**WITNESS STATEMENT**

**Criminal Procedure Rules, r27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9;**

**Magistrates' Courts Act 1930, s.5B**

**Statement of**: Mr Simon Paul Cordell.
**Age if under 18**: Over *(if over 18 inserts 'over 18') 'over 18'*

This statement (consisting of [11] page(s) all signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

**Dated**: **18/10/2026**



**(Signed) Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL)**

I, Mr Simon Paul Cordell, of 109 Burncroft Avenue PO BOX EN3 7JQ.

**WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS**

- **This Defence Witness Statement:** is part one of a three witness forms due to it addressing 3 Different Witness Prosecution statements of one person!
- **Date of Incident:** 02/08/2025
- **Location:** Allegedly the Communal area of my flat!
- **Background:** As detailed below!
  **Pages Within:** 11, due to this file containing copies of Rebecca O'Hares Statements for Ease of Comparison Reason.

1. **Neighbour Involvement**
   One of my neighbours, named as "Rebecca O'Hare," has provided multiple MG11 witness statements which I contend against.
   I alleged that Rebbecca O'Hare, or the developer of her statement, has fabricated and backdated the Rebbecca O'Hare statements within these court proceedings are inconsistent with my truthful statements.
   It is alleged Rebecca O'Hare's statements, "Misrepresent Enfield Councils Housing Tenancy Rights." They actively "Fabricate Tenancy Status" by falsely presenting herself as the lawful occupant of "115 Burncroft Avenue," and this is "Despite the Enfield Council Records That Would Show Otherwise!"
   I have held my own Enfield Council secure tenancy Since 2006 and she has infringed upon those legal rights, I have reported her and others for committing criminal offences against my person and property, but the Enfield Council and Metropolitan failed to investigate about what I report.
   This tenancy fraud if she is committing it gives her a false platform to act as the "Victim" in these and "Other Police and CPS Proceedings, Unrightly."

2. **Police as Cover ("Re-insurance")**
   We have probable cause to state that it has become apparent that she invoked police awareness by stating: ("Location Known to Police") in her witness statements in these proceedings to legitimize her unlawful occupation. The evidence subjects that Rebbecca O'Hare has used obscured tactics allowed her to avoid scrutiny of her tenancy of 115 Burncroft Avenues status which if right gave her cover to continue to make these contested allegations. Her, "Three Different Statements All" together "Contradict Themselves within her given word before the Court as well as provide different Dates and Locations accordingly to official records.

3. **As one of the instances**:

She most recently swapped the alleged car-threat incident from the bottom of the "Communal Corridor (First Statement)" to the "Staircase itself (Second Statement,)" as to her different versions these provide scrutiny about the same event and of the same person reflecting those moments in time. Rebeca O'Hare altered timeline in her Provided statements, introduces what seems to be a muddle up of three different Criminal allegations cases and one of those cases produces exhibits a male Changning her front door and frame to council stock. The date she first provided for this incident was 00/01/2025. This is the correct date of when the Enfield Councils Contractor was changing the front door and not the Answer she re advised in her third official Statement. Her recollection of incidents changed from: "January → December → February," introducing confusion and added even more backdating of her own facts.

4. **I Alleged That Rebbecca O 'Hare Actions Demonstrate:**
That She Had Never Read Her Own First MG11 Police Statement, Which Was Left Unsigned by Both Her and The Officer, Breaching MG11 Protocol and Undermining Its Authenticity." Rebbecca O 'Hare Has Also: added "Another Vexatious Fabricated Allegation to Deliberately Cause Me Annoyance, Loss and Wrongful Sufferings That Amount to More Than Just Breaches of the Criminal Acts of Harassment, Act 1997 And Stalking Act 1998." The Newest example of this is a fake historic allegation from 2021 that is based on no evidence and can only be found in her third statement, "Illegally Expanding the Scope Beyond the Live Swapped Criminal Police and CPS Charge" and is "Reckless of Them All Involved to Do So, In A Criminal Court Case as It Becomes a Miscarriage of Justice" due to the "Infringements of My Human and Legal Rights."

5. **I Also Alleged That the Police, CPS and Prosecuting Teams**:
All "Allowed These Contradictions to Stand" by "Accepting Her Third Statement And Placing It In The Case-Ratio Folder Into An Already Sealed And Disclosed Document" after the 01/09/2025 and this meant that I would be "Given The Prosecuting Teams Folder And Files Just Three Days Before Trial By Tuckers Solicitor Firm" and I would not even notice the additional third statement until after the postponement of the trial, in fact it was over a week later! "They All Placed the Third Statement into A Sealed Document" and "Without Even a Disclosure Warning." despite the police having already marked "No Further Action." For the "Harassment Act 1997," allegation. This has "Enabled Irrelevant as Well as Fabricated Harassment Allegations to Be Smuggled into The Substituted Charge" of "Threats to Cause Criminal Damage." Dated the 02/08/2025 by the prosecuting team unmorally. By permitting backdated and contradictory statements, the police disclosure officer and CPS case handler engaged in "False Representation (Fraud Act 2006, S.2), Failure to Disclose True Chronology (S.3)," and "Abuse of Position (S.4)." This conduct directly undermines the integrity of the Case Ratio File Archive and "Infringes My Legal Rights and One Most Importantly of The Right to A Fair and Speedy Trial."

6. **As The Defendant I State That Rebecca O'Hare's Holds a Motive to Do What She Is as I Accuse Her of Being a Copycat Killer Wana Be Due to Her Behavior Towards Me:**
From my defence file and diary notes, Rebecca has repeatedly "Mimicked Violent Behavior" towards me and my family as we'll as friends and guests since she moved into the Burncroft Avenue estate, in or around the year of 2018. This "Copycat Murderer Want To Be " element shows "Rebecca O'Hare" is now acting independently while "Replicating the Intimidation and Violent Tactics" of the original adults who targeted me first and is easily proved by officially sent and received emails to the police and Enfield Council that my mother's sent at my request and on my behalf, as well as my diary of events that I fulfilled at the request of the Enfield Council, both files that prove "Rebecca O'Hare continues with Copycat Killer Behavior," these files are web-linked below:
   - **I Exhibit My Mother Emails**: as the File Named: **"06. 06-03-2019 Emails Attachments"** and I have given this Exhibit to my Solicitor firm acting on my Behalf!
     A. The emails I provided demonstrate my mother acting on my behalf and explain the start of my Victimisation by her before her passing. They are the official documents and show the refusal of the government officials to apprehend Rebecca O'Hare & the other persons accused as the Copycat Killer wannabes.

B. "Her Lack of Arrests Demonstrates the Governments Initial illegal Involvements and The Reason They Allow Her to Continue Assaulting Me, Such As the PNC Files and Asbo Files."
C. Rebecca O'Hare & the other persons accused all initiated clear intent to escalate hostility against me by adopting the same illegal while threatening tactics and actions, despite herself having no lawful tenancy rights to 115 Burncroft Avenue EN3 7JQ.

- **Disclosed to my Defence Team Diary Extracts:** That Create a Shorter Version of The Diary to Demonstrate the True Ongoings: **01. 2014-Extracts-from-Diary**

7. **Banging on My Kitchen Homes Walls & Slamming her Own Doors, while Squeaking Floorboards to have a Deliberate while Reckless Negative Effect on My Way of Life:**
"Rebecca O'Hare the Copycat Killer Wannabe," has continually engaged in repeated "Banging on Walls and Doors," while also deliberately "Squeaking Floorboards" directed at my person and at my visitors (Friends and Family) who are willing to attend court and give evidence against her to this effect. These illegal and unmoral actions of "Rebecca O'Hare & Co," are a deliberate tactic to create a hostile living environment, interfering with "My Private and Family Life" as well as "Quiet Enjoyment" of my secure tenancy causing a breach of (Clause 3.5 of the Enfield Tenancy Agreement). Her intent also amounts to harassment under the "Protection from Harassment Act 1997," and this is even though the police have refused to arrest her no matter of the amount of complaints we make and the evidence we support in them and even in this "Case1," dated 02/08/2025. "I Have Been Made the Perpetrator and she was swapped to be the Victim When It Is Really the Other Way Around as The Evidence Proves Beyond Reasonable Doubt." The CPS have already marked the harassment case that the police cautioned through my closed front door for as finished. "I Was Wrongly Accused of Committing Harassment Against Her and CPPS Issued" a "No Further Action." Towards the Case!
   A. **Targeting Social Circles:**
   By "Rebecca O'Hare" extending the banging and intimidation to my friends and family also, she widened the scope of harassment beyond her victimising just me personally, as she also victimised other persons who are willing to attend court against her to give evidence at the Courts Request. This shows her intent is not just too inconvenience me, but to "Isolate me Socially" and/ or "Murder Me," as she has no observation of us as "She Tortures Me While Were Alone Most of The Times, But I Record It and Document It All Down, It's Taken Me Years" and due to this, she makes my home environment toxic for me, and anyone present with me.
   B. **The Council & Police Allowances of Themselves and "Rebecca O'Hare & Co,"**
   The Exhibited Defence Files: make it clear that the Enfield Council and Met Police were aware of these behaviors from "Rebecca O'Hare & Co," & "Themselves acting as Government Officials," but "None of Them Ever Wanted to Get Caught Committing the Crimes That They Have Against Me, Leaving Me Fighting Over 80 Cases Just Like This One but Ones That I Have Recently One."
   Instead of acting against tenancy fraud and criminal conduct committed by "*Rebecca O'Hare & the other persons accused* and others," the "Involved Government Officials Continued to Encourage and Tolerate the Very Behaviour We Complained About, Including Misconduct by Their Staff." I evidenced this in my mother's emails already exhibited. "Since Then," The "Government Officials Involved Have Refused to Protect Us." Case 1 (dated 02/08/2025) proves once again that they are intent on setting me up to cover up the truth.
   C. **The Evidence Shows**:
      1) Government Officials accepted Rebecca O'Hare's statements as disclosed materials, despite her backdating them and her not signing them.
      2) They allowed her and her associates' evil conduct we complained about to become a crime spree against me and my family and one that has continued for years without arrests or accountability.
      3) These actions breached the "**Protection from Harassment Act**," causing harm to me, my friends, and my family.

   This allowed and enabled "**Rebecca O'Hare & Co, Copycat Intimidation Tactics to Be Treated as Credible Evidence, Despite Its Unlawful and Fabricated Basis.**"

8. **The Defence Position:**
Rebecca O'Hare's MO includes:
**Copycat Intimidation:** (mimicking violent threats and Behaviour).
**Physical Harassment:** (banging on walls/doors, as well as floors at me and my visitors).
**Social Disruption:** (targeting friends and family to isolate me).
**Reliance On Police/Council Tolerance:** to shield her unlawful inhabitants of 115 Burncroft Avenue Enfield EN3 7JQ and to give unfair weight to her fabricated statements.
This pattern shows her conduct is not accidental but a deliberate strategy to undermine my secure tenancy, intimidate me, physically and mentally and all while misleading the court.
**Exhibit – Outside Video Evidence (06/10/2025)**
**Date of Recording:** 06 October 2025
**Location:** Road entrance and car park of Housing Estate Burncroft Avenue Enfield EN3 7JQ.
**Subject:** Route of Vehicle driven by "**Rebecca O'Hare**" entering the estate and parking as well as outside of the block of flats.
**Recorded By:** Independent third party (friend), acting on Simon's behalf.

9. **Reason for Third-Party Recording:**
   - **A.** Simon was unable to attend the location himself due to "Wrongly Imposed GPS Tag Bail Conditions," which prohibits him from entering his own housing estate.
   - **B.** To preserve evidence without breaching bail so, a friend recorded the video as a favour.
   - **C.** **Narrative Significance:** This video demonstrates the "Physical Movements of Rebecca O'Hare" on 02/08/2025, corroborating Simon's account of events. It also evidences the "Impact of Bail Restrictions: Police and the Court prevented me from gathering my own material evidence, forcing my reliance on third-party assistance. The exhibit highlights how bail conditions obstructed Simon's ability to prepare his defence, amounting to a "Procedural Disadvantage," and all while demonstrating the true layout of events so "Rebecca O'Hare's" I can be able to prove her statements of claims are, "fabricated statements!"

- **Exhibit 02: File References:**
- **Dated**: 06-10-2025:
  1) **VID-20251004-WA0000.mp4"**
  2) **server2.everyoneloginto.me/Durrants/VID-20251004-WA0000.mp4"**
  3) **https://server2.everyoneloginto.me/Durants/VID-20251004-WA0000.mp4"**

10. **This is a Copy of Rebecca O'Hare's First Statement for ease of Use of Comparison:**
Attached here is a copy of "Rebecca O'Hare's First MG11 Statement," which forms part of the CPS disclosure. This statement is relied upon by the prosecution but contains contradictions in dates, places, and her version of events. These contradictions show even more impossibilities when compared against her later statements and for "Clarification" of what statement her "Third Statement."

| **THIS IS A COPY OF REBECCA O 'HARES FIRST STATEMENT** | |
|---|---|
| **01.** | 99/12 RESTRICTED (when complete)<br>**WITNESS STATEMENT**<br>**Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B**<br>URN:<br>Statement of: Rebecca O' hare<br>Age if under 18: Over *(if over 18 inserts 'over 18)* Occupation:<br>This statement (consisting of page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true. |

Witness Signature:..... Date: **02/08/2025**

This statement relates to my neighbour at 109 Burncroft avenue, EN3 7JQ I am the above-named individual and reside at location known to police.
The incident I am about to describe occurred on Burncroft avenue, the address is a block of flats and there are 6 flats within the block and 2 flats per floor.

**In January my neighbour Simon (109) was banging on my door shouting that I was making noise,** I'll punch you up, I'll slap you up and your daughter. He also said he would do the same thing to my children's dad. I took a video of this and sent this to the police.
**In January I was getting a new door fitted, I've taken my daughter to school. I've come home; the door was taken off the hinges by the contractor.** Simon has come upstairs, and I was in the living room, and I could hear him, Simon was asking the contractor if the guy that lives opposite from me (113) was home. I've gone to the door, and he has started to accuse me of banging on the floor and keeping him awake at night. I've explained that I don't live above him then he has then shouting saying that he would hit me, hit my eldest daughter and drag my unborn baby out my stomach. I kept telling him to move from the door, he then went to say he's got videos of me on a website about being corrupt. As I've called the police on that occasion, he has said call the fucking police I don't care.

**On Saturday 2nd August 2025 I was driving into the estate where my block of flats is, as I'm driving in,**
I have seen my neighbour who I only know as Simon, he resides at 109 Burncroft avenue.
Whenever I come into the estate the first place, I look is the bottom of my block, to see if he is outside. If he is I know that he would try and intimidate me, shout at me or something is going to happen.
As I have driven in and drove around the bend, I could see him from the side staring at my car. I've driven into the small car park on the left and parked my car. I've got out my car to see if he was still there or not, once I've seen he is not there I've walked my two kids upstairs. I've had to go back downstairs to grab my children's nappy bag I told my eldest daughter if the baby wakes up to call my phone. I've locked the door and taken the key with me as I'm walking down the stairs I hear another door, Simon has got to the bottom of the stairs before me, he was saying stuff but facing away from
Witness Signature:.....

Signature Witnessed by Signature: .....







**Continuation of Statement of:**
me. So, I wasn't paying any attention, and he has turned around and been like 'you hear me', not in response to him I was like what? And then he has said, 'I will blow up your car; I will blow it to the other side of the street.' Then he was like if you come and ask me, I will tell you I didn't do it.
I've closed the communal door to put space between us, I've walked towards my car to get the nappy bag he has opened the door and was shouting stuff at me as I'm walking towards my car, I wasn't paying attention to what he was shouting at me I was trying to get to my car as quick as possible. I've got to my car and got what I needed and looked if he was still by the door, he wasn't by the door, so I've started walking back home, once I got into my flat. I called the police.
Because of his behaviour I am worried to leave the house with my children. I have been getting other people to drop things off for me. I try my best to stay as far away from him as possible but every time I see him, I know that something would happen, there has been incidents **when I am at**

**the shop, he** would stare at me but would not say anything, trying to intimidate me. I feel unsafe in my own home and fear for me and my children because of his threats, every time I make a complaint a feel that it is put down to his mental health. I am concerned that only if he touches me or my children that something would be done.
Witness Signature:.....
Signature Witnessed by Signature: .....
Page 2 of 2




**FORENSIC BREAKDOWN: WHY REBECCA'S TIMELINE IS IMPOSSIBLE**

| **MY SEQUENCE OF EVENTS (STEP-BY-STEP)** | |
|---|---|
| **01.** | **1) Initial Exit for Delivery**<br>• I exited my home to meet the delivery driver.<br>• I handed him a number (e.g., 45 or 20) then collected the food and began walking back toward my front door.<br>**2) As Exhibited in My Defence Statement:**<br>07. Seven -Key-Screenshot– Just-Eat-Timining-and-115-Rebbecca -Statement!.pdf |
| **02.** | **1) Realization and Immediate Return**<br>• Before reaching my front door, I realised my bag was short of Food that I had paid for.<br>• I hurried back to the driver before he drove away.<br>**2) As Exhibited in My Defence Statement:**<br>One -Events on the Date and Leading Up to The Date!.pdf |
| **03.** | **1) Driver's Delay**<br>• The driver got out of his vehicle again.<br>• He attempted to phone his boss but couldn't get through.<br>• This added several minutes to the interaction, I would say about 10 minutes, but this error determined that he would have to later return with the missing food!<br>**2) As Exhibited in My Defence Statement:**<br>07. Seven -Key-Screenshot– Just-Eat-Timining-and-115-Rebbecca -Statement!.pdf 2nd time |
| **04.** | **1) Witnesses Present**<br>• During this time, my next-door neighbours was outside and witnessed the exchange.<br>• I was visible and engaged in conversation, my location and activities were public and legal.<br>**2) As Exhibited in My Defence Statement:**<br>Statement of Witness Section 9 Criminal Justice Act 1967 Saheed Olowu.pdf |
| **05.** | **1) Rebecca's Claimed Entry**<br>• She claims she drove into the rear car park and brought her children upstairs, when I was not present, for the record I do not dispute this, as I never see her after she drove past me in a car.<br>• I see her drive past me and into the car park while I was still outside, I remember this because I have never seen her driving a car before. |

| | |
|---|---|
| | • Therefore, she had not yet entered the block or brought the children up at this point of time.<br>**2) As Exhibited in My Defence Statement:**<br>10. Rebecca_O_hare_pdf.pdf |
| **06.** | **1) I Continued My Presence in an Orderly Manner Outside!**<br>• As I remained outside for approximately 10 minutes resolving the delivery issue.<br>• Rebecca must have remained hidden in the car park during this time; there's no evidence she entered the building.<br>**2) As Exhibited in My Defence Statement:**<br>10. Rebecca_O_hare_pdf.pdf |
| **07.** | **1) Second Delivery Agreement**<br>• I and the driver agreed he would return with the rest of the food, as the "Just Eat Exhibits Prove Below!"<br>• I then returned inside to eat the partial delivery (chicken korma).<br>• I only left the flat once again to collect the second half of the delivered food as the Exhibited telephone log proves and this was "20 minutes later."<br>**2) As Exhibited in My Defence Statement:**<br>Seven -Key-Screenshot– Just-Eat-Timining-and-115-Rebbecca -Statement!.pdf 3rd time |
| **08.** | **1) Logical Contradiction in Rebecca's Statement**<br>• She claims she brought the children upstairs and "Came Down to Retrieve Nappies," as quoted in her First Statement:<br>*"I've got out my car to see if he was still there or not,* ***once I've seen he is not there I've walked my two kids upstairs***.<br>**I've had to go back downstairs to grab my children's nappy bag** *"I told my eldest daughter if the baby wakes up to call my phone. I've locked the door and taken the key with me as I'm walking down the stairs I hear another door, Simon has got to the bottom of the stairs before me, he was saying stuff but facing away from me*<br>**So, I wasn't paying any attention,** *and he has turned around and been like '****you hear me****',"*<br>**2) Rebecca O'Hare:** also claims she saw my "Back" in the corridor as she descended down the stairs and this would be impossible due to logic as I would have had to be coming back into the building for her to see my back, but I had already gone into the flat and had to wait an extra 20 minutes for the rest of my food to be re delivered.<br>**3) But Based Upon My True & Logical Timeline**:<br>• I was outside during her arrival.<br>• I only returned inside after the delivery issue was resolved as missing and not at any time when "Rebecca O'Hare" would not have had the children with her like she states in her official MG11 statement, or I would have seen her.<br>• It is obvious that I had no reason to re-enter the corridor once I was back inside my home because I had no one else to meet, and I don't walk backwards. I was waiting for the second part of my delivery, and during that time, I was logically eating the first portion of food, the one I had already waited for and paid for. Had I not done so, it would have gone cold and spoiled. There was no justification for me to leave my home again, and any suggestion otherwise ignores both common sense and the documented sequence of my Exhibited true full version of events.<br>**A.** For "**Rebecca O'Hare"** to have been able to see me in the corridor, as she states in her first statement I would've had to descend back into the corridor once the delivery driver |

had left to get the second part of the order for no reason, as she states she went in and noticed the nappy bag was missing and went straight back out to get it and left her child on her own and this would equal to a timeline when I was no longer there. "This Is Proven by "Rebecca O'Hare" First Official Version of Events Contained in Her First Statement and Also in The Second Telephone Call from The Driver to Me and for Me to Go Back Out and Collect the Missing Food as Exhibited in the Just Eat Records."

4) **As Exhibited in My Defence Statement:**

**A.** Unless "Rebecca O'Hare" waited upstairs for 20–25 minutes almost half an hour before realising the nappies were missing "Which She Does Not Claim," her version is "Impossible," as it is not Chronological and true.

* Rebecca_O_hare_pdf.pdf
* Seven -Key-Screenshot– Just-Eat-Timining-and-115-Rebbecca -Statement!.pdf 4th time

**B.** **The Defences Conclusion:** is that Her Account Is Not Plausible as it is Not Logically as it is technically Physically Possible! As Rebecca O'Hare Statements: rely on a compressed and contradictory timeline. "My Actions Were Visible, Witnessed, And Consistent, By My Own and Other Persons Versions of Events to Be Legally Within the Constraints of the Law" and this is why the police refused to take their statements at the alleged scene and all of whom all contend against her illogical version of events and some of whom I recovered and are now attending court to give oral evidence.

5) **Her version requires**:

- Me to be in two places at once.
- For her too have entered the block while I was still outside (she admits didn't) happen.
- A spontaneous corridor encounter that "Couldn't" have occurred based on my actual movements, now explained even further and a fabricated claim that has now caused me to also have to prove that I was not even fairly interviewed for this alleged incident "Nor Was I Arrested for The Charge Brought Before the Court: Threats to Cause Criminal Damage 1971" and "In Knowing That Neither Did I Commit Such Crimes Or Offences."
- These factual observations undermine the credibility of her account and supports my assertion that "Her Version of Events and The CPS Rules of Engagement at Court Is Not Just Flawed, They Are Both Structurally Impossible."

6) **As Exhibited in My Defence Statement:**

Three -Unlawful Actions Taken Against Me by Rebbecca O' Hare and Co.pdf

**FORENSIC BREAKDOWN: WHY REBECCA'S TIMELINE IS IMPOSSIBLE**

**GENERAL OBSERVATIONS**

**1.**

- **Unsigned Statement**: Rebbecca O'Hare.
- The MG11C form explicitly states **"Witness Signature,"** with no signature present. This renders the statement inadmissible unless verified in court.
- I am entitled to ask: **"Who signed this statement, and when?"**
- If unsigned, it fails the basic threshold under the "**Criminal Justice Act 1967"** and "**Magistrates' Courts Act 1980,"** and we therefore "**Request For** "**Rebecca O'Hare's" First Statement to Be Officially: "Exclude as Evidence Under Section 78!" in these court proceedings dated 02/08/2025.**

| | |
|---|---|
| **2.** | **1) <u>January Incident and August: Alleged Threats and Video Evidence</u>**<br>• **<u>No video disclosed of alleged offences</u>**: Despite claiming to have sent video evidence to police, no footage has been disclosed under **<u>CPIA</u>**. →that challenges the charge of Threats to cause criminal damage on the **<u>02/08/2025</u>** and **<u>"Now Me and My Legal Defence Team If I Had One Want to Challenge:</u>**<br>**a)** <u>Where is the video for this charge as its wrongly dated January and has nothing to do with threats to blow up a car, that are disputed.</u><br>**b)** <u>Has it been reviewed, timestamped, and verified by an officer?</u><br>**c)** <u>Why has this wrong exhibit been disclosed and where is the real exhibit?</u><br>• **<u>Language used</u>**: Highly emotive and inflammatory but lacks corroboration to alleged victims' statements.<br>• Also, there is only one BWV, and over 3 other important police officer BWF is still not disclosed<br>The one Disclosed is Pc Chans and he leaves me in my flat with other police officer while I am still being arrested as he had to leave after assaulting me. His BWF make it look as if I have been taken out of my flat and brought to the hospital. We requested the missing BWF that will prove police refused to collect evidence as statements of persons who were really present at the time that proved I was innocent.<br>• **Pc Chans BWF Exhibits**: that he lies in his statement as he does not mention leaving Pc Obsiye and going back outside on his own. This proves he was not looking after "**<u>Rebbecca O'Hare's, Child While Pc Obsiye Was Taking Her First Statement."</u>** Pc Obsiye and Pc Chan Both fail to mention this in there official MG11 Police Officers statements, meaning that there was no other police officer present while "**<u>Rebbecca O'Hare,"</u>** statement got took. Both Police officers failed to mention that Pc Chan went outside on his own and left Pc Obsiye and "**<u>Rebbecca O'Hare," Upstairs on Their Own</u>**." Pc Chans BWF Proves this fact as the BWF footage Starts with Him Alone Outside and Him Heading Stright for My Ground Floor Front Door with Pc Obsiye. This worsens as Pc Obsiye even Backdated her own official statement with a backdated date to fabricate the creation date to deceive the courts.<br>• Also, there is no third-party witnesses other than my own that was present when "**<u>Rebbecca O'Hare,"</u>** alleges this offence happened as her version of events did not happen. |
| **3.** | **1) <u>Door Fitting and Accusation</u>**<br>• **<u>Timeline Ambiguity</u>**: "**<u>Rebbecca O'Hare,"</u>** claims the door was off its hinges and she was inside, yet approached Simon unscared when she knew he was knocking on her next-door neighbour's front door, why does she pretend in her First statement that she acts otherwise?<br>• Simon and the contractor both spoke to each other, and she choose to join happily join in!<br>• **<u>No contractor statement</u>**: The contractor is a key witness yet not cited or referenced, as he will prove Mr. Simon Cordell's True Version of events.<br>• And then to her other → **Contradictions**: |
| **4.** | **1) <u>Location Contradiction</u>**<br>• "**<u>Rebbecca O'Hare,"</u>** states: **"<u>I Don't Live Above Him So I Can't Victimise Him as Her Defence This Is a Lie and Would Be Proved If the Crime Scene Is Visited and Reviewed</u>"** |
| **5.** | **1) <u>Website and Police Call</u>** |

| | |
|---|---|
| | • **Website reference**: "**Rebbecca O'Hare,"** Fails to claim that I said she's on a corruption website. That's verifiable if checked, and this is one of the only things mentioned in her Exhibited Video.<br>• **Police call**: No CAD number or officer response cited. Again, no disclosure for the only Video She Exhibited as backdated. |
| **6.** | **1) August 2nd Incident, Rebbecca O'Hare, Driving into the estate**<br>• **Pre-emptive fear**: "**Rebbecca O'Hare,"** admits she always checks if Mr Simon Paul Cordell is outside, but this really just shows bias and expectation and not an actual threat.<br>• **Staring at car**: Not illegal. No verbal exchange yet she unfairly claims intimidation. |
| **7.** | **1) Parking and Entry**<br>• **No interaction**: She confirms I Wasn't present when she parked and walked upstairs. No threat occurred. |
| **8.** | **1) An Alleged Threat to Blow a Car-up Once it was Parked That Rebbecca Was Seen Driving for the First Time When I Never Believed She Owned a Car or Licence to Drive Is Ridiculous:**<br>• This is definitely something that never really happened, to Rebbecca O'Hare by me as it is really a dirty cover up to protect her for what she has really done to me, Mr. Simon P. Cordell.<br>• **No witnesses**: She claims I made a serious threat while facing away from her. → **Challenge**: ***"****How Did She Hear and Interpret the Words If She Wasn't Paying Attention or I was not facing her?****"***<br>• **No forensic follow-up**: "**No Real Time Incident,"** "**No Witness To Verify Her Account,"** "**No Police Forensic Sweep,**" "**States I Was In Pajarmers,**" "**No Blown Up Car,**" "**I Was Not Found Within The Vicinity Of The Car,**" "**No Flammable Objects Were Found On My Person,"** "**No Detonation Material Were Found,**" "**I Was Not Caught Heading To A Petrol Station,**" "**I Was Found Still In Pajamers Ready For Bed And Unable To Walk More Than A Few Feet When Arrested,**" and **"I Was Not Even Arrested With A Coat Or Shoes On To Suggest Otherwise,**" **This Must Leave No Case To Answer Towards As For Being The Defendant In These Proceedings!**"<br>• **I Would Also Like to Note by Stating That I Have Never Seen Rebecca O 'Hare at The Shops as She Suggests**: happens and that there is also, "**No Shop CCTV To Verify**" this and there is "**No Shop Keeps Statement** "as well as **No Police Cad That Supports "Rebbecca O'Hare," Hallucinations of Seeing Me Stare at Her in A Shop of Hers."** |
| **9.** | **1) Shouting From Communal Door**<br>• **Rebbecca O'Hare Admits**: ***"****She Wasn't Paying Attention to What He Was Shouting.****"*** → **Contradiction**: If she didn't hear the words, how can she claim threat or intent? |
| **10.** | **1) Fear and Mental Health Allegation**<br>• **Subjective Fear**: Her fear is real to her, but legally it must be based on an objective threat.<br>• **Mental Health Claim**: She alleges her complaints are dismissed due to mental health. That's discriminatory and irrelevant unless medically substantiated and it cannot be. |
| **11.** | **1) Legal Leverage Points**<br>• **Unsigned Statement**: Procedurally invalid unless signed and verified. |

- **No Disclosed Evidence**: No video, BWV, No Video Exhibited of **Rebbecca O' Hare** demonstrating any of her claim's, no contractor statement, No CAD logs, or any third-party corroboration, backing her alleged allegations.
- **Contradictions**: Timeline, location, and attention inconsistencies.
- **Bias And Expectation**: Her own words show she anticipates conflict, not that it occurs.
- **Discriminatory Framing**: Mental health references are prejudicial and unsupported.



**(Signed) Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL) (Date Signed) 18/10/2026**
**Pages 11 of 11**