WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9;

Magistrates' Courts Act 1930, s.5B

Statement of: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
Age if under 18: Over *(if over 18 inserts 'over 18') 'over 18'*

This statement (consisting of [9] page(s) all signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Dated: 18/10/2026



(Signed) Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL) (Date Signed) 18/10/2026

STATEMENT 1 OF 1: OFFICER ARREST TIMELINE ANALYSIS

1. **My Introduction Note**
   Officer's Arrest Timeline & Evident Procedural Inaccuracies!
   This Statement documents the arresting officer's timeline and highlights clear contradictions, timestamp anomalies, and procedural breaches. It forms part of the master chronology and supports the rebuttal against arrest details which appear inconsistent with the disclosed records.

2. **Officers Quoted Statement:**
   A. **Statement of**: PC George WILSON-WALLIS
      Date: **02/08/2025**
      Context: Witnessing officer statement regarding the arrest performed by **Police officer 1543NA**
      Officers Narrative: **"**Mobile patrol NA22L called for a van to facilitate the transport of an individual I would later find out to be called **"Samual"** to **"Custody for The Offence of Harassment."**

   B. **Timestamped Action**:
      Whilst this was going on at **"21:16 PC 1543NA began arresting Samual"** for the offence of harassment through the door!

   C. **Forensic Corrections to PC Wilson-Wallis Statement:**
      Misidentification:
      The individual arrested was **"Simon Cordell,"** not **"Samual."** This error undermines identification procedures and evidentiary integrity. **PACE Code D** refers to the Police and **Criminal Evidence Act 1984** – **"Code of Practice D, governs"** how police officers in England and Wales conduct identification procedures. It is all about ensuring that suspects are identified fairly, accurately, and lawfully, especially when eyewitnesses are involved and alleged **PACE Codes** are to be in breach, as Mr. Simon Paul Cordell is not Samual, which is now contained within the **Section 78 Application.**

   D. **Statutory Breach**:
      1) **PACE Code D:** Governs identification procedures. Misnaming violates fair identification standards.
      2) **Data Protection Act 2018**: Contains incorrect personal data handling breaches of lawful processing obligations.

   E. **Procedural Failure**:
      1) Invalidates the **MG11** as a reliable witness statement.
      2) Undermines chain of custody and suspect tracking.

F. **Consequences**:
   1) Grounds for exclusion of the statement under **Section 78 of PACE.**
   2) These issues may affect the reliability and admissibility of the evidence.

G. **False Attribution**: PC Wilson-Wallis claims the arrest was performed by **PC 1543NA (Obsiye),** but he was **"Not Present"** during the victim's statement upstairs and did **"Not Witness"** the full engagement sequence.

H. **Arrival Timing**: He arrived **"After"** PC Chan and PC Obsiye had already engaged with the alleged victim and after they had both gone downstairs. His statement omits this and falsely implies full-scene awareness.

I. **Limited Scope**: His account references **"Only Harassment,"** omitting the broader allegations of: **"Threats to Cause Criminal Damage 1971 Which Mandate Arrest and Charge, Which Has Never Happened."**

J. **PC George WILSON-WALLIS States**: on the **02nd of August 2025** myself and PC WILLIAMS and not on the **01st of August 2025** as the arresting officer and statement taker of Rebbeca O'Hare, states.

K. **Pc George Wilson Wallis States**: the time of him witnessing the arrest outside of the door was at: **21 :16Pm.**

L. **Pc George Wilson Wallis**: Also, states that they managed to open the door at: **21 :17.**

3. ADDITIONAL OFFICER STATEMENTS TIMELINE & CONTRADICTIONS

A. **PC "Obsiye 1543NA" — *Statement Date: 01/08/2025***

- ***Extract from statement:***
   1) We asked to enter, and we spoke with her in the living room.
   2) She stated that she has been having issues with her neighbour, and it's been ongoing for a while. She quickly grabbed her children and ran into the address. She remembered she left her nappy bag so went to go get it. That's when the suspect caught her near the door and began saying something she did not know what he was saying at first so made a comment to herself that's when the suspect said, she also stated there was another incident earlier in the year, when she did not have a front door and was getting it replaced.
   3) I explained to **PC Chan 1405NA** that the suspect who lived downstairs 109 **would be arrested for harassment**.
   4) I explained that he was arrested for harassment as today he went up to the victim and threatened to blow up her car and that no one would know it was him, also previously you had turned up to her house and threatened to slap her. You have been causing her distress. "*My BWV was on at the time and is exhibited as HAO/01!"*

B. **Pc Obsiye 1543NA shows evidence of her is misleading everyone astray:** from the truth in her statement and provide a reason as to why she has withheld her BWF so, the two cannot be compared against each other and if provided it is said by the defendant that this will show the evidence that she used deliberate force with PC Chain, which was unneeded!

C. **Impossible Date**:
   1) The incident occurred on **"02/08/2025,"** yet Obsiye's statement is dated **01/08/2025**, a full day earlier.
   2) He repeats the **"Incorrect Date Twice,"** including the weekday **("Friday")**, indicating **dated 01/08/2025, one day before incident.**

D. **Impossible Time**:
   1) Statement claims duty between **"0700 and 1600,"** yet the arrest occurred at **"21:16."**
   2) This discrepancy invalidates the statement's temporal integrity.

E. **Key Contradictions**:
Obsiye claims to have received the full victim statement, yet **"PC Chan confirms"** Obsiye was the only

one speaking to her, while this was taken and the arrest of harassment was decided, alone.

1) On **"Friday 1st August 2025"** between the hours of 0700 and 1600 I was on duty in full uniform carrying full PPE kit and **"BWV."** This day is incorrect, and nobody worn footage has been disclosed as watchable.
2) **Evidence Gap**: No BWV footage has been disclosed showing this interaction in the living room.
3) **Possible Motive**: Her statements have been reused from prior NFA cases, which were already dismissed as displayed in the CPS files.

3) **PC Chan — *Statement Date*: *02/08/2025* *at 1300 hours***

4. EXHIBIT: PC_CHAN_PDF.PDF — Statement Analysis and Evidentiary Breakdown

A. Statement of: Calvin Chan

B. Quoted Statement:

- On Saturday 2nd August **2025** I was on duty in uniform
- **On arrival PC Obsiye spoke with Rebecca O'Hare**,
- **I was trying to distract the daughter from listening to Rebecca explaining the allegation to PC Obsiye.**
- **she described him as wearing a blue checkered pyjama.**
- We went downstairs to knock on the door
- I exhibit my **BWV** as **CKC/01, *"Exhibit Does not work as can't view video footage as intended."***

C. Impossible Time:

1) Arrest occurred at **"21:16,"** yet Chan's statement is timestamped **"1300 Hours, 8 Hours Earlier."**
2) He was present during the arrest but did **"Not Receive the Victim's Statement as He States That"** he was distracting Rebbeca O'Hare's child while Obsiye spoke with her.

D. Key Contradictions:

1) Chan references the suspect's name as **"Simon,"** contradicting Wilson-Wallis's **"Samual."**
2) He confirms that **"Obsiye Performed the Arrest,"** not himself.
3) His **BWV (CKC/01)** must be reviewed for timestamp accuracy and officer presence.

E. Contradiction Analysis Table:

Element	Quoted Statement	Implication
Date/Time	**"**Time: 1300 hours**"**	**Impossible:** Arrest occurred at **"21:16,"** per PC George Wilson-Wallis. Chan's timeline is chronologically incoherent.
Uniformed Duty	**"**I was on duty in uniform.**"**	Confirms presence but does **"Not Reconcile"** with the arrest timeline.
Allegation Discussion	**"**She was explaining the allegation to PC Obsiye.**"**	Chan was **"Not the Recipient"** of the allegation. His account is **"Second-Hand."**
Suspect Description	**"**She described him as wearing a blue checkered pajama.**"**	Description was relayed to **"Obsiye,"** not Chan. Chan's reference is **"Indirect."**
Door Knock	**"**We went downstairs to knock on the door.**"**	Implies **"First Contact"** but timestamp makes this **"Impossible"** unless arrest occurred earlier.
BWV Exhibit	**"**I exhibit my BWV as CKC/01**"**	Must be scrutinized for **"Timestamp"** accuracy. If it shows events near 21:00, Chan's statement is misdated and is not viewable as we request it to be disclosed to us.

F. **Forensic Narrative:** Initial Police Attendance and Arrest Chronology
Date: 02/08/**2025**
Location: 109 Burncroft Avenue, Enfield
Time: Leading to arrest at 21:16
Scene Attendance: Only Two Officers Present

G. **Officers' Present**:
1) **PC Calvin Chan**
2) **PC Obsiye**
3) These were the **"Only Two Officers"** on scene. No van. No backup. No prior units.

H. **Arrival Context**:
1) Both officers arrived together and proceeded **"Downstairs"** to knock on the door.
2) This was the **"First Point of Contact."**

I. **Victim Interaction:** Exclusivity of Dialogue
The **"Alleged Victim"** spoke **"only to PC Obsiye."**
1) Chan was **"Not Actively Involved."**
2) He did **"Not Receive or Record"** any direct allegation.
3) Any claim of independent verification by Chan is **"Unsupported."**

J. **Suspect Description:** Source and Validity
Description of **"Blue Checkered Pajamas"** was given **"Verbally to PC Obsiye."**
1) Chan's reference is **"Second-Hand,"** and only independently observed as to this statement.

K. **BWV Footage:** CKC/01
Chan exhibits **"CKC/01"** as his BWV.
Must be reviewed for:
1) **Timestamp Integrity**
2) **Presence Of Other Officers (None)**
3) **Sequence Of Engagement and Arrest**

L. **Arrest Execution**
Arresting Officer: PC Obsiye
1) Sole officer who engaged the alleged victim.
2) Arrest performed **"Through the Door At 21:16,"** corroborated by PC George Wilson-Wallis.
3) Chan did **"Not"** perform the arrest.

M. **Contradictions and Implications**
Chan's timestamp of **"1300 Hours Is Factually Impossible."**
His claim to have received the allegation or participated in the arrest is **"Invalid."**
CKC/01 must be disclosed in full to expose:
1) **Timestamp anomalies**
2) **Officer's presence**
3) **Engagement sequence**

5. **EXHIBIT: TIME LOG OF POLICE OFFICER ABOUT ANOTHER POLICE OFFICER'S ARREST TIME**

A. **Statement of**: PC George WILSON-WALLIS
Date: 02/08/**2025**
Context: Witnessing officer statement regarding the arrest performed by officer 1543NA

B. **Officers Narrative:**

- **"**Mobile patrol NA22L called for a van to facilitate the transport of an individual I would later find out to be called Samual to custody for the offence of harassment.**"**

C. Timestamped Action:
"Whilst this was going on at 21:16 PC 1543NA began arresting Samual for the offence of harassment through the door!**"**

D. Evidentiary Implications of the Time Log:
- **Exact Arrest Initiation Time**: Arrest began at **21:16**, witnessed and recorded by PC George Wilson-Wallis.
- **Third-Party Corroboration**: Independent verification of arrest time and method.
- **Sequence of Events**: Van request occurred **before** arrest, suggesting **premeditated coordination** that raises questions regarding the sequence of events.

- **Location and Method of Arrest**: **"Through the Door"** implies **"No Direct Contact," "Raising Serious Questions About Lawful Entry and Suspect Awareness."**
- **Potential Contradictions**: Any custody logs or statements suggesting a different arrest time (e.g., 21:30) are to be challenged using this timestamp.

E. Misidentification Alert:
The individual referred to as **"Samual"** in Wilson-Wallis's statement is "**Not Simon**."
1) This misnaming introduces a **"Critical Identity Error."**
2) If this name appears in custody logs, BWV audio, or witness statements, it may invalidate identification procedures and expose procedural negligence.

F. Master Rebuttal: PC Williams — Statement Date: **30/08/2022**
1) **Impossible Year & Document Integrity:**
   a) PC Williams' statement is dated **30/08/2022**, yet the incident occurred on **"02/08/2025, a three-year discrepancy."**
   b) This is not a clerical error, it reflects **"Template Reuse or Misfiling,"** undermining the document's admissibility and casting doubt on its authenticity.

2) **Procedural Breaches & Contradictions:**
   a) **Caution Through Closed Door**:
   Williams confirms that "**PC Obsiye Delivered the Caution Through the Closed Door"** prior to entry. This violates "**PACE Code C**," which requires:
      - Lawful access
      - Visual identification
      - Clear comprehension and acknowledgment of the caution.
   b) Without direct contact, a "**Police Caution"** is **"Legally Invalid,"** as it does then not comply with PACE requirements as this would undermine the arrest's enforceability and must be scrutinized in any court.
   c) **Chan's Role Misrepresented**: Williams describes "**PC Chan (2464NA)"** as receiving the enforcer and breaching the door. However:
   d) **Wilson-Wallis attributes enforcer uses to 2464NA**, not Chan!
   e) **Wilson-Wallis's statement**: **"***Once arriving at the scene, I brought PC **2464NA** the enforcer to help break the door in whilst this was going on at 21 :16 PC.***"**
      - **Chan's own MG11 omits his badge number out!**
      - **Obsiye's statement confirms Chan is 1405NA, not 2464NA, as Pc Wilson-Wallis attributes.**
   f) This creates **"Badge Number Ambiguity,"** and the obstructs from the prosecuting teams to disclose working copies of all attending police officers **BWV Footage**, raises serious questions about Police officer **"Identity and Accountability, As It Seems to Be Hiding the Truth About**

Any Alleged Official Frauded Documentation by The Police Officers Involved."

- **Officer Index Confusion:**

Officer Name	Badge Number	Role Claimed
PC George Wilson-Wallis	1456NA	NA30L Operator
PC Reece Williams	1675NA	NA30L Driver
PC Obsiye	1543NA	Arresting Officer, Leg Restraint
PC Chan	1405NA/2464NA	Enforcer, Taser, Entry
Unknown Officer	2464NA	Enforcer User (if not Chan)
Unknown Officer	2546NA	Leg Restraint (second set)

g) This table reveals **"Two Unnamed Officers"** and **"Badge Number Inconsistencies,"** suggesting either:
- **"FABRICATED POLICE OFFICERS' IDENTITIES"** and / or **"DELIBERATE IDENTIFICATION OBFUSCATIONS."**

h) Call Sign Confusion:
- **NA22L**: Chan and Obsiye's unit
- **NA30L**: Williams and Wilson-Wallis' van
- **NA22N**: Mentioned as the unit left with Simon but **"Not Listed in Police or CPS Disclosed Files, Such As CAD Logs."**

i) This mislabeling is being wrongly used to:
- Distance **PC Calvin Chan** from his Gross Misconduct and negligent act that led to Mr. Simon Paul Cordell medical injuries.
- Create confusion in the chain of custody, to wrongly waver legal responsibility away from **NA22L**: Chan and Obsiye's police mobile unit and so that they can avoid accountability for post-arrest due care responsibilities.

6. Legal Precedent: O'HARA V CHIEF CONSTABLE OF THE RUC (1997)

A. The House of Lords ruled that an arrest is only lawful if the officer had ***"Reasonable Grounds to Suspect the Specific Offence"*** at the time, **"Not One Substituted Later."**

B. In this Case:
1) Arrest was for **"Harassment,"** as confirmed in multiple statements!
2) Charge later reframed to **"Threats to Cause Criminal Damage!"**
3) No new arrest, caution, or interview occurred!

C. This violates **"PACE Code C"** and supports a challenge to the charge's admissibility.

7. The Police and CPS, Pursing the Defendants:

A. In most cases, defendants **"Cannot Prove"** the arrest was for a different offence unless:
1) The **"Police Admit It"** in their statements **(As They Have Here).**
2) There's **"Clear Documentation"** showing the original arrest basis (e.g., CAD logs, BWV footage, custody records).
3) The defence team **"Challenges the Procedural Timeline"** and demands disclosure.

B. Without that admission, courts often assume the charge and arrest were aligned, even if they were not.

8. Eyewitness & Medical Neglect:

A. Upon exiting the building, I was told **"No Ambulance Would Be Dispatched,"** despite visible distress. It was only thanks to my neighbours, especially **Saheed**, who personally called emergency services, that an ambulance arrived. This occurred as **"I Collapsed Again Outside,"** confirming the severity of

my injuries.

1) **BWV Reliability:** Williams admits he **"Did Not Review His BWV (RAW/01)"** prior to writing the statement. This weakens its reliability and opens it to challenge under evidentiary standards.

Legal Significance of Our Findings!

These contradictions affect*: All police officers' statements in regards towards!*

a. ***Credibility of officer testimony.***
b. ***Chain of responsibility for use of force.***
c. ***Procedural accuracy in the arrest narrative.***
d. ***Misrepresentation of roles in official documentation***.

A. In the statement titled **"05. PC Chan Pdf,"** PC Chan is identified as **"Calvin Chan,"** but his **"Badge Number Is Not Explicitly Listed"** in the document.

- **Here is what we can say**:

B. The statement header includes:
1) **Name**: Calvin Chan
2) **Call sign**: NA22L (shared with PC Obsiye)
3) **Date of statement**: 02/08/**2025**
4) **Exhibit reference**: CKC/01 (Chan's BWV)

C. However, unlike other officers (e.g., PC Williams 1675NA), Chan's **"Collar Number or Warrant ID"** is not included in the MG11 form or continuation pages.

D. PC Chan's badge number is 1405NA, as confirmed in both:
1) **Obsiye's statement**: **"**I was working alongside PC Chan **"1405NA as NA22L."**
2) **Chan's own statement**: While his MG11 does not list the badge number directly, Obsiye's cross-reference confirms it.

E. This aligns Chan with the call sign **"NA22L,"** and his BWV is exhibited as **"CKC/01."**

F. In the statement titled **"07. PC Williams Pdf,"** PC Chan is explicitly identified with the badge number **"2464na."**

G. Here is the relevant line from Williams' account:
1) **"**At approx. 2100hrs NA22L PC CHAN **2464NA** and PC OBSIYE 1543NA called for a van…**"**

H. This confirms Chan's collar number as **"2464NA,"** aligning him with call sign **"NA22L"** and the enforcer entry described in multiple statements.

I. In the statement by **PC George Wilson-Wallis**, PC Chan is identified with the badge number **2464NA**.

J. This is confirmed in the line:
1) **"**In this statement I will be referring to myself, PC WILLIAMS 1675NA, 1543NA and **2464NA**…**"**

K. Since PC Obsiye is **"1543NA"** and Williams is **1675NA**, the remaining identifier, **"2464NA,"** belongs to **"PC Chan."**

L. This aligns with other statements and confirms Chan's active role in the arrest and use of the enforcer.

- **Police Officers Involved**

* **Attache to call sign**: NA30L.
* NA30L was called out, by
* PC CHAN 2464NA = NA22L
* PC OBSIYE 1543NA = NA22L
* and the operator was PC George Wilson-Wallis 1456NA.
* **The case is**: CAD 6844/02AUG25.
* **And this is the CAD log:** NA22L on 02/08/**2025**.
  - **As Simon did not like us** and was being more **"Aggressive Towards Us"** and NA22L **"We Have"** left Simon with **NA22N** and gone outside. Simon has eventually been taken in an ambulance to NMH. I have not watched my BWV prior to writing this statement and it is true to the best of my knowledge. I exhibit my **BWV** as **RAW/01**.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordells Additional Statement 5

- **Statement Regarding Arrest and Use of Force at 109 Burncroft Avenue – 02/08/2025**

1) **The First Officers Who Arrived at My Door Were Met with A Calm and Reasonable Request:** that I be allowed to attend the police station voluntarily later, in line with my **"Medical Note and Scheduled Operation."** I explained my situation clearly, yet they showed no regard for my health condition, nor did they acknowledge that I had **"No Prior Warnings, No Criminal History, And Had Committed No Offence."**
There was **"No Immediate Risk,"** I had been inside for over **"30 Minutes,"** alone, still in my" Pajamas**,"** and entirely non-threatening.

2) Despite this, PC Chan exited the building and returned moments later with a **"Battering Ram (Enforcer)."** At that time, I was attempting to **"Slide My Medical Note Under the Door"** for PC Obsiye to read. She refused to engage in it and appeared determined to escalate the situation unnecessarily.

3) Chan then **"Forced the Door Open,"** immediately threw the enforcer to the floor, and I instinctively stepped back, **"Holding the Medical Note Above My Head,"** a moment clearly captured on **"Body-Worn Video (BWV)."** As confirmed in my **"MG11 Statement Submitted to Tuckers Solicitors,"** Chan **"Aimed the Stun Gun at My Head"** and **"Threatened to Shoot Me in The Face"** if I did not drop the note. His behaviour was **"Aggressive, Reckless, And Entirely Disproportionate."** If the BWV footage has not been tampered with or concealed, it will demonstrate this clearly.

4) I was **"Not Resisting."** Nonetheless, Chan struck me over the head with his **stun gun**, causing me to **"Collapse Backwards onto My Sofa,"** screaming in pain and confusion. At that moment, **"No Other Officers Were Present."**

5) PC Obsiye then targeted my **"Legs,"** despite my repeated warnings about the location of my **"Hernia,"** which I had explained to her multiple times. This too is documented on BWV. I was eventually **"Dragged to The Floor,"** with Chan on top of me while Obsiye continued to **"Apply Pressure and Communicate Via Radio."**

6) As I cried out for help, I repeatedly shouted to Chan, **"Get Me an Ambulance!"** to which he responded, **"I'm Not Getting You One."** This exchange is also captured on BWV and must be disclosed in full.

7) When additional officers arrived, they appeared **"Shocked by The Conduct of Chan and Obsiye."** They instructed both to **"Leave the Flat Immediately,"** which they did. The new officers **"Removed My Leg Restraints,"** helped me **"Stand Slowly,"** and allowed me to

"Gather My Belongings and Secure What Remained of My Home."

8) Upon exiting the building, I was informed that **"No Ambulance Would Be Dispatched,"** despite my repeated pleas and visible distress. It was only thanks to my neighbours—whose **"Witness Statements, Including That of Saheed,"** confirm the truth—that emergency services were contacted. Saheed personally called for medical assistance, and the ambulance crew **"Agreed to Attend Immediately."** This occurred just as I stepped outside and **"Collapsed Again in Pain,"** further confirming the severity of the injuries I had sustained and the **"Urgent Need for Medical Intervention."**
Their actions were not only compassionate, but they were also **"Lifesaving."** Without their intervention, I would have been left without care, despite the presence of multiple officers and my clear medical distress.

- **Structural Breakdown:**

a) **"**At approx. 2100hrs **"NA 22L"** PC CHAN 2464NA and PC OBSIYE 1543NA called for a van not on the hurry up.**"**

b) **"NA 22L"**: This is a **call sign** for a mobile patrol unit. But the spacing—**"NA 22L"** instead of the standard **"NA22L"**— implies a **"Separation of Responsibility"** or a deliberate attempt to **"Distance the Unit from The Officers Named After."**

1+ PC CHAN 2464NA

2+ PC OBSIYE 1543NA

- **Forensic Timeline Integrity Table**

Officer	Statement Date	Claimed Time	Role	Contradiction
PC Wilson-Wallis	**02/08/2025**	21:16	Witness to arrest	Misidentifies suspect; not present upstairs, BWV not reviewed!
PC Obsiye	**01/08/2025**	0700–1600	Arresting officer	Date/time impossible; backdated statement, BWV not reviewed!
PC Chan	**02/08/2025**	1300	Passive witness	Time mismatch: did not receive allegation, BWV not reviewed!
PC Williams	**30/08/2022:**	2100	Late arrival	Wrong year: BWV not reviewed!

with the intention of securing a wrongful conviction against me, due to her ongoing housing disputes with the Enfield Council and her illegal occupation of Flat 115.



(Signed) Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL) (Date Signed) 18/10/2026