Speaker 2 (Mr Cordell):
I think they've stopped answering private numbers.
I don't know what's going on.
They're trying to avoid everything that's going on.
They're not answering private numbers.

Speaker 1 (Court Staff):
Hello.
May I ask who I'm speaking to please?

Speaker 2 (Mr Cordell):
Hello Paul.
It's Simon.
Mr Simon Cordell.
I'm trying to resolve this case.
Basically, I've been trying to get an emergency application brought back before the court so I can show that I wasn't served, that the affidavit wasn't served on me, and show the corruption within the process.
I would like the case reviewed.
Since 2016 I've had continuous applications made against me through this court.
They don't have signatures next to the seals and many of the pages don't have seals at all.
I'm being persecuted.
I've never been allowed to explain my side of events.
I'm still suffering.
I've had doctors come to my house today because a judge ordered an assessment.
She believes I can't understand whether I was served or not because I attended court on the 5th while she says I was served on the 1st.
The affidavit of service should be served by hand.
Posting it through my letterbox wasn't proper service.
Even if putting it through the letterbox was enough, I wasn't at home.
My mother collected the affidavit anyway.
I don't understand why I'm still suffering under an injunction when I shouldn't be.
It's a miscarriage of justice.
The doctors said today that there was nothing wrong with me.
They're saying today's visit wasn't even the assessment.
They only attended because the police referred them after I was arrested for the threats to kill allegation.
They're saying they were there because of the police referral, not because of the judge's order.
They've looked at the reports, the website and everything.
They don't know what to do.
No one has contacted me regarding the assessment.
The letter from the judge says because I attended court on the 5th she believes I was served.
The order isn't sealed and signed.
I only received it on the 14th and all the dates in it had already passed by the 13th.
I've cooperated.
I've contacted the doctors.

They came and saw me today.
They said there's nothing wrong.
They've left.
How many assessments do I have to go through just to prove that I have evidence showing I wasn't served?

Speaker 1 (Court Staff):
What's your case number?

Speaker 2 (Mr Cordell):
Let me just get one of the books out.
E00D049.
I'm confused because the case itself is over.
It's about Lemmy saying I threatened him on the phone.
From what I understand, threats to kill without an offensive weapon is not the most serious category of offence.
I've got the recording.
I phoned Lemmy and showed him that I knew information about him.
He said:
"I'm going to kill you and your mother."
They had already ignored 500 emails and allowed my neighbours to attack me.
They never dealt with the formal complaints.
Then he went and did all of this on top of that.
There is so much wrong with the paperwork.
I've never once been allowed to show any of it.
I want an appointment so I can come down and show a judge what is actually going on.
I don't want to continue suffering because of the court's mistakes.

Speaker 1 (Court Staff):
Let me check for you.
One moment.

Speaker 2 (Mr Cordell):
Alright, thank you.

Speaker 1 (Court Staff):
One moment.

Speaker 2 (Mr Cordell):
What I understand is that a judge previously told me that the injunction wasn't active against me.
I asked whether the hearing was being recorded and he said yes.
He said I could request a transcript.
He told me the injunction wasn't on me and that he wanted to review the affidavit of service to see whether it was served lawfully.
In the meantime, he said it wasn't in force.
Now Lemmy started making up further allegations.

The family upstairs who are making allegations against me are using different names.
I've got evidence of it all on my website.
They got married under different names.
The names used in the paperwork aren't their real names.
One of them owns many properties in another name.
A doctor's note included an address which led me to other information.
I've carried out research and found what I believe are links between different names, addresses and properties.
I'd like to show all of this evidence.
I'd like to come to court and show that I'm not crazy, that I've done my research and that I've got evidence.

Speaker 1 (Court Staff):
I'm looking at the case.
I don't know if you're aware, but the matter has been relisted on an urgent basis for the 26th of this month.

Speaker 2 (Mr Cordell):
But the 26th isn't urgent when I'm explaining all of this.
These people are attacking me in my home every day.
They're using this injunction.
They're phoning the authorities.
I'm not even allowed to contact the council until 2019.
I used to phone the council directors and explain what was happening.
Now I'm not allowed to.
I'm not allowed to start projects, festivals or any work.
You're stopping everything I'm doing.
You're stopping me contacting my landlords and negotiating about my safety in the property.
Now you're telling me I have to wait until the 26th.
I need something faster than that because I'm at risk.
Lemmy created the first possession application using old cases that I'd already won.
I've got the Freedom of Information records from the council systems.
His manager received information confirming those cases had been dealt with.
Despite that, he continued.
The first complaints against me from the neighbours were in 2016.
They said they believed I stabbed tyres.
They said they believed I was a drug addict.
They admitted they couldn't prove it.
Lemmy knew those matters were finished.
He still threatened possession proceedings unless I attended meetings at the Civic Centre.
I told him it was wrong.
He used those old matters in possession proceedings.
When I asked for the supporting witness statements, there weren't any.
Later he started collecting new statements and reusing wording from the old cases.
Then he began copying and pasting information throughout the files.

I've got records showing when complaints were actually made and where information was copied into later applications.
I challenged the first injunction.
The judge knew there were problems.
Then another injunction was filed.
Then another.
Not once have I been allowed to explain everything properly to a judge.
Every day I'm in my home while these people continue attacking me.
My dog paws constantly.
I've lost a baby because of all this.
I can't work because of the banging.
The day I was released from the police station I came home and found no affidavit of service.
I showed all of this to the doctors today.
They left not knowing what to do.
I'm furious because no one is letting me show the truth.
I'm the one suffering.

Speaker 1 (Court Staff):
I can appreciate that.
I'm going to leave you there, Paul.
You seem like a decent person.
I know the 26th feels a long way away, but that's when the matter will be heard.

Speaker 2 (Mr Cordell):
I appreciate you saying that, sir.
All I want is for them to recognise the mistake in the first injunction and the missing signatures.
They don't have to admit everything else.
They can simply say there was a miscarriage of justice or an error.
I want compensation for the years I've lost.
I want to be eased back into the community.
It's the safest way.
I don't want people losing their jobs.
I think that's the most professional solution for everybody.

Speaker 1 (Court Staff):
I'm hoping, for your sake, that the judge reaches the right decision.

Speaker 2 (Mr Cordell):
My evidence is solid.
My website is there.
I've got support from my family and from other people.
If anything happens to me, they'll continue.
People can check the hospital records and see how many people supported me when I was there.
I've got a lot of support.

Speaker 1 (Court Staff):

I'm going to leave you there.
Have a good day, Paul.

Speaker 2 (Mr Cordell):
Thank you, sir.
Have a good day.
[End of transcript]