Overview

The speaker presents evidence alleging that legal documents and witness statements were tampered with and backdated prior to a trial.

Legal Discrepancies

Speaker alleges that official documentation provided by solicitor **Andrews** contains significant irregularities intended to mislead the defense. Key points include:

- Witness statements from **Rebecca O'Hare** appear to have been backdated to August 7th, despite the official file being sealed on September 22nd.
- Documents provided via email lack required signatures and contain suspicious boxes used to redact or cover up defense arguments within statements.
- The speaker asserts that additional statements were added to the files only three days before the trial, which contradicts the original sealed version of the case materials provided by **Neil**.

Technical Analysis

To verify these claims, the speaker utilizes specific digital tools to inspect file integrity and website structure:

- The speaker employs **Resource Saver** to download all assets from the **Case Ratio** platform for offline inspection.
- Using browser developer tools (**Inspect**), the speaker examines changes in site scripts and directories such as **cloud**, **font awesome**, and various runtime files.
- The speaker attempts to review modified code using **Notepad** to determine if recent changes were made to the site's underlying structure or asset locations.

How were these documents modified and added without proper amendment signatures? How was it possible for backdated statements to appear in a sealed document?

Action Items

Record a video documenting the two Yahoo accounts, relevant emails, case files, dates, and apparent modifications to preserve evidence.

Outline

Initial Evidence of Document Tampering

- The speaker presents emails from solicitor Andrews, provided by Tucker, to prove that official court documentation has been modified.
- An email dated September 1st outlines charges regarding threats to cause criminal damage and threats to Rebecca O'Hare.
- The speaker notes that the initial documents sent by the solicitor, including Rebecca O'Hare's first statement, lack necessary signatures and formal formatting.
- The speaker argues that these unsigned documents should be considered inadmissible in court.

Discrepancies in Case Files

- A new set of documents was sent by Neil on October 10th, three days before the trial, via a Case Ratio link.

- The speaker observes that a document sealed on September 22nd contains an additional statement from Rebecca O'Hare that is backdated to August 7th.
- The speaker claims that new statements were "sneaked in" and substituted without proper amendments or signatures to mislead the defense.
- Modifications are identified where boxes have been placed over text, which the speaker believes was done to redact or cover up his defense.

Technical Verification of File Changes

- The speaker attempts to use a tool called Resource Saver to inspect the website and download previous versions of the files.
- By using the "Inspect" function in a browser, the speaker tries to locate the original assets and scripts to prove the files were changed.
- The technical process involves downloading the site resources to compare the current state of the Case Ratio files against earlier versions.

Keywords

Criminal damage, Solicitor, Legal aid, Police statement, Police officer, Case summary, Bail notice, Defendant's notice, Criminal charges, Criminal case, Court documentation, Documentation tampering, way of life

Speakers

Speaker 1 (100%)

Right, this a video I need to make quickly to show stuff. I need to have in my browser two of my Yahoo accounts open because I need to show two different emails. You know, we'll start with the day is date and time 1015 Sunday blah blah low time 1611 25. Now we're going to go to the first account here, and what this is going to prove is that things have been modified that shouldn't have been in court by official documentation. This email is of Andrews, the solicitor that was given to me by Tucker when they were supposed to be representing me, and it says your case paperwork idea. I've had to send this email twice because I've had to send something to him. No, um, yeah, and it says I the first of September. Now, when we look at the emails, these are all the emails that were sent. But in the first one, he says, "Hi, Andrew Benner. Hi, the charges threats to cause criminal damage. On the second, threats Rebecca O'Hare that he would blow up the vehicle belonging to her as intended. Now I was arguing that they've only got one legal aid form for this, and this wasn't signed for, but they know that anyway. But we're clicking to this email of Andrews. Here's my thing, and we're clicking to it. Now what he says is higher charged to threats, caused criminal damage. Second threats to Rebecca O'Hare. This was in a case very simple. Did you threaten to blow up her car and blow up your neighbour's car? Not this is your word against hers. The rest of the statements from the police are immaterial. So material. So where are the rest of them? They should have been given anyway. But when we go down, he gives me all of the documents, which is Rebecca's one video, let which is a letter of them Rebecca's Statement, yeah. Police officer statement, sire. Sir, section nine. Then we got Rebecca Hare second statement. Then we got PC Chan, PC Williams, case summary PDF. We've got

defendants' notice of grant of bail. We've got Simon Cordell pre cons and PC Obyesi charges and the signature thing. If we go to Rebecca's first statement, we can just go and preview here for a second. We see it in the preview pan pop up there, so we're still in my Word account. There's still two. I can even download, but basically, we see that there's no signatures in what they've sent to me, and no boxes around it. This isn't being tampered, and I complained about this because it means it's inadmissible. They shouldn't be able to use it. They've got no signatures here for her, and this is her statement where she says the statement relates to my neighbor one and nine incidents about described occurred on Burncroft Avenue, second perf floor in January. In January, so we can see it's like this. Now this is in the folds that he sent me. Mysteries. You can see it from the court as well. Bennett and Andrews, Merton, about Tucker's 39 Warren Street. This one is actually it's not Neil sending it to me, but we can see the email. We can go up and down in it, we can see the rest. We can see the count. Now, if we go over to this next one, now Neil on the 10th of October has sent me this because they've finally walked away three days before the trial. It has the case ratio link here, and Neil Magistrates called London suit bad bubble. Somehow they made the whole case ratio things on this day and just sent it to me three days before. But they've added additional statement in it, yeah. On top of adding an additional statement, so this is supposed to be the same case. Now this is the 22nd of the ninth. This is case ratio where we've got one browser there, one there case ratio open and the rest is closed. I come back out again, and we go. This file here. If we hover over it, let me see a second. Is here. It says the 22nd and the ninth, 25. We have this one, this one, this one, this one, and this one, which is in the files. Yeah. This one says section nine. This one is acts on interview. This one's R04 witnesses.

Now this date is 22nd night. When we go in, it should be a sealed document. We click the press the button. It takes us into the document. I can close it. Down here, I can press the button again. Go back into it. Opens back up this. We can go to the top of the document, and we can see that the date is in the document here. So it should be sealed on this date. Any amendments should be marked as amended. We scroll through it. Clearly proves what I was charged for, and that it was the wrong charge and the rest of it. So I'm not even being arrested, but then we go past all of this, and we go straight to this part here. It says Rebecca O'Hare. Now she's got an extra statement in there now, yeah, which is backdated to the seventh of the eighth. Which we know this was sealed on the 22nd, and we ain't in there. We go through and look at her statements. The first statement is the same as the next one, both her second statement. Then this to lead to confusion. Then this is supposed to be her first statement untouched. Now this is the new one that they sneaked in, backdated. Then if we go to that, this there's the third here, and it's I am I'm substitute video Rho one because her seventh statement now makes it seem like she's got two videos. They've put two of these in, but both with the same thing, so they can try to cover that up. There's another statement again, the third of the thing. I am substitute video, and then bam, bam, bam. I scroll again. Now there's our second statement, but someone's modified it. They've put a box around it to cover up my defense and what I'm saying at this present, and I've also put redacted information from it now, and I've done the same here. That the same here, same here. This should never have been touched. I've done the same here three days before trial. It's not legal that all is, yeah. And to modify to paste papers and build that, yeah. That's our second sentence. Let's go on over two or two. We check the statements the same.

The above named individual police Rebecca O'Hare. Yeah, the date the second. The incident that I am in January. Sam 109 list police in January. I was getting a new front door fitted on a Saturday the second I was driving. How they allowed to deal with that? Yeah, and add these boxes around the things, and no, and then still, and then still not dismiss the case and keep me locked up by this, and that's the same for the custody. Now the seventh has got this new one in it as well, yeah, and the seventh, yeah, this wouldn't be in here no longer, yeah. This would this if I went to someone's house and done a statement of a police officer on the seventh, yeah. And you knew you'd made a mistake, or saying you'd backdate this to that. You you you you have to go to the other one, and you'd and you'd make an amendment for what's being said here, and get this signed as an amended, and make it right so that you don't mislead the defense and narrative. Then you'd and then you'd come in here, and then you'd explain everything here, but no one's gone in and signed this statement here, and or made the amendments to this, or put a substitute in explaining it, and they've just gone and substituted this in them five days later. Now that's impossible, and we know that the date was sealed, and that this wasn't in this document before for the other one. How can it be added in and sneaked in like that? It's out of order, innit and backdated all that time. We know that if we go to the things that the actual files, the older this case ratio was made back in the day, we use resource saver on it, which is here. On this one, it won't might not do it quickly, but I'll go inspect. Hang on. On this one here, it plays about. Let me take a copy. I take a copy of the link, for instance, and I go to Google, and I go in here. I paste this here.

I need the password from over here. Give me two seconds just to get the password out. Got that up. Get into this one again. I'm back here. I put my password into this here now. So there's the password. I make sure that resource savers on there. I just open it up. I go inspect now. I'm inspect now. I go and I activate Resource Saver, which is here Resource Saver. I go there. I press Save All Resources. I save the website. Now I can open the website. There's the files there from the site being downloaded. Open them up now. There's app case ratio just downloaded now. 16th to 11th, 16th to 11th. There's the time there. We open this up, and in app ratio, we find the cloud file in the cloud thing in the index called this. gonna open. I'm gonna might have the wrong location, but I blocked it from happening. Assets. They've gone and changed it maybe for a second. Oh, I can't remember. Take me a second to play logo cloud font awesome runtime. None of these scripts were in there before. me throw it on my desktop because it's maybe inside of a raw. So because I'm not unrad it, so I put it on my desktop and then we'll shut and open it. Edit with Notepad, main body. I'm going in the wrong place. I'd have to check where I went before, but anyway, it is mostly somewhere in there. That's what's happened before, and that's where I played the other way. Awesome. I don't know. Someone's changed all this. I'm gonna get out.