Hello, what do we have here then?

On the 02nd of September 2024, I Mr. Simon Paul Cordell made a Telephone Call to the Highbury and Islington Courts due to what the Met police advised in there SMS-Text that they sent to my phone, in response to a complaint I made.

Web-Linked-Here:

The complaint was made due to police using my neighbours, in an illegal way once again, who they deliberately allow to hurt me no matter of the evidence that we exhibit to them. The police allow this as they are the ones who encouraged it to start and in hope for a negative response from me that would allow them to dispose of the truths that I am unravelling against them from the start.

This led to me demonstrating my exhibits to the police while on the phone to them and our conversations turned into an official Complaint made by me instead.

The PNC / ACRO Repot Key Points of Complaint are: --

1+ Mothers six Case that were found by her and the Magistrates Lordship Lane Court That has closed down as a court but is still in use for other purposes.

2+ Plea-Dates-or-Offence Dates

3+ About the PNC and ACRO Records. Due to the new intel, we received as exhibited as: "02-09-24 -Court-Highbury-PNC," which is a audio recording, it becomes apparent that the Asbo Court Order also becomes of a very large importance and for more than just one factor. *"Please note that these Factors are not organised in a matter of importance that is adequate too manage the Asbo's Own Case Files but more of our own chosen preference for these PNC / Acro Files subject."*

A. **Factor One:**

When we requested the Acro report 3 times in the years of 2018, 2020, 2021, the Asbo conditions were illegally placed in the Acro Report due to the application starting the organisation of illegal raves and Mr. Cordell never being arrested even aloe such an illegal offence exists. He went to court fighting the charge as they were wrote but never the less in the right belief that the application need to be refilled as a none illegal offence and re served to that effect or he would have to be arrested and found guilty serve time, if given time and no more time than 2 months total sentence and serve half time of that and then as he was sentenced a CBO Asbo could have been applied for as it

is a criminal Behaviour Order. The Courts were forcefully and out of their boundaries of the law as they refused to act in accordance to law and persisted to battle on against Mr. Codell and his legal defence. The judge clearly stated that she could not prove any illegal aspect had taken a place that Mr. S. Cordell was involved in after she allowed the trial to go ahead.

After what is easily called a set-up it is now easy to be 100% sure of what took place as we could see the note induced into the records by the Courts reviewing teams and Co.

The Asbo Notes were modified by the Courts each time we wrote to the Acro Department of Records in relation to us requesting Mr. S. Cordell Personal Data by way of a Subject Access Request to the Acro Department.

The Asbo Note held in the Acro Report were one of those changes.

They Courts staff gave mother the files and let her leave the court without blowing the Whistle about their own work colleagues in the department in the courts next to themselves, for ********

Dates that we were attending the courts for the Asbo were: -- ?

The Met CCC 999 call centres could see what the courts and Co had updated in the Acro report as the call centre uses this information.

The Met police themselves also could see this information as they used it to create news in their own website to which the newspapers copied from. Due to complaints made by us the police removed the webpage holding Mr. S. Cordell's fabricated personal Gov profile and illegally obtained Asbo charge. The police Appeal stated along the line of: *"If Anyone Sees Mr. Cordell Out Raving Please Call The Met Police"*

When Mr. S. Cordell got so, concerned about the police mis conduct he was being forced to endure in the Courts, that is to do with the Asbo and all over intervals with them he telephoned them and recorded the conversations.

In those conversations that we Exhibit the CBO are as aspect that Mr. S. Cordell complained about, as he knew that he was correct in law and for such an application to be placed upon him that states he is defending himself against the Organization of Illegal Raves, he must be mandatory arrested.

The Met CCC Operators listened to his complaint and could see the files and knew that he was right if he had not been arrested. Mr Cordell did received 1 or 2 calls back in relation to all the complaints he made back of Met Police Officers about his concerns but they also illegal brushed aside his human rights.

As Mr Cordell was recording his conversation of up most concern to themselves about these facts illegally detaining him by infringing his Human rights all Government officials deliberately refused to act fairly and in accordance with law and Regulations, as to the facts of what they were put in receipt of.

We caught them in the Act of Crime again when they illegally added the CBO Element to the Acro report and without the belief of us requesting a copy of the Acro report to know ourselves.

They thought we would not find what they had wrote in regard to the CBO as to what we complained about through the Asbo proceedings, **i.e.** as they inputted it in the Acro Report, and they had already misled us away from the right doors too which is their own doors for 6 or 9 case that are managed by themselves, before.

we complained about all with, or proof in hands and they also proved or evidence to be correct as well.

As time sadly passed we requested the copy of the Acro report and had to work even harder to understand the files and due to this the courts logged back into the Acro system and edited what they had done illegally all these years to not get caught.

Slowly the files made more sense to us and

Communicator

In the Telephone recordings they keep saying I have to wait until the court case is over before they will investigate, the Asbo or anything else.

The courts sent us out the door and the police and cps checked my records i.e. in the Gazebo case files and all others to be able to understand that I had not been in trouble since 2009 and that nine or six case of those were fabricated by way of fraud, that were first found and agreed to.

Since then, we have found out that we are able to prove that the plea dates are the same as the offence dates and even aloe the offence dates are time logged and the courts would have been closed due to the time of Mr. S. P. Cordell being supposedly charged.

The first reprimand warning that was a caution at Edmonton police station has dates made up before it.

to be wrong but won't remove, they Modified the document, but we requested it again a year later and noticed their changes to cover up what they had done and mimicked

Even with this all-in mind: -- Plea-Dates-or-Offence Dates

I am sure that it is not just the courts who can update the ACRO System. And this belief is based upon the facts that the courts do not open this service 24 hours around the clock and therefore would be overloaded with police cases.

Also, the case that don't make it to court, still have to be updated and for these reasons alongside with other I firmly believe that the CPS & Met Police and most probably probation services and youth offenders all have access to the Acro system, but maybe not all as just mentioned due to probation and youth services being something I thought of additionally.

Additional Important Facts are: -- the court house is

Postal address

For general post:

ACRO
PO Box 481
Fareham
Hampshire
PO14 9FS
United Kingdom

For Subject Access Request post:

ACRO (SAR)
PO Box 623
Fareham
Hampshire
PO14 9FS
United Kingdom

Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court:

- **Visit:** 51 Holloway Road, London, N7 8JA
- **Mail:** North London Admin Centre, P.O. Box 52693, London, N7 1AF[2].

B. Factor Two:

C. Factor Three:

4+ *****

5+ *****

Other Inter

I Spoke With My Mother About The Six Case I Found In Her Paperwork and she states to me that is was not six cases it was 9 that were found to be in error. Also, she states she had to attend Highbury and Islington Court a large amount of times due to the PNC files being sorted and there staff were well aware of the ongoing. She stated due to all the court records being held at Lordship Lane she also had to attend while she was dealing with this. The Highbury and Islington removed all the Court Records stored at Lordship Lane to Highbury and Islington afterwards for storage due to all of this.