

DEFENCE STATEMENT

(Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996, section 5 & 6; Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 (Defence Disclosure Time Limits) Regulations 2011; Criminal Procedure Rules, rule 15.4)

This Is My Twelve Statement

OFFICIAL – SENSITIVE [when complete]

MG11

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1930, s.5B

URN

--	--	--	--

Statement of: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

Age if under 18: Over (if over 18 insert 'over 18')

Occupation: News Reporter!

This statement (**Consisting of 1 of 5 Chapters and 8 Page(s) To Chapters 5 Are All Signed By Me**) and are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

(Signed)



(On behalf of Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL)

(Dated) 21/12/2025

I, Mr Simon Paul Cordell, of 109 Burncroft Avenue PO BOX EN3 7JQ.

- **WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS**

1+ **Date of Incident: 02/08/2025**

2+ **Location**: Allegedly the Communal area of my flat and wrongly accused of a threat to a car that was not present with us!

- **THIS IS MY INDEX**

1+ **CHAPTER 1 of 5**: Urn Swapping In Criminal Cases and Police Custody Log Analysis!

2+ **CHAPTER 2 of 5**: The First Set Of Files Disclosed To Me & **CHAPTER 3 OF 5**: 04. The Second Set Of Files Disclosed To Me.

3+ **CHAPTER 4 of 5**: Police Custody Log Analysis, CPS, NFA, And Unlawful Charge Swap

4+ **CHAPTER 5 of 5**: Institutional Isolation and Procedural Entrapment that we Illustrate Through the "Pit of Despair" Experiment!

- **DEFENCE CHAPTER 5 of 5**: Institutional Isolation and Procedural Entrapment that we Illustrate Through the "Pit of Despair" Experiment!

CHAPTER
5 of 4
Documents

01. Institutional Isolation and Procedural Entrapment that we Illustrate Through the “Pit of Despair”

Experiment!

- a) The documentary from a team named as “**The Dark Side of Science:**” has created a documentary that is titled as *The Horror of the Pit of Despair (1970)* which exposes an experiment designed to isolate subjects, remove autonomy, and observe the psychological impact of being trapped within a system with no escape. Although the film concerns unethical scientific experiments on animals, the underlying themes are directly relevant to the institutional behaviour I have experienced over many years.
- b) I refer to the documentary not to compare myself to the subjects of the experiment, but to illustrate how “**Systems With Unchecked Authority Can Create Conditions Of Isolation, Dependency, And Harm**” when they fail or are misused. The patterns shown in the documentary mirror the structural patterns present in my police record, my ACRO data, and the procedural errors of the **Polices Illegal use of a Criminal Offence by Swapping it for another Criminal Offence without legal process** and by the illegal **Reuse Of The Police No Further Actioned URN** 01/YE/12679/25, for case of Incident dated: **02/08/2025** and other cases of a similar nature that have been brought against me by Government Officials, with the use of members of the public.

* **Exhibit 37:**

* **A Weblink To The Video Is Provided Here For Your Review:**

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oro_sYO3shc,

* [12. The Horror of the Pit of Despair Isolation Experiment 1970 - Copy.mp4](#)

• **How the Monkeys Were Chosen**

- a) Researchers selected **infant rhesus monkeys** from their breeding colony.
- b) They were not chosen for behaviour as they were chosen simply because they were:
- 1+ Young
 - 2+ Healthy
 - 3+ And Available Within The Research Facility
- c) There was “**No Behavioral Screening.**”
- d) The only criteria were “**Age And Availability.**”

• **How The Ages Were Split**

- a) The experiment used “**Three Main Age Groups:**
- 1+ **Newborns (0–3 months)** :These were placed into isolation immediately after birth.
 - 2+ **Young infants (3–6 months)** :These had limited early social contact before isolation.
 - 3+ **Older infants (6–12 months)** : These had more social exposure before being placed into the device.
- b) The age differences mattered because:
- 1+ Younger Monkeys Deteriorated Faster
 - 2+ Older Monkeys Resisted Longer But Still Declined
- c) **This Is Important For my Defence Analogy:** the earlier the isolation, the deeper the long-term impact.

• **How They Were Placed Into Groups**

- a) The monkeys were divided into “**Three Isolation Conditions:**
- 1+ **Group A: Total Isolation (Pit of Despair)**
 - 1+1 Placed alone in a vertical steel chamber
 - 2+1 No contact, no visual cues, no movement
 - 3+1 Duration: up to 30 days, 3 months, or 6 months
 - 2+ **Group B: Partial Isolation**
 - 1+1 Could see out but could not touch or interact

2+1 Duration: weeks to months

3+ **Group C: Social Controls**

1+1 Normal rearing

2+1 Used as comparison group

- b) **This Is The Part That Mirrors my Defence Narrative**: different levels of restriction created different levels of harm.

• **What Actually Happened to the Groups**

- a) Here is the clean, factual breakdown:

1+ **Group A: Total Isolation**

1+1 Many Monkeys Stopped Moving

2+1 Some Refused To Eat Without Intervention

3+1 Several Developed Severe Withdrawal

4+1 A Number Never Recovered Normal Behaviour

5+1 Some Died Due To Refusal To Eat Or Extreme Stress

2+ **Group B: Partial Isolation**

1+1 Developed Depression-Like Symptoms

2+1 Rocking, Self-Clutching, Withdrawal

3+1 Some Recovered Partially When Reintroduced To Groups

3+ **Group C: Social Controls**

1+1 Normal behaviour

2+1 No deterioration

- b) **This Is The Key Point For this Defence Chapter**: the level of imposed restriction directly determined the level of harm.

• **How Many Died / How Many Never Ate**

- a) Academic summaries report:

1+1 A Portion Of The Total-Isolation Monkeys **Refused Food**

2+1 Some Required **Force-Feeding**

3+1 Some **Died** Due To Refusal To Eat Or Extreme Stress

4+1 Many Survivors Never Regained Normal Social Functioning

- b) **Exact Numbers Vary By Study, But The Pattern Is Consistent**: The more complete the isolation, the more irreversible the harm.

- c) This is the structural pattern I am referring to as demonstrated.

• **What Each Group Demonstrated**

a) **Total Isolation Group**

1+ Showed The Most Severe Deterioration

2+ Some Never Recovered

3+ Demonstrated The Long-Term Harm Of Complete Loss Of Autonomy

b) **Partial Isolation Group**

1+ Showed Moderate Harm

2+ Some Recovery Possible

3+ Demonstrated That Even Limited Restriction Causes Lasting Damage

• **Control Group**

- a) No Harm
 - b) Demonstrated That The Environment And Not The Subject Caused The Deterioration
 - 1+ This Is The Exact Point That As The Defence Team We Are Making In This Chapter: the system, not the individual, produces the harm.
- **The Polices Illegal use of a Criminal Offence by Swapping the offence for another Criminal Offence without legal process and with the Reuse Of The No Further Actioned URN 01/YE/12679/25 is an illegal Mechanism of Control and Isolation!**
 - a) The Criminal Offence swap and reuse of a URN in this case is not an isolated administrative error. When viewed alongside the ACRO and PNC data they hold against me in my name, as it all forms part of a long-term illegal pattern of isolation for me through a systematic process of abuse in which:
 - 1+ Incorrect And Fabricated Information Is Intentionally Developed In My Name And Recorded That Hods No Truthful Basis.
 - 2+ That Information Is Then Used To Justify Restrictive Bail Conditions For Months And Years At Any Time Trapping Me In An Illegal Abusive Process Even When My Defence Bundles Of Evidence And My Word All Throughout The Process Already Proves My Innocence!
 - 3+ The Restrictions Imposed Upon My Person Isolate Me Socially And Physically, While Deteriorating My Good Nature And Well -Being Unfairly Time And Time Again. The Cases Are Debility Rigged By Official Persons To Set Me Up For No Fair Reason Without Fair Law.
 - 4+ The Cases Collapses But Are Continued To Be Held Against Me, Until They Collapse Rather Than Disposed Of At The Earliest Opportunities.
 - 5+ The Incorrect Information Remains Recorded In My Records But Proves The Basis Of My Claims Of Deliberate Intent And Reckless Behaviour Set Out To Victimise Me And Avoid Disciplinary Protocols Once Reported And Evidenced!
 - 6+ The Inhuman Cycle Continues To Be Repeats By Official Persons Illegally!
 - b) **This Is The Same Structural Pattern Illustrated In The Documentary**: the subject is trapped inside a system that continually recycles the same harm.
 - **The Work-Out Code and ACRO Intel Confirm the Pattern**
 - * **Exhibit 38:**
 - * <Dir> [02. PNC-Claim](#)
 - * [01. Combined-2017-2020-2021-WorkOut-Code-4-PNC-27-08-23.pdf](#)
 - a) The Combined 2017–2020–2021 Workout File Shows:
 - 1+ Repeated Arrests For Lower-Level Allegations
 - 2+ Repeated Bail Conditions
 - 3+ Repeated NFA Outcomes
 - 4+ Repeated Procedural Errors
 - 5+ Repeated Failures To Correct The Record
 - 6+ Repeated Use Of Intel Markers That Should Not Exist
 - 7+ Repeated Isolation Through Legal Restrictions
 - b) The courts have already confirmed that certain matters “Must Not Appear On My ACRO Record,” yet they remain. This demonstrates that the system continues to treat me as guilty even when the courts say otherwise.
 - c) **The Criminal Charge Swap by use of a discontinued URN Fits Directly Into This Pattern:** it is another mechanism that traps me inside a process I should never have been subjected to.
 - **Bail Conditions as a Form of Institutional Isolation**
 - a) Across the ACRO and PNC data, the same pattern appears:
 - 1+ **Every Case I Won:** still imposed restrictive bail

- 2+ **Every NFA Case**: still isolated me
- 3+ **Every Collapsed Case**: still left a permanent mark
- 4+ **Every Allegation**: triggered the same cycle

- b) This is not accidental.
- c) This is not normal policing.
- d) **This Is A Systemic Pattern Of Isolation Through Process**: not through conviction.
- e) The Swapping of Criminal Offences without legal process and with the Reuse Of any No Further Actioned URN number such as **URN 01/YE/12679/25** is illegal and demonstrates a continued pattern of abuse of process.

* **Exhibit 39:**

- * <Dir> [03. R-2014-and-Onwards](#)
- * <Dir> [04. Extra-Police-And-Council-Cases](#)

* **Exhibit 40:**

- * [03. Three -Unlawful Actions Taken Against Me By Rebbeca O' Hare and Co.pdf](#)

- **Why the Documentary Helps Explain the Harm**

- a) The documentary illustrates how:
 - 1+ Isolation
 - 2+ Loss Of Autonomy
 - 3+ Lack Of Support
 - 4+ And Being Trapped In A System With No Escape Can Cause Long-Term Harm.
- b) These themes mirror the structural pattern in my case:
 - 1+ I have been repeatedly isolated through bail conditions
 - 2+ I have been repeatedly placed into processes that later collapse
 - 3+ I have been repeatedly judged by incorrect records
 - 4+ I have been repeatedly prevented from living normally
 - 5+ I have been repeatedly subjected to allegations that do not stand up in court
- c) The URN reuse and Criminal Offences swap is not a clerical error by the prosecuting teams as it is part of a **"Long-Term Institutional Pattern"** that has caused significant harm.

- **Conclusion: The Offence Swap & Reuse of the URN in these Court Proceedings Must Be Viewed in Context**

- a) When the URN swap is viewed in isolation, it appears to be a procedural mistake as to when it is viewed alongside:
 - 1+ The ACRO Combined File
 - 2+ The PNC Contradictions
 - 3+ The Work Out Code
 - 4+ The Fabricated ASBO
 - 5+ The Repeated NFA Outcomes
 - 6+ The Repeated Bail Restrictions
 - 7+ The Repeated Failures To Correct The Record
- b) Within Case Review it becomes clear that the URN Abuse and Offence swap are part of a **"Systemic Pattern Of Institutional Behaviour"** that has isolated me for most of my life.
- c) This context is essential for understanding why the URN swap is not merely an administrative error, but a continuation of a long-term pattern of procedural abuse.

- **Why the Final Outcome of the Experiment Matters to This Case**

- a) The documentary shows that the monkeys placed inside the “Pit of Despair” were not simply isolated, they were placed into a system where:
 - 1+ They Had No Control.
 - 2+ No Ability To Correct Their Situation.
 - 3+ No Access To Support.
 - 4+ And No Way To Escape The Conditions Imposed On Them.
- b) The final outcome of the experiment was devastating:
 - 1+ Many Of The Monkeys Developed Severe Psychological Deterioration.
 - 2+ Some Became Catatonic.
 - 3+ Others Stopped Eating Or Moving.
 - 4+ And Several Never Recovered Even After Being Removed From The Device.
- c) The experiment demonstrated that when a subject is placed into a system that “**Removes Autonomy, Blocks Escape,**” and “**Repeats The Same Harmful Conditions,**” the long-term outcome is “**Permanent Harm,**” not rehabilitation or fairness.
 - 1+ I Am Not Comparing Myself To The Animals In The Experiment.
I Am Illustrating The Structural Pattern:
 - 2+ **When A System Traps A Person In Repeated Cycles Of Isolation, Restriction, And Procedural Entrapment it is correct to state that The Outcome Is Harm, Not Justice.**
 - 3+ This Is The Pattern I Am Trying To Prevent From Happening To Me.
- **Why I Do Not Want This Pattern Applied To Me**
 - a) The experiment shows what happens when a subject is placed into a system where:
 - 1+ The Rules Cannot Be Challenged.
 - 2+ The Information Is Controlled By Others.
 - 3+ The Subject’s Attempts To Escape Are Ignored.
 - 4+ And The Same Harmful Conditions Are Repeated Again And Again.
 - * **Exhibit 41:**
 - * [11. Illegal Bail Restrictions Tag GPS.pdf](#)
 - b) **This Mirrors The Structural Pattern In My Case:**
 - 1+ Repeated Allegations.
 - 2+ Repeated Bail Restrictions.
 - 3+ Repeated NFA Outcomes.
 - 4+ Repeated Procedural Contradictions.
 - 5+ Repeated Failures To Correct The Record.
 - 6+ Repeated Use Of Incorrect Information.
 - 7+ Repeated Isolation Through Legal Processes.
 - c) Just as the monkeys were placed back into the same device even after showing signs of harm, I have been placed back into the same procedural cycle even after cases collapse, even after evidence proves my innocence, and even after the courts confirm that certain information should not appear on my record.
 - d) The documentary shows the “**Final Outcome**” of a system that refuses to correct itself: “**The Subject Is Not Rehabilitated and The Subject Is Broken.**”
 - e) I do not want this outcome for myself.
I do not want to be trapped inside a system that repeats the same harm, ignores the evidence, and refuses to correct its own errors.
 - f) This is why the URN swap matters.
 - g) This is why the ACRO contradictions matter.

- h) This is why the repeated bail restrictions matter.
- i) This is why the incorrect records matter.
- j) **They Are Not Isolated Events**: they are part of a **“Structural Pattern Of Institutional Isolation,”** and the documentary helps explain the long-term harm that such a pattern can cause.

- **Breaches Against My Human Rights and of the United Kingdom’s Laws!**

- a) **Closing Summary**: Breaches Against My Human Rights And Of The United Kingdom’s Laws!
 - 1+ The evidence set out across this defence statement demonstrates a consistent pattern of procedural failures, incorrect record-keeping, unlawful offence substitution, and the reuse of a discontinued URN. When these actions are viewed together, rather than in isolation, they reveal a systemic pattern of conduct that has repeatedly placed me at a disadvantage, restricted my liberty, and undermined my ability to defend myself fairly.
 - 2+ The repeated appearance of incorrect information on my ACRO and PNC records, the continuation of bail restrictions even after cases collapse, and the failure to correct known errors all show that I have been subjected to processes that do not comply with the standards required under UK laws. These actions have isolated me, misrepresented me, and exposed me to ongoing harm without lawful justification.
 - 3+ The unlawful swapping of criminal offences and the reuse of a No Further Actioned URN (**01/YE/12679/25**) are not administrative mistakes. They are breaches of due process that violate my right to a fair hearing, my right to accurate personal data, and my right not to be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with my liberty. **“These Rights Must Be Protected Under Both Domestic Law And The Human Rights Act 1998.”**
 - 4+ The pattern shown in the disclosed files, custody logs, ACRO data, and procedural history demonstrates that the harm I have experienced is not the result of a single error but of a repeated institutional failure to act lawfully, proportionately, and fairly. These breaches have had a profound impact on my life, my reputation, and my ability to live free from unjustified restrictions.
 - 5+ For these reasons, I respectfully submit that the Court must recognise the seriousness of these breaches and the long-term consequences they have caused. The unlawful offence swap, the URN misuse, and the repeated procedural contradictions cannot be allowed to stand. They represent clear breaches of my human rights and of the laws of the United Kingdom, and they undermine the integrity of the proceedings brought against me.

- * **Index:**

- 1+ **CHAPTER 1 of 5:** [12. URN Swapped1 - Urn Swapping In Criminal Cases and Police Custody Log Analysis.pdf](#)
- 2+ **CHAPTER 2 of 5:** [12. URN Swapped2 and 3- 1st and 2nd Files Disclosed To Me.pdf](#)
- 3+ **CHAPTER 3 & 4 of 5:** [12. URN Swapped4 - Police Custody Log Analysis CPS - NFA And Unlawful Charge Swap.pdf](#)
- 4+ **CHAPTER 5 of 5:** [12. URN Swapped5 - Institutional Isolation and Procedural Entrapment.pdf](#)
- 5+ **Video:** [12. The Horror of the Pit of Despair Isolation Experiment 1970 - Copy.mp4](#)

- **Continued in:** Chapter 5 of 5!

Kind Regards

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

(Signed)



(On behalf of Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL)

(Dated Signed) 21/01/2026

Signature witnessed by:

