Transcription

♪ 11 ♪ 11, a Thank you. ♪ ♪ Hello? Hello, how you doing? Hello there. Um, hey there, may I ask who I'm speaking to please? My name's Michelle, Legal Aid. Hey there Michelle, I'm Mr. Cordell, Simon Cordell, and I have an ongoing case at the present which is the London Borough of Enfield fee myself, Mr. Simon Cordell. Um, I have a Legal Aid certificate that was granted for myself which I appreciate and that was granted from the dates of the 15th of the 2nd 2019 and it is under review at the present time to my understanding from the 20th of the 11th 2019. Okay, do you have the certificate reference? Yeah I do have that here for you. That's 3000 0052 0852. 0852. Oh, you don't care about me. Mr. Cordell, bear with me. I'm going to put you on hold just because my... No, not at all. Oh, brilliant. Thank you so much. I'll be in a second. All right. Hi Mr. Cordo, thanks for holding there. Okay, do you want to give me that reference number again? It's 3000 0052 0852. Mr. Simon Paul Cordell. Brilliant. And your date of birth? 26th 1st 1981. And your full address? 109 Burncroft Avenue, Enfield, Echo, November 3, 7 Juliet, Quebec. To my understanding, I've been stuck in malicious prosecution for a little while now with official public servants from Enfield Council and the Metropolitan Police. They falsified an ASBO on me, which gave me eight years. And they changed all of the police officers names in it to names like a devil in me and stuff that way you're not even allowed to have them names as a Christian name and sort of thing so I've been going through the process with that with the ASBO and a curfew which has got me in my house sort of thing but while that's been going on Enfield Council and the Metropolitan Police both made the ASBO together and know that I'm that I'm onto them for lots of different reasons in the folder for wrongful prosecution. So Enfield Council sneaked in on the sly, not because I didn't realise that it took both of them to make the ASBO, and they've basically started filing prosecution. They went for possession of my home straight away, then they went for an injunction order, then another injunction order, then another possession order, now another possession order, which is this one. Legal aid has been granted throughout them all, and But what my concern was is they used the same claims in the first possession order and once I'd won the case, refiled them again in another one and then refiled and kept refiling, which is malicious prosecution, malicious process. Through me having to get, like, there's been like, 15,000 pages of emails and official documents alone just coming from the side of this where officials have got involved and there's been a lot of foul play I would say. My main concern is I noticed that I wasn't in the house, I was on bail conditions to live somewhere else. My house, when Enfield Council decided they were in trouble for the ASBO, so they thought they'd go for a procession order and call loads of doctors and all that. I've never been diagnosed with a mental illness or nothing like that, they just kept attacking me and I've kept proving that there's no reason for them to be involved in my life. But basically, back to the case, what the council's done is they didn't realise I was on bowel conditions not to come back to my house. So because they were stuck for the ASBO and started putting this smoke screen up about me and starting loads of other problems, they basically forged 38 incidents and they thought I was in my house and went for a procession order. And I could prove that I

wasn't in my house, yeah, now I could prove, hang on, well here's my bowel condition, I weren't in the house, this is all maliciously done, and I put an FOI into their computers, and I can prove that the other half of what they've added on, which is like another 58 claims total now, ain't even in their own computer's history sort of thing, ain't in the council's history, so basically they've, they've, Yeah, Marcelizator, so Marcelizator to a degree, I've never spoke to him yet, he seems to be avoiding me because we went to court in the first instance, where the same lower court keeps dealing with it and allowing it to be reprocessed, the same claims that have been knocked out, basically, me and Marcelizator was really, when I first got in, we went in there and we was basically tearing the prosecution's case apart. The judge got concerned in knowing what they were doing and he's advised my solicitor and the prosecution to work together and go outside and talk so I got left in a courtroom with my mother they've gone out and talked now ever since they've talked to each other my solicitor doesn't seem to have my best interest at heart but for me to say that to him could cause a problem because it's just my opinion to a degree I've got no evidence to that to the contrary of their facts so I don't want to push him aside and cause legal aid more problems and call and you can imagine the embarrassment that gets caused by it or so I've just stuck with him and I've been keeping the documentation documenting it down now recently the council's realised how much trouble they're in and how much I can actually prove all of this so what they've done is they've been writing to each other and they're saying that they want everyone to bear their own cost that's what it's about at the moment because I've took their insurance policies off of the council two £50 million policies and I've done the same to the police and the rest of them so I've got all their insurance policies and I'm going through the process but Because they keep filing the same claims, I can't go to the insurance company and put a claim in because it's still in the judge's hands where they're letting it be circled around continuous, if that makes any sense. I'll be honest with you, though, Mr. Caudill, I'm not legally trained. I can appreciate that. I can appreciate that. What my concern is, madam, I appreciate it. Who would best advise on the best course of action. What they may suggest is Well my main concern is right now madam is, at the end of it all, is I can prove all of this and now we're stuck in court process where I've never got to trial with all of these cases or nothing, we've been in preliminary hearing stage and now I can prove this. Now we're supposed to be going to the court on the 12th of December for the first time for a preliminary hearing which is in a couple of days. They've realised that since the second, the first preliminary hearing they've been avoiding it. Now they realise how much trouble they're in. This is what I'm phoning you about at the present time. My solicitor has somehow done a negotiation behind my back, not with my legal consent, and somehow they've agreed. They've sent me a letter here. The certificate was placed under review on the 20th of November, 2009. For the following reasons your case has been reviewed in the light of an offer to discontinue pursuit so the council put an offer in and what their offer is is that because there's been no more incidents they want to drop the cases so I'm saying well I'm not happy. I want to go to court, and this is my legal right, to prove that I'm not accepting your offer that I've been on good behaviour. I can prove that you've forged a whole lot. Now, they've sent this here saying that your case has been reviewed in the light of an offer to discontinue pursuit of the claim by the claimant. It is therefore unreasonable for further funding to be given by civil legal aid under the relevant funding code criteria. And basically, they go on. What they're saying is, Because they've put an offer in that I've been on good behaviour and there's been no more incidents, they don't want to go to court because they know I'm going to prove that they've all done this fraudulently. I don't think they have the legal grounds to be able to do this without my consent and to cancel this certificate at the present. And I'm just wanting to find where I actually stand with legal aid. Well, I tell you where I would go, I want to try and get you to the senior caseworker because, you know, without your consent, you're right, I can appreciate

you're very frustrated with what's going on. Yeah, I can appreciate it. I wouldn't want to cause you no... But if you're happy to bear with us, I'll do that now, OK? OK, thank you. Simon, I want to pop in to look at Charlotte who is a Senior Caseworker who is going to be much more qualified as how to direct you with this better than I can, okay?

Okay, thank you. I hope you have a good day. No problem. You too, okay? Take care now. Bye bye.

Good afternoon, you're speaking to Charlotte. My colleague transferred you through in my file in relation to your query. How you doing?

Um i understand these certificates being closed if the um opponents in the proceedings have offered to settle the matter yeah they've offered to settle the matter in the light that i've basically been on good behavior but they've been allowed to file this same case um in a processional order it started with then they put it into another that i won that and the judge refused for it to be open then they put the same claims again into a uh... An injunction or a one that the judge refused for that to be a minute three-fold again in another injunction would rock the top denver refold again and not the session on our own and the third processional to win a reclaimed the same thing i can't prove all these claims i want in my house I was on bail conditions not to be at my house, yeah? And they know that I know this and they know that I can prove it. Now, behind my back somehow, my solicitor seems to have negotiated an out-of-court settlement and they've come to the...

What they say in the letter here, if I can just brief in short, if that's okay with yourself. We refer to your legal aid certificate which was issued on the 15th of the 2nd of 19. The certificate was placed under review on the 20th of 11th of 19. So would that be reviewed by yourselves, I'm asking, or reviewed by them? It's under review on the basis that they send us information about your case. Basically, the information they gave us was that they've been placed...

Yeah, I can appreciate that. Yeah, that's what I thought. Okay. No, I'm not...

What this continues to say...

What this continues to say is your case has been reviewed in the light of an offer to discontinue pursuit of the claim by the claimant. Now they've filed this, this same case has been filed for over four years. Plus they've done an ASBO which they forged and they changed all the police officers names to like a devil in me and P Twiddly and all that. You're not even allowed these names on a birth certificate when you're registering a child in this country in the beginning. They're missing all their victim signatures, all the MG11 forms have got no signatures and they're all on second... All the timestamps of the call centres go backwards. They've continuously said racial hatred things. They've opened up all of the crickets and then edited them months later. Changed all the every police officer out of the borough because they only wanted edmund and coppers to deal with it every other cake they've gone into the computer like 2011 2012 and they've took all the other cases out they've changed all the urn numbers took like that in 2004 No, no, no, this was the police that actually done this, but the police and the council together done it, because they both have to make an ASBO together. So then because I got onto them for all these sort of things, they put a smoke screen up and started tarnishing my name and my reputation, definition of character, and then they went for the possession order. They didn't realise I wasn't in the house, and now

they've been trying to continue. Now, I'm not happy to accept this as an out-of-court settlement. I've lost four years of my life just here, and I was just wondering... My solicitor seems to be, it's very hard to play because it's very hard to get a solicitor. You know, like four cases into it now, just on the procession. Imagine like I had to get legal aid for the first procession order. No, we didn't have to get for the first procession order because they didn't go to the second. But the first injunction order I had to get legal aid. The second injunction order I got another legal aid certificate. Then the next procession order I got legal aid certificate. Then they reopened that procession order and I got another certificate here and there. Plus I had to get legal aid for the ASBO in the beginning which they forged. And this is one group of people they're acting in joint circular which is a breach of ethics on the code of conduct 2010 and are basically they're acting in fraud under the companies at 2006 it's malicious process and malicious prosecution they've robbed so much of my life in time and locked me if you did they never arrested me for the ASBO and for the organization of illegal race it says illegal I never got arrested yeah and so I never got no fair interview and nothing and then basically they've set me up in the maximum sentence if you did get arrested and they did have enough evidence for criminal prosecution is six months some had a baby an eight-year curfew in my house and they're trying to cover this all up with these other cases I keep having to tap into legal a to to get some support in it and now this is this is here he was warned off by the judge in the lower court who kept allowing the case to be refiled and told them that they have to work together and sent my solicitor and the barrister out together, I prosecuted. Then ever since my solicitor's been acting funny, it's like he just wants to lie on his own cost and lie on my solicitor's cost and they're saying that I've got to bear all of my own costs. I've not gone down the official route with him as of yet because I didn't know that they had done all of this behind my back till just recently, so I'm contacting... What do you mean? Can you explain that part again, madam, please? So if you wanted to maybe take action against the police or the authorities for...

Yeah, that's a separate claim, a separate pursuit. You would need legal advice in relation to that.

Yeah, I can appreciate that. Looking at the possession matter, it has been discharged, so...

Without my legal consent, is that legal for them to actually do that?

If you disagree with the discharge of the certificate, speak to your solicitor. I've already done that and I've got a recording explaining that to him and then he's shouting at me, you just don't stop, just shut up. I've never even met this guy before, but obviously I've said to him, look, this is the first time, I've been trying to meet you for the last, since the 2nd of January, since this legal aid was, you've never met me once, you won't arrange a meeting with me. You're telling me you will not have a meeting with me from the second of this year until... He was saying on the 12th of the 12th at the first preliminary hearing which the judge was going to have, which is like a year later, at least the first time we attend court, he's saying that after that he'll have a meeting with me. Now they're all running away because they know what evidence I can prove and what I can prove. It's not fair what they're doing. I didn't want this legal aid certificate cut. I want to go to the court on 12th and prove what happened. The certificate could be discharged or alternatively because the certificate is now closed you could seek assistance from a different solicitor and put in a new application?

Putting a new application. So basically I understand what you're saying to a degree but what you're saying is my solicitor has fraudulently said that he's got my consent to do this and he's

done an out of court settlement and you have the paperwork there saying that this is now shut down because I have accepted this offer. So, so, I can understand that, but if the other side isn't pursuing it under the grounds that they don't have enough evidence, then that's fair and a clear NFA. But these officials have wrote over 15,000 pages trying to get the doctors to come and get me. I've took all the Rio and everything. I can prove what they've all done, saying they're going to get me on tablets, they're going to do this to me, ruin my life. It's maybe a matter of seeking some legal advice to take. But how can legal aid itself... It's a flaw. So it's a pursuit but I don't mean but how can legal aid except any offered so what you're saying is there is a new post somewhere in the system between the system is slightly previous any person any person go with a company credit card any official can go over company credit card pursue against the person continuously that's Latin that sibling will have to go and get legal aid and the second that the council realized that they're in that they're in trouble all they have to do is put any form of offering and use it will cancel can forget everything that they've all done It's under the Justice Act 2000. It's up to the solicitor to administer the case and keep us updated to what's happening. So if they're revising us, that the other side are no longer taking action against you, then legal aid is no longer needed. If legal aid... No, otherwise legal aid isn't... They want to backdoor out of their criminal activities. I understand what you're saying to agree but I completely disagree with it and the logic behind it and how any company, multi-billion pound company can just file pursuit with a company credit card and then when they realise that they're in that much trouble because their employees who are supposed to be acting as reasonable persons have acted fraudulent or negligent or with gross misconduct they can just write to USEDOT and then say to USEDOT well we want to drop it under these grounds. Thank you for your time. I understand what you're saying. So the case has been closed and dismissed and I don't have no reason to go to court on the 12th? Is that what you're saying under the grounds that I've been on good behaviour? I can't give you legal advice. All I can advise is the certificate is closed so legal aid won't be there to help you with the hearing but you do have the right to appeal that decision. Okay, thank you, madam. I hope you have a good day. You too. Bye-bye. Bye.