DEFENDANT'S APPLICATION FOR PROSECUTION DISCLOSURE

(Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996, section 8;
Criminal Procedure Rules, rule 22.5)

Details: Defendant's Application for Prosecution Disclosure – Case 1 - URN: 01YE1267925.
Name of defendant: Simon Cordell
Court: Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court
Case reference number: 01YE1267925 (Case 1 – 02/08/2025) and 01YE1300125 (Case 2 – 26/08/2025)

1. **Charge(s):**
   - **A.** **Case 1**: Harassment (Protection from Harassment Act 1997) the alleged offence was disposed of as discontinued, I was arrested at my home for this allegation. Police officer latter booked me in to the police station for another offence.
   - **B.** **Case 1**: Section 4A Public Order Act 1986) – dropped different police officers a day latter brought me from hospital to the police station and booked me in with the wrong criminal Act of Law to what was provided as the reason for arrest.
   - **C.** **Case 1**: Threats to cause criminal damage (Criminal Damage Act 1971) the position of defense is that the criminal offence was substituted without lawful process, I.e. He was Not Police Caution in person for this offence. On the date in question, dated the 02/08/25, he was arrested for Harassment (Protection from Harassment Act 1997) but not correctly police cautioned for the offence as he was given the caution while behind a closed front door that would have prevented any caution being processed, during this case and after the case was no further actioned no further Police Caution was given or is evidenced as disclosed with the police Custody logs have already been provided. For this reason we request some evidence of the 4th criminal charge being brought against him of threats to cause criminal damage being Official police cautioned to him as Mr. Simon Cordell about this day in question.

- **This is an Exhibited Snippet of the:**

DISCLOSURE OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION	
I certify:	• That any relevant unused material has been recorded and retained in accordance with the CPIA1996 Code of Practice (as amended), • That such material as is non-sensitive is shown on the schedule above, and that to the best of my knowledge and belief there are no items shown in the schedule that might reasonably undermine the case, or so far as it is apparent, assist the defence with the early preparation of their case or at a bail hearing
Case 1 URN: 01YE1267925	

- **This is an Exhibited Snippet of the:**

DISCLOSURE OFFICER	
Signature	PC 01 P265750 Avigdor
Name	Avigdor, Joel
Date	07/08/2025
Case 1 URN: 01YE1267925	

- **This is an Exhibited Snippet of the:**

CPS PROSECUTOR NAME

Signature	Jr
Name	J rainbird
Date	22.9.25
Case 1 URN: 01YE1267925	

2. **What Material the Defence Want the Prosecutor to Disclose?**
   - **A. We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
     1) The Complete custody logs from 02/08/2025 till the 04/08/2025.
   - **B. We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
     1) Custody suite CCTV showing: The Booking-in process, time spent in cells, the interview process, Any Moments of Movement While Taken Out of Cells, Time of charge and release from the Wood Green police station into Serco Transport Van.
   - **C. We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
     1) Proof of arrest and Police Caution, for the reason of "Threats to Cause Criminal Damage."
   - **D. We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
     1) Interview room access due to the lack, thereof Interview for the Criminal charge of "Threats to Cause Criminal Damage."
   - **E. We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
     1) The date and time CPS where re sent the case files for review after the No Further action was Authorised by them for the reason of arrest and detention. Harassment and section 4a,
     2) What contact the CPS had with PC Quick the Officer in the Case and any other Police Officer.
     3) Who was the CPS Case Handler for review and who from the CPS authorised the charge of "Threats to Cause Criminal Damage," to be charged.
     4) What time was the decision to charge authorised?
     5) Presence And Removal of Appropriate Adult
     6) Full custody CCTV logs from 02/08/2025 to 04/08/2025 when the charge swap happened.
     7) Where was this information recorded in the police custody record.
     8) The Internal CPS communications regarding the decision charge substitution for all offences by the police alleged as committed by me on the **02_03_04/08/2025** for CPS Review.
   - **F. We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
     1) The reason for arrest given for the presence and subsequent removal of my attending Appropriate Adult during the only interview process at Wood Green Police Station!
     2) Clarification of the alleged criminal offence that Mr. S. P. Cordell was "Charged" for by police and CPS for which his Auntie, Appropriate Adult was present, specifically in relation to his representation.
     3) Confirmation of whether this was recorded as a "Section 4A" matter under Case URN: 01YE1267925, rather than "Threats to Cause Criminal Damage" under the same Case URN: 01YE1267925.
   - **G. We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
     1) Body-worn footage from all attending officers on 02/08/2025
   - **H. We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
     1) MG11 witness statements Proof of Amendments for signature placeholder issued in case ratio Folder
   - **I. We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**

1) Case ratio folder meta data including file and folder created dates and modified dates as well as the staff who's id created that Meta Data.

J. **We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**

K. **We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
1) Any unused material withheld from the defence bundle.

L. **We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
1) We request all the original Police notebooks of police at the scene.

M. **We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
1) Emergency call out Risk assessments taken & all other Risk assessments for each criminal offence

N. **We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
1) Any Evidence of criminal charges being bundled together at point of arrest and police Caution!

O. **We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
1) 2012 Act Legal Aid documentation for the booking in at wood green police station as custody logs show Offence: was Public Order Act -Sec 4a, Int. Harass/Alarm/Distress. Case Urn: 01YE1267925.

P. **We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
1) 2012 Act Legal Aid documentation for apparent substitution of charge without legal process "Threats to Cause Criminal Damage Act 1971." Case Urn: 01YE1267925.

Q. **We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
1) We request the Complete unedited interview footage, what show reason for arrest and people being identified.

R. **We Request That the Prosecutor Disclose the Following Materials:**
1) Audio Recordings of the 999 calls will prove emergency risk assessment

3. **List Of Further Exhibits Requested as Disclosed:**

A. **Exhibit Ref No**: MHU/01 **"ALREADY DISCLOSED TO THE DEFENCE!"**
1) **Continuation of Interview:** The "2 Minutes" recording of the interview that is Exhibited as: "MHU/01" as disclosed, is not acceptable as disclosed and we therefore request complete disclosure of the interview and complete transcribes that go with it. As the Complete unedited interview footage will show the real reason for arrest and people being identified.

- **Exhibited Snippet From: CPS Failed Disclosure Service Attempt Two:** *"01. CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Initial Details Pros Case 22-09-2025"*

No	Exhibit Ref No	Description	Page
1	MHU/01	Continuation of Interview	1
2	ROH/01	Video of suspect at victims door.	2
3	CKC/01	BWV of the arrest	3
4	ECG/01	0	4
5		MGO UM VIDEO URL Interview	5
6		MGO UM 999 URL	6
7		Redacted Custody Record	7-43

B. **Exhibit Ref No**: MGO-UM **"REQUEST FOR DISCLOSED TO THE DEFENCE!"**

1) **Interview at Wood Green Police Station:** We request an answer to why Two different Material types taken at different location and by different person such as 999 call and police station interview are both exhibited with the same Exhibit number.
2) We request an answer to why the first half of the interview video does not correspond with the second half of the interview.
3) We request a good reason as to why the **"Disclosure Officer"** has labeled the evidence that he chose not to disclose contains evidence that can prove my innocence and is labeled as such with an "UM," None of this was disclosed in the first CPS Bundles and only afterwards.

C. **Exhibit Ref No**: **MGO UM** 999 URL 999 Recording. **"REQUEST FOR DISCLOSED TO THE DEFENCE!"**
1) REDACTED. Audio recording of the emergency 999 call made to police on 2nd AUGUST 20:36hrs by Rebecca O'HARE, reporting that her neighbour has threatened to blow up her car.
2) O'Hare states her neighbour has threatened her with violence a few times to her and police are doing nothing. O'HARE states she knows his first name is Simon. Details from the call are logged on CAD6844/02AUG25.
3) An answer to why this Exhibit and another in Case Ratio files Exhibited as disclosed have the same **MGO UM,** title and the reason as to why the exhibits have the same extensions with the same terminology as |"um" and its definition and deminer!
4) The other file with the same Exhibit reference number is: **MGO UM** VIDEO URL as the Interview at Wood Green Police Station!

D. **Exhibit Ref No**: In Rebbecca O'Hare's Third Statement. **"REQUEST FOR DISCLOSED TO THE DEFENCE!"**
1) She Mention exhibiting a first video before the one we were served. She states she gave the Exhibit to the police, and it contained video footage of me in reference to another date.

E. **Exhibit Ref No**: **CKC/01** Pc Chans "BWV." **"REQUEST FOR DISCLOSED TO THE DEFENCE!"**
1) As web linked here for Arrest **02/08/2025:** 03. CKC 01 Witness arrest of Simon Cordell.mp4.mp4
2) The **"**10:00 Minutes" recording of Exhibit "CKC/01" as disclosed or less, is not acceptable as complete disclose of the arrest of Mr. Simon Paul Cordell being arrested as it shows him outside on his own after he arrived and goes against his own MG11 Statements version of events.
3) As it has been disclosed on its own to give the wrongful impression that I had been taking outside and was still not inside the flat with other police officers at the end.

F. **Exhibit Ref No**: BWV of the Arrest 02/08/2025 Case Urn. **"REQUEST FOR DISCLOSED TO THE DEFENCE!"**
1) **Missing From Police Officer**: PC Obsiye
2) **Missing From Police Officer**: PC Williams
3) **Missing From Police Officer**: PC Wilson Wallis

- **Exhibited Snippet From: CPS Failed Disclosure Service Attempt Two:** *"01. CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Initial Details Pros Case 22-09-2025"*

Media Type	**Body Worn Video**
Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device	PC Chan BWV CKC/01 Shows the arrest of the victim
Media Type	**Body Worn Video**
Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device	PC Obsiye 1543NA Arrest of the suspect
Media Type	**Body Worn Video**
Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device	PC Obsiye 1543NA Allegation from the victim

Media Type	**Body Worn Video**
Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device	PC Williams Arrest of suspect
Media Type	**Body Worn Video**
Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device	PC Wilson-Wallis Arrest of suspect

4) We Request complete disclosure of all the Body-worn footage from the above police officers who attended my home on: **02/08/2025**
5) **NA30L was assigned to CAD 6844/02082025, NA22L called for a van to facilitate the transport and so on as well as fair time to re review!**
6) The police officers statement as Exhibited below in short proves more happened to me than just what the one Police officers BWF that is disclosed misleads viewers into believing, if the police officer PC George WILSON – WALLIS got my name right.

- **Exhibited Snippet From: CPS Failed Disclosure Service Attempt Two:** *"01. CORDELL Simon 01YE1267925 Initial Details Pros Case 22-09-2025"*

> **PC George WILSON – WALLIS** WITNESS STATEMENT
> **Date**: 02/08/2025
> After this I got off him and called for boarding up for his front door whilst other officers delt with Samual, after a while he came out of the property and we began to move him to the van to which Samual sat on the ground in protest stating he wants an ambulance, officers began to explain to him that we were going to take him to hospital in a van however Samual refused, at this point members of the public came and started speaking to officers and Samual. After a while longer an ambulance took Samual inside of it and out of my care.

G. Exhibit Ref No: **ECG/01** BWV Of the Arrest of CORDELL For Breach of Tag. **"REQUEST FOR DISCLOSED TO THE DEFENCE!"**
1) We request a copy of exhibit **"ECG/01"** as disclosed attached to the Body-worn footage case from "All Attending Officers On **14/08/2025."**
2) **As well as**: All the Body-worn footage from "All Attending Officers for The Breach of Bail Case" on **26/08/2025**.

H. Exhibit Ref No: BWF Of the Third Statement Taker of Rebecca O'HARE As Well as Police Notebooks That Are Time Stamped and Dated.**07/08-2025:**
1) **From:** PC AVIGDOR 2916NA

I. Exhibit Ref No: Serco GPS Records.
1) All Records Of all alleged breaches and monitoring activities!

J. Exhibit Ref No: Any Proof of Mental Health Diagnosis. **With Reasons Being**:
1) Page 7 of the custody record which shows the custody health care professional's mental health assessment of Mr. Simon Cordell during his time at Wood Green police station and states they withheld there notes!
2) The Health Care Professional recommends that CORDELL has an Appropriate Adult due to psychosis when this is not proved or stated by Simon himself!
3) The Police officers basis upon which those entries were recorded is unclear and requires disclosure.

4. Why Does the Defence Think the Prosecutor Has Such Material?
- All Custody Logs, CCTV, And Body-Worn Footage Are Standard Procedure!

- MG11 Statements Were Cited in Court but Never Disclosed with Signatures.
- The Available Metadata Appears Inconsistent and Further Disclosure Is Required to Establish the Creation and Modification History.
- Legal Aid Forms Were Never Signed for The Substituted Charge.
- CPS And Police Acknowledged Receipt of My Disclosure Requests.
- The Custody Log Falsely Claims an Appropriate Adult Was Present — CCTV Will Prove Otherwise.
- The Interview Footage Was Split and Only a Fragment Shown — The Full Recording Must Exist.
- Police Notebooks Are Mandatory to Be Keep and Time & Date Logged by All Acting Police Officers and These Will Show Original Notes Due To Arresting Officers Backdated MG11 Statement.
- Audio Recordings of the 999 Calls Will Prove
- Emergency Call Out Risk Assessments Taken & All Other Risk Assessments for Each Criminal Offence
- Confirmation Of If All Criminal Charges If Were Bundled Together

5. **Why Might That Material:**
   - A. **Undermine The Prosecutor's Case Against You, Or**
   - B. **Assist Your Case?**
     1) Custody Logs and CCTV Prove the Harassment Charge Was Dropped, And the Substituted Charge Was Not Lawfully Processed.
     2) Body-Worn Footage Will Assist in Establishing the Circumstances Surrounding the Arrest and Alleged Assaulted by Police.
     3) A Witness Tried to Give Evidence That Was Wrongly Refused.
     4) The Absence of Solicitor Access and Removal of My Father from The Cell Breaches Procedural Rights
     5) The Substituted Charge Was Processed Without Lawful Caution or Arrest.
     6) Withheld Unused Material May Reasonably Assist the Defence Case.

6. **Do You Want the Court to Arrange a Hearing on This Application? YES / NO**
   - A. **If YES, explain why you think a hearing is needed. (If you do not ask for a hearing, the court may arrange one anyway.)**
     1) To formally address the unlawful substitution of charges
     2) To compel disclosure of withheld evidence including CCTV and full interview footage
     3) To challenge procedural breaches that left me unrepresented and GPS tagged
     4) To expose the refusal of witness evidence and solicitor access breaches

7. **I Also Request Disclosure of The Following:**
   - A. During the present proceedings, I recognised the presiding judge from previous proceedings involving me, although I did not know her name at the time. I later learned that the judge was District Judge Jackson of Highbury & Islington Magistrates' Court and believe she may be the same judge who presided over, participated in, or was otherwise involved in the ASBO proceedings brought against me in 2014 concerning allegations that I organised illegal raves. Given the significance of those proceedings, and as I continue to dispute the findings made against me, I request confirmation as to whether this is the same judicial officeholder and, if so, the nature and extent of her involvement in those earlier proceedings.



(Signed) Mr. Simon Paul CORDELL)
(Dated) 19/10/2026

MG4 Charges Sheet That Contains the Following History Showing: -

About

1+ Casefile Reference: 01/YE/12679/25

Disclosed File by the CPS: https://everyoneloginto.me/01.%2002-08-2025-Another-Case/04.%20Original-CPS-Case-Archive%20(1)%2001-09-25/12.%20Simon_CORDELL_Charges_pdf.pdf

1+ **Direct Answer:** The missing CCCJS offence code for "**Intentional Harassment, Alarm or Distress (Public Order Act 1986, S.4A)"** is **PU08466** Offence Code and is the reason in the custody detention logs for being detained by police under **Casefile Reference**: **01/YE/12679/25** dated the **02/08/2025**, so why has the criminal charges in the charge sheet and the CCCJS Offence Code been swapped without a fair legal process.

The First Wrongly Alleged Criminal Police and CPS Charge:

(a) Proves that the reason for arrest was the Harassment Act 1997 as the MG11 statements also prove! This charge must not be present as it was dropped by the CPS as the Police detention custody logs also prove. The two different dated offences were modified and turned into one date after the case was dropped. I am not defending myself against this charge as it is already no further action and illegally submitted.

1+ **Harassment (fear of violence)**

- **CCCJS Code:** PH97009
- **Law:** Protection from Harassment Act 1997, s.4
- **Description:** Conduct that causes another to fear violence will be used against them.

The Second Wrongly Alleged Criminal Police and CPS Charge:

2+ **Threats to destroy or damage property**

- **CCCJS Code:** CD71043
- **Law:** Criminal Damage Act 1971, s.2
- **Description:** Threatening to damage or destroy property without lawful excuse, intending the victim to fear the threat will be carried out.

The Third Wrongly Alleged Criminal Police and CPS Charge:

3+ **Intentional harassment, alarm or distress**

- **CCCJS Code: PU08466**
- **Law:** Public Order Act 1986, s.4A
- **Description:** Using threatening, abusive, or insulting words or Behaviour with intent to cause harassment, alarm, or distress.

Why This Matters

(a) Your CPS charge sheet listed only **two codes (PH97009 and CD71043)**, leaving

		out the **PU08466 code** for the Public Order Act offence. (b) Including this missing code strengthens your disclosure request because it shows the CPS paperwork was incomplete or inconsistent. (c) You can now reference all three CCCJS codes in your application, making it procedurally clear that one was omitted. 1+ **Sources**: OffenceCode.uk – Public Order offences