighbours, manipulating the truth to portray our client as a perpetrator while shielding the true perpetrators, and failing to provide fair support. Moreover, this misconduct has thwarted our client's efforts to establish a company that would collaborate with Enfield Council, the Metropolitan Police Force, and Medical Services, following the same protocols. This unethical behaviour effectively prevents our client from realising his vision for the company, which would have included its own board meetings.

Given the alarming nature of the situation, we strongly advise seeking legal counsel and involving relevant authorities to address the breaches in laws, principles, and ethical standards. These professionals will provide guidance on potential legal remedies, avenues for addressing the

misconduct, and safeguarding our client's rights. It is crucial to hold the responsible parties accountable while protecting our client's well-being and reputation.

a) Lease holds Reforms Act **1967** "**Right to Buy**".
b) Trustee Act **1925** as Amended Act **2000**
c) Fraudulent Breach of Trust **1980**
d) Fiduciary Duty Companies Act **2016** ("Companies Act") (formally **Section 132** of the Companies Act **1965**)

Below is our List of Reasons to why Mr Cordell felt led astray during the process of making an insurance claim against Government official bodies and private companies alike and why I am still writing down my evidence and documenting my exhibits to claim my rightful compensation!

Note: "Peoples Names who are Accused as liable are only Named in this Section."
Torture Tactics: a)

- I encountered several obstacles that significantly impacted my ability to pursue my legal insurance claim: --
    - **An Example:**
        - **a PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
            - **Use to identify the corporates, by name and date + wrongs!!!!**
        - **b Driving Bans:**
            - **A**
        - **c Gazebo Case:**
            - **A**
        - **d Asbo:**
            - **A**
        - **e Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
            - **A**
        - **f Created A forged Possession Order to:**
            - **A**
        - **g Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
            - **A**
        - **h Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
            - **A**
        - **i Created A forged Possession Order to:**
            - **A**
        - **j Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
            - **A**

The Now Claimant asked a Lemmy Nwabusi in **Exhibit ***** what was the first recording between themselves.
283/ If he was a Solicitor trained to educed legal documents that he had tried to order as served.
284/

A Kanichiwa **** is or was Lemmy Nwabusi line manager and they both stand for the Enfield Council alongside with others who worked or work in the Enfield Civic Centre.

The Enfield Council Hired an external Solicitor from ***** whose name was Ms Lumina **** from a Solicitor firm next to pizza hut in Enfield Town. "I have the recording of me speaking to them about the fraud she allowed to continue and played a fatal role in upkeeping & more".
Vicarious liability

Persistent Bullying: b)

- While presenting my evidence to the Enfield Council & Police they illegally used the mental health teams to Bully me alongside with themselves with their ultimate goal being for them all to try to aim to prevent me from claiming against them and throughout a large number of those attempts that they all worked together on they all together used illegal spook procedures to try aid in themselves stopping me claim against them. Sadly, soon after their interval into my life, for me and my loved ones it became apparent from the start of their involvement that the mental health teams were happy to be illegally used and commit or aid in crime being committed against me. I was constantly bullied by them all and, lead a stray from making my legal insurance claim. Their behaviour was unprofessional and created an intimidating atmosphere.
    - **An Example:**

k **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
- **A**

l **Driving Bans:**
- **A**

m **Gazebo Case:**
- **A**

n **Asbo:**
- **A**

o **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
- **A**

p **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- **A**

q **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- **A**

r **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- **A**

s **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

t **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

Constant Pressure and Hostility: A Detriment to My Well-Being: c)

- My interactions with the Enfield Council Police and Mental Health teams were marked by relentless and intentional pressure and hostility. Their tactics were deliberately designed to illegally prevent me from making my insurance claim, with the following intended outcomes:

1. **Sanity Erosion:**
   - Their unrelented pressure was meant to wear down my mental resilience. It felt as though they aimed to take away my sanity, leaving me vulnerable and disoriented.
     - **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
- A

b **Driving Bans:**
- A

c **Gazebo Case:**
- A

d **Asbo:**
- A

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
- A

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

2. **Reputation Defacement:**
   - Hostile actions were directed not only at discrediting my evidence but also at tarnishing my reputation, casting doubt on my credibility to undermine the validity of my claims.
     - **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
   - **A**

b **Driving Bans:**
   - **A**

c **Gazebo Case:**
   - **A**

d **Asbo:**
   - **A** ******

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
   - **A**

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
   - **A**

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
   - **A**

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
   - **A**

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
   - **A**

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
   - **A**

3. **Fear of Reprisals:**
   - The hostile environment instilled fear, deterring anyone who might support me or act rightly from doing so, paralyzing my efforts to seek justice, and hindering my focus during case presentations.
     - **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
   - **A**

b **Driving Bans:**
   - **A**

c **Gazebo Case:**
   - **A**

d **Asbo:**
   - **A**

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
   - **A**

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
   - **A**

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
   - **A**

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
   - **A**

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
   - **A**

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
   - **A**

Lack of Empathy and Support:

- Instead of aiding with my well-being, the professionals dealing with my ongoings exacerbated my stress and anxiety, to suite their own wrongful desires. I expected empathy and understanding from professionals but instead they demoralised me in their illegal planning to dispose of the truth that I was saying to themselves with their use of made-up mental health issues. fortunately, for me their approach lacked the dignity of Right and this caused their approach to make no sense and it showed no respect for law & compassion life itself.
    - **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
   - **A**

b **Driving Bans:**
   - **A**

c **Gazebo Case:**
   - **A**

d **Asbo:**
   - **A**

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
   - **A**

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
   - **A**

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
   - **A**

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
   - **A**

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
   - **A**

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A
- A

Manipulative Tactics:

a) **ASBO Order:** The order was used inappropriately used as an illegal tool against me.
b) **Dawn Allen:** [Details omitted for privacy]
c) **[Name Redacted]:** Fraudulent official documentation was created to prevent me from complaining about a prior fraudulent ASBO application that Enfield Council had created against me, which was being used to hold me illegally.
d) **The teams:** employed manipulative strategies to divert my attention away from the core issue. These tactics included:

i. **Delaying Tactics:** They intentionally prolonged discussions, meetings, and responses, causing unnecessary delays.
- **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
- A

b **Driving Bans:**
- A

c **Gazebo Case:**
- A

d **Asbo:**
- A

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
- A

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

ii. **Misdirection:** Instead of addressing my evidence directly, they shifted the conversation to unrelated matters.
- **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
- A

b **Driving Bans:**
- A

c **Gazebo Case:**
- A

d **Asbo:**
- A

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
- A

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

iii. **Gaslighting:** At times, my perception of events was being distorted, leading to confusion and self-doubt.

- **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
- A

b **Driving Bans:**
- A

c **Gazebo Case:**
- A

d **Asbo:**
- A

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
- A

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**

- A

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

Time-Wasting Practices:

- Rather than efficiently processing my evidence, the teams engaged in time-wasting practices:
  - **Repetitive Requests:** They repeatedly asked for the same information, even after I had provided it.
    - **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
- A

b **Driving Bans:**
- A

c **Gazebo Case:**
- A

d **Asbo:**
- A

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
- A

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

  - **Unnecessary Administrative Steps:** Excessive paperwork and bureaucratic procedures consumed valuable time.
    - **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**

- A

b **Driving Bans:**
- A

c **Gazebo Case:**
- A

d **Asbo:**
- A

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
- A

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

- **Lack of Urgency:** Urgent matters were treated with indifference, further delaying the resolution.
  - **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
- A

b **Driving Bans:**
- A

c **Gazebo Case:**
- A

d **Asbo:**
- A

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
- A

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

1) **Kay Ozborn:** Misled me by claiming that Enfield Council was insured for criminal cases like mine when it was not. This misdirection led me away from my claim.
2) **A`**

Ruff Notes
Whistle Blowing Officers

- **24/11/2016, 28/12/2017,** 29**/12/2017, 01/01/2018, 02/01/2018, 08/01/2018, 15/01/2018, 25/01/2018, 03/10/2018, 05/12/2018, 06/12/2018, 03/03/2019, 04/03/2019, 28/12/2019, ++++**

Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed
Stage 1
1x Recording.
Z0000007(m)
01m. Z0000007(m) Enfield Council reception looking for Steven x 2 Asbo 24/12/2014.
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
01m. Z0000007 Enfield Council reception
(a) looking for Steven x 2 Asbo 24_12_2014.docx
(b) 01m. Z0000007 Enfield Council reception
(c) looking for Steven x 2 Asbo 24_12_2014.htm
(d) 01m. Z0000007 Enfield Council reception
(e) looking for Steven x 2 Asbo 24_12_2014.mp3

➢ **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
Stage 1
1x Recording.
01m. 01 LEMMY 19_06_2017
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
19/06/2017
01m. 01 LEMMY 19_06_2017.docx
01m. 01 LEMMY 19_06_2017.htm
01m. 01 LEMMY 19_06_2017.mp3

Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed
Stage 1

1x Recording.
Lemmy
2nd Recording!
01m. Lemmy 2 – Enfield Council – 20-06-2017
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
01m. Lemmy 2 – Enfield Council – 20-06-2017.docx
01m. Lemmy 2 – Enfield Council – 20-06-2017.htm
01m. Lemmy 2 – Enfield Council – 20-06-2017.mp3

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  Lemmy
  3rd Recording!
  01m. LEMMY 3__21/06/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. Lemmy 3 - 21_06_2017.docx
  01m. Lemmy 3 - 21_06_2017.htm
  01m. Lemmy 3 - 21_06_2017.mp3

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. Z0000023 (m) Enfield civic centre 06/07/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. Z0000023 (m) Enfield civic centre
  06_07_2017 nnnn.mp3
  Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  Lemmy 4th Recording
  01m. LEMMY 4__26/07/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. LEMMY 4__26_07_2017.docx
  01m. LEMMY 4__26_07_2017.htm
  01m. LEMMY 4__26_07_2017.mp3

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. Z0000034 (m) 27/07/2017 Asbo Protect me four hundred emails Enfield Council
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. Z0000034 (m) 27/07/2017 Asbo
  Protect me four hundred emails Enfield Council.mp3
  Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed
  1x Recording.

01m. Lemmy 5_01/08/2017
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
01m. Lemmy 5_01_08_2017.docx
01m. Lemmy 5_01_08_2017.htm
01m. Lemmy 5_01_08_2017.mp3

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. 2. Lemmy Line Manager (m) 10/08/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. 2. Lemmy Line Manager (m) 10_08_2017.mp3
  Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  Of Enfield Council Coming into mine!
  01m. 01 01 Track 1 (2) 11/08/2017D
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. 01 01 Track 1 (2) 11/08/2017 D yep to fix.doc
  01m. 01 01 Track 1 (2) 11/08/2017 D yep to fix.htm
  01m. 01 01 Track 1 (2) 11/08/2017 D yep to fix.mp3

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. Lemmy House Inspection 16/08/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. Lemmy House Inspection 16/08/2017.WMA
  Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. Z0000007 Enfield Council reception 22/08/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. Z0000007 Enfield Council reception 22/08/2017.mp3
  Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. Z0000009 Enfield Council Customer services 23/08/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. Z0000009 Enfield Council Customer services 23/08/2017.mp3
  Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. Z0000023 Enfield civic centre 28/08/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,

01m. Z0000023 Enfield civic centre 28/08/2017 nnn.mp3
Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. Z0000034 Enfield Council 04/09/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. Z0000034 Enfield Council 04/09/2017.mp3
  Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. Enfield Council (2) Looking for Jeremy – 06/09/2017.
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. Enfield Council (2) Looking for Jeremy - 06_09_2017.WMA
  Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. 77. Geoffrey Man Enfield Council 22/11/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. 77. Jeffrey Man Enfield Council - 22_11_2017.mp3
  Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  Civic centre 28/12/2017
  Recording!
  01m. 49. Chief Heather littler 28/12/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. 49. Chief Heather littler 28/12/2017 NEEDS FIXING.docx
  01m. 49. Chief Heather littler 28/12/2017 NEEDS FIXING.htm
  01m. 49. Chief Heather littler 28/12/2017.mp3

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  Civic centre 28/12/2017
  01m. Diary civic centre 28/12/2017
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. Diary civic centre 28_12_2017.mp3
  Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.

Civic centre 28/12/2017
01m. civic centre 28/12/2017
Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
01m. civic centre 28/12/2017 - 20018.mp3
Update

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  Chief Heather littler!
  1st Recording
  01m. 1. First – Chief 29/12/2017D
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. 1. First - Cheif_29_12_2017D.mp3
  Update

Sixty-seven in the index

- **Simon Cordell's MP3'S Indexed**
  Stage 1
  1x Recording.
  01m. 3. 29/12/2017 Chief Heather littler! 2nd Recording call back after Christmas Chief Heather 1 of 3
  Page Number: Update Page Number 1,
  01m. 3. 29/12/2017 Chief Heather littler!
  2nd Recording call back after Christmas Chief Heather
  1 of 3.mp3
  Update

Fragmented Communication:

- Communication channels were disjointed, leading to confusion. Different team members provided conflicting advice and instructions, hindering my ability to navigate the process effectively.
  - **An Example:**
    - **a PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
      - **A**
    - **b Driving Bans:**
      - **A**
    - **c Gazebo Case:**
      - **A**
    - **d Asbo:**
      - **A**
    - **e Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
      - **A**
    - **f Created A forged Possession Order to:**
      - **A**
    - **g Created A forged Injunction Order to:**

- A

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

Inadequate Guidance on Legal Claims:

- Instead of assisting me in pursuing my legal insurance claim, the teams focused on other matters, leaving me without clear guidance on the necessary steps for filing a claim.
  - **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
- A

b **Driving Bans:**
- A

c **Gazebo Case:**
- A

d **Asbo:**
- A

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
- A

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

Emotional Toll:

- Dealing with the teams took a toll on my emotional well-being, leaving me feeling isolated, frustrated, and disheartened. The negative impact on my mental health further complicated the situation.
  - **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**

- A

b **Driving Bans:**
- A

c **Gazebo Case:**
- A

d **Asbo:**
- A

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
- louise.brown2@enfield.gov.uk **Anti-Social Behaviour Teams** Anti-Social Behaviour Officer Community Safety Unit Regeneration & Environment Department London Borough of Enfield

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- Lemmy.nwabuisi@enfield.gov.uk **Anti-Social Behaviour Teams** Started on my case **01/10/2016.**

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- kaunchita.maudhub@enfield.gov.uk **Anti-Social Behaviour Teams** Anti-Social Behaviour Team Leader in the Community Safety Unit / One of Lemmy Nwabusi Line Managers / employment since March 2016 / 03**/11/2016**: "We are aware of Simon Cordell as Steve/Pat obtained an ASBO against him for illegal raves etc." **09/01/2018, 31/05/2018, 21/12/2018, with** MPS Andrea Clemons **28/12/2018, 12/02/2019.**
-

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

Abuse of Power:

- The abuse of power by staff has imposed lifetime illegal restrictions against my freedom of movement and endangering my life by:
  - **An Example:**

a **PNC/ Criminal Record, ACRO Report:**
- A

b **Driving Bans:**
- A

c **Gazebo Case:**
- A

d **Asbo:**
- A

e **Created Hate Crime & encouraging Neighbours to Attack Me while Allowing it to be permitted:**
- A

f **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

g **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

h **Created A forged Injunction Order to:**
- A

i **Created A forged Possession Order to:**
- A

j **Used NHS & Private Doctors to cover up all that had been done wrong to me:**
- A

1) allowing others to attack me. This has been ongoing for 11 years.
2) Due to the continues conditions wrongly imposed by courts and the police inclusively of the Enfield Council.

Other important facts relevant to these proceedings:

	Dates of Government Officials checking the computer systems about the Now Claimant who is a Mr. Simon Paul Cordell		
Numb	**Date**	**Who**	**Moe Info**
	06/08/2013	CPS	2008 2009 **File Location * Name** Police Gazebo Case 2\1. The Police Gazebo Case Folder Main\ *** Name** 1. 06-08-2013--Bad Character Application Gazebo Case "NFA" Rehabilitation Periods for Criminal Convictions: Under the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974 (ROA), **EXAMPLES:**

				1. **A custodial sentence of more than 4 years (not for a schedule 18 offence):** The rehabilitation period is the end of the period of 7 years beginning with the day on which the sentence (including any licence period) is completed if aged 18 or over when convicted. 2. **<u>A custodial sentence of more than 1 year and up to, or consisting of, 4 years:</u>** The rehabilitation period is the end of the period of 4 years beginning with the day on which the sentence (including any licence period) is completed if aged 18 or over when convicted. 3. **<u>A custodial sentence of 1 year or less:</u>** The rehabilitation period is the end of the period of 12 months beginning with the day on which the sentence (including any licence period) is completed if aged 18 or over when convicted.
	23/09/2015	Neighbourhood watch Team. Dawn Alean	Checked NHS Records None in existence. In Council FOI OR FOR Now horrific-corruption-files.serveblog.net/Answers/
	11/11/2016	Sarah Flexure	Council Computers & Neighbours records George & Co.
	22/12/2016	Neighbourhood watch Team. Leader Geoffrey Oman	Neighbourhood watch Computers & Councils and Police.
	24/12/21016	Lemmy	Council

Ongoing Infringements:

- I have suffered illegal infringements and activities imposed against my legal rights as a person. As the years pass, I am denied justice and am forced to continue documenting these illegal actions due to the lack of proper rectifications.

I continued to work on my computers, building a legal defence against what has illegally been done to me, even aloe I have already provided to the relevant government officials many exhibits that should have: "started and concluded" my allegations with guilty convictions beforehand, against whom I have accused of wrong doings.
As I keep updating my legal defence against the illegal injustices that have been forced upon me, I continued to get tortured by members of my neighbours and without any support as a victim from my local government who initiated the problems of cause to which I continue to complain about and I continue to complain about due to the seriousness of the offences to which I am forced to continue to ascertain and therefore keep addressing.

Considering These Challenges:

- I urge Enfield Council to review its procedures and ensure that individuals seeking assistance receive fair treatment, empathy, and efficient support. No one should feel led astray when seeking justice and resolution.

Impact of Restrictions and Crimes:

- [Details needed]