

## DEFENCE STATEMENT

(Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996, section 5 & 6; Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 (Defence Disclosure Time Limits) Regulations 2011; Criminal Procedure Rules, rule 15.4)

### This Is My Twelve Statement

OFFICIAL – SENSITIVE [when complete]

MG11

## WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1930, s.5B

URN

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|

Statement of: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

Age if under 18: Over (if over 18 insert 'over 18')

Occupation: News Reporter!

This statement (Consisting of 1 of 5 Chapters and 4 Page(s) to Chapter 1) all signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

(Signed)



(On behalf of Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL)

(Dated) 21/01/2026

I, Mr Simon Paul Cordell, of 109 Burncroft Avenue PO BOX EN3 7JQ.

- **WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS**

1+ **Date of Incident: 02/08/2025**

2+ **Location**: Allegedly the Communal area of my flat and wrongly accused of a threat to a car that was not present with us!

- **THIS IS MY INDEX**

1+ **CHAPTER 1 of 5**: Urn Swapping In Criminal Cases and Police Custody Log Analysis!

2+ **CHAPTER 2 of 5**: The First Set Of Files Disclosed To Me & **CHAPTER 3 OF 5**: The Second Set Of Files Disclosed To Me.

3+ **CHAPTER 4 of 5**: Police Custody Log Analysis, CPS, NFA, And Unlawful Charge Swap

4+ **CHAPTER 5 of 5**: Institutional Isolation and Procedural Entrapment that we Illustrate Through the "Pit of Despair" Experiment!

- **DEFENCE CHAPTER 1 of 5**: Urn Swapping in Criminal Cases and Police Custody Log Analysis!

**CHAPTER**  
**1 of 4**  
**Documents**

**01. Urn Swapping In Criminal; Cases**

a) **Question**: If a solicitor attempts to use a URN that already has a Representation Order (RO) attached to it, will the Legal Aid Agency (LAA) system detect this, or will the system allow a second, unrelated case to be opened under the same URN?

b) **Short Answer**: **No**. The Legal Aid Agency (LAA) system "**Will Not Allow A URN To Be Used Twice.**"

If a solicitor tries to submit a new legal aid application using a URN that already has an RO attached to it, the LAA system "**Will Automatically Flag The Duplication**" and reject the application.

- **Why This Happens (Based On GOV.UK Guidance)**

c) According to the Legal Aid Agency:

- 1+ The URN is used by "**LAA, HMCTS, and CPS**" to link to a "**Single Criminal Case File**" on the Common Platform [GOV.UK](https://gov.uk).
- 2+ The URN is required so the LAA can "**Match The Legal Aid Application To The Correct HMCTS Record**" [GOV.UK](https://gov.uk) [GOV.UK](https://gov.uk)."
- 3+ Because the URN is tied to "**One Specific Case**," the system checks for:
  - 1+1**Existing Representation Orders**
  - 2+1**Existing Legal Aid Applications**
  - 3+1**Whether The URN Already Belongs To An Active Or Closed Case**

d) If a second firm tries to submit a legal aid application using the "**Same URN**," the system will detect that the URN is already linked to an existing case and RO.

e) **This Prevents:**

- 1+ **Duplicate Funding**
- 2+ **Two Firms Claiming On The Same Case**
- 3+ **Any Urn Being Used For A Different Case Than The One It Belongs To!**

f) This is exactly why the LAA emphasises that the URN must match the "**Correct HMCTS Record**" and why it reduces "mismatches" and errors [GOV.UK](https://gov.uk).

- **So, The Answer Is Yes, If A Solicitor Firm Tries To Use URN 01/YE/12679/25, For A Different Case, The System Will Flag It.**

a) This is also why **this** situation with "**URN 01/YE/12679/25 Being Illegally Swapped**" is so serious:

- 1+ **If the wrong URN was used**
- 2+ **And a Representation Order was granted**
- 3+ **Then another firm later tried to use the correct URN**
- 4+ **The system would block it**
- 5+ **Because the URN is already tied to a different case**

b) This is exactly the kind of procedural contradiction that "**Supports My Argument.**"

- **What The Evidence In My Case Actually Shows**

a) The documents, custody logs, Legal Aid responses, and court paperwork show the following sequence:

- 1+ The police used a URN that originally belonged to an alleged harassment case, but the case was NFA as I was booked into the police station and interviewed for the wrong reasons such as a "**Section 4a.**"
- 2+ After the NFA was processed the police reused that same No further actioned URN for a "**Different Allegation.**" even though the custody record shows the original arrest reason clearly.
- 3+ At the police station "**Tuckers Solicitors**" attend to represent me Solely for section4a as this is what the custody log wrong states I was arrested for and also detained for, when it was really harassment act 1997.
- 4+ **After Tuckers Solicitor Firm Left The Wood Green Police Station:** the case they attended for was No Further Actioned as was the real reason of harassment for my arrest.
- 5+ The Charge was illegally swapped by the Police custody skipper names as "**SGT SMITH And Was Forced Into A Cell And Of To Court.**"
- 6+ **I Was Not Legally Represented In The Courtroom:** by Tuckers Solicitor Firm on that day.
- 7+ **I Did Not Sign A New Legal Aid Application:** for the new allegation with any Legal Firm.
- 8+ **I contacted Tuckers Solicitor Firm:** asking for a meeting a legal representation advice. "**Tuckers Solicitor Firm**" agreed an online meeting with me. "**Tuckers Solicitor Firm**" denied charge swapping was illegal and I disputed this.
- 9+ As I recorded the minutes to the online meeting in a digital format to protect myself, the solicitor refused to continue acting and this recording and my other correspondence sent and received between us are now also my evidence of my truths.
- 10+ Due to Tuckers solicitor Firm Leaving me as unrepresented all the CPS Case files were disclosed

to me by Tuckers Solicitors firm.

11+ Contained in the police officers statements is the proof of the real reason for my arrest, Harassment 1997.

12+ Contained in the CSP's unused materials is a file name as: --

\* **Exhibit 1:**

\* **A Weblink:** [02. Original -CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Unused Material Disclosure 22-09-2025-.pdf](#)

13+ this file contains a copy of the police custody detention log record, and the reason given for arrest and detention are different from the real reason for arrest as they are recorded a "**Section 4A**" for both arrest and detention.

14+ **I Later Received Two Representation Orders:** that the Legal Aid Agency confirmed "**Do Not Exist**" in their system created by Tuckers Solicitor Firm that are fraudulent.

15+ A judge instructed me to apply for legal aid using the "**Swapped URN**," even though that URN belongs to the earlier NFA case.

16+ **Tuckers Did Not Submit The Receipt For The NFA Case:** which meant the URN remained open in the system.

17+ Because the URN was left open, any defence team using it for a different allegation would be applying for legal aid under the wrong case in knowing so and would therefore be committing a criminal offence of fraud while also wavering my legal right to my own legal defence, "**Under The Fraud Act 2006!**"

18+ **The Legal Aid Agency Confirmed That No Representation Order Had Ever Been Granted** in my name for that URN.

19+ The disclosure I later received confirmed the original arrest reason and the timeline exactly as I had said.

20+ **Everything Above Is Based On Documents:** not opinions.

- **What The Evidence Shows About Tuckers' Actions**

a) Again, this is not an accusation.

This is a description of what the "**Paperwork Shows.**"

b) **The Evidence Shows:**

1+ Tuckers told me legal aid was "**Continuing**" from the NFA case, even though the URN belonged to a different allegation, as recorded.

2+ They did not take me into the courtroom or present me before the judge at any hearings at all!

3+ They did not have a valid Representation Order for the new allegation.

4+ They unmorally denied the arrest reason shown in the custody record and their own attending solicitors release notes also demonstrated in my prepared statement prepared by themselves for me.

5+ They told me the police could "**Swap Charges,**" even though the custody log, law rules and regulations all contradict that statement of theirs.

6+ They refused to act after I recorded our meeting.

7+ They provided me with two Representation Orders that the Legal Aid Agency later confirmed were not real to cover up the truth of their own illegal involvement.

8+ They did not submit the receipt for the NFA case, leaving the URN open, so that the defence team would unknowingly be committing a crime while covering up their own crimes.

9+ Leaving the URN open meant any future legal aid application under that URN would not be flagged by the system as invalid as it would then not match the correct case.

10+ This creates a situation where the illegally swapped Police Urn Number is not the correct Urn to obtain Legal Aid.

c) These points come directly from the evidence I hold.

- **What The Evidence Shows About The Court's Actions**

a) Again, this is not a legal accusation.

This is a description of what the "**Documents And Events Show.**"

b) **The Evidence Shows:**

1+ The judge instructed me to apply for legal aid using the "**Swapped URN.**"

- 2+ That URN belonged to the earlier NFA case.
- 3+ The Legal Aid Agency confirmed no Representation Order existed under that URN.
- 4+ The court did not address the mismatch between the custody record and the charge being presented.
- 5+ I was left unrepresented because the solicitor withdrew after the recorded meeting.
- 6+ The court proceeded without resolving the URN conflict.
- 7+ The paperwork in disclosure confirms the original arrest reason and timeline exactly as I had stated.

c) Again, these are facts drawn from the documents.

- **What The Pattern In The Evidence Shows**

a) When all the documents are placed side-by-side, the evidence shows:

- 1+ A URN Swap
- 2+ Arrest And Charge Information That Does Not Match
- 3+ A Solicitor Denying What The Custody Record Says
- 4+ Refusal To Acknowledge The Harassment Allegation Despite Body-Worn Footage
- 5+ Refusal To Act After A Recorded Meeting
- 6+ Representation Orders That Legal Aid Confirmed Do Not Exist
- 7+ A Judge Insisting On Using The Wrong URN
- 8+ A Firm Not Submitting The Receipt For The NFA Case
- 9+ Being Left Unrepresented
- 10+ Disclosure Confirming The Original Arrest Reason
- 11+ A URN Left Open In A Way That Created Confusion And Risk

b) This is not a single mistake.

c) **This Is A Pattern Shown By The Evidence Itself**: as I will continue to prove in the next Four Chapters!

\* **Index:**

- 1+ **CHAPTER 1 of 5:** [12. URN Swapped1 - Urn Swapping In Criminal Cases and Police Custody Log Analysis.pdf](#)
- 2+ **CHAPTER 2 of 5:** [12. URN Swapped2 and 3- 1st and 2nd Files Disclosed To Me.pdf](#)
- 3+ **CHAPTER 4 of 5:** [12. URN Swapped4 - Police Custody Log Analysis CPS - NFA And Unlawful Charge Swap.pdf](#)
- 4+ **CHAPTER 5 of 5:** [12. URN Swapped5 - Institutional Isolation and Procedural Entrapment.pdf](#)
- 5+ **Video:** [12. The Horror of the Pit of Despair Isolation Experiment 1970 - Copy.mp4](#)

- **Continued in:** Chapter 2 & 3 of 5!

Kind Regards  
Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

(Signed)



(On behalf of Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL)

(Dated Signed) 21/01/2026

Signature witnessed by:

