

IMPORTANT UPDATE — What Happened Today 18TH OF August 2026

Today everything finally connected, and I understood what had been happening behind my back while I was blocked from entering my mother's home.

I was contacted by **Aleana Knox** from the Metropolitan Police. She called me because I had never gone to the police station to sign the new bail conditions as I refused to sign them when I was originally arrested for bail.

She told me that my bail conditions had now changed and that I was allowed to go to **23 Byron Terrace**. This was the first time since before my mother's funeral passed and I was not allowed to attend due to bail conditions wrongfully imposed that I was legally allowed to return back to my home. I understood from her tone that she had spoken to **Deon** and **Enfield Council**, and that they had agreed, or she would not be agreeing! She also advised me that she personally has asked for the case to be No further actioned in my favor but awaits the CPS still.

Exhibit A1:

1. What Happened Today — 17 August 2026

This morning at **9 AM**, I received a call from Michael Carroll's secretary. She told me that my bail conditions have now been "**Agreed As Varied**" and that I must attend at **8 AM** tomorrow to sign them. "**No Police Station Was Specified.**" I thanked her for the update, but I explained that this does not resolve the original procedural defect. What is happening today is directly connected to what happened on **9th of May**, and the police are now trying to fix a process that was never lawfully completed.

2. My Refusal on 9 May 2026: And the Legal Requirements Not Followed

On **9th of May 2026** at Wood Green custody suite, when the officer first took me out of the police cell, he told me I was being released on police bail with conditions. Before we even reached the custody desk, I immediately asked him whether any of those conditions would restrict me from 23 Byron Terrace. He said yes, and at that moment in time, before any paperwork was attempted to be shown or read out by the sergeant or OIC in the case. I already understood that any restriction on my access to 23 Byron Terrace would **Breach My Legal Rights, Including My Human Rights**, because of my bereavement, my succession case, my status as the primary resident, and the fact that my chosen support was in the property with me at the time of arrest, I knew that a bail condition excluding me from my home would unlawfully interfere with my **Legal Rights** in respect for my home and private life under **Article 8 ECHR**.

When we arrived at the custody desk and the bail process began, I refused to sign. I asked for a solicitor, either on the phone or in person, and that request was denied. I then refused to listen to the bail conditions being read out and stated clearly that the officers in charge "**Needed To Be Varied Because They Breached My Human Rights**" and my legal rights in relation to 23 Byron Terrace.

Under the Bail Act 1976 and PACE Code C, refusal to sign bail requires an immediate court hearing *unless* the custody sergeant and the officer in charge decide to vary the conditions on the spot. "**Those are the only two lawful options!**" I demonstrated that I understood this: I refused to accept conditions that would unlawfully exclude me from my home, and I made clear that either the conditions had to be varied immediately or I had to be remanded to court.

Neither lawful option was taken. Instead, I was removed from police custody suite forcefully even though I complied before any need for force was used, the bail process itself was unlawful.

3. Unlawful Release Without Signature

Despite my refusal and despite the legal requirement to either vary the conditions or remand me to court, the custody sergeant and officers released me anyway. "**There Was**

No Lawful Service Of The MG4A, No Signature From Me, The Form Was Not Signed In Front Of Me By Any Witness Signature Or Officers Due To My Refusal While In My Presence And I Received No Copy Of The Bail Sheet While In Custody.

As my own record states: **“I Refused The Conditions And They Decided It Was Ok To Release Me And Not Detain Me To Court! No Legal Signature No Legal Binding Agreement.”**

This means the bail conditions were never lawfully imposed at the point of my release.

4. CAD and Custody Record Left Open — System Failure

After my release, the custody record and CAD were left open. Shift changes failed to recognise my absence and the record remained open for days. The sergeant failed to review at 24 hours, and the officer in the case failed to submit the case to CPS.

During this period, I repeatedly contacted **MET CCC “Because I Had No Paperwork And No Clear Bail Conditions!”** MET CCC sent multiple texts confirming CAD references and, crucially, one message on 9 May 2026 stating:

“I Have Passed To Our Dispatch And Control Room Team For Them To Contact The Officer In Charge And Get Him To Contact You Explaining Your Bail Conditions.”

This proves that, even on **9th of May 26**, the conditions were not properly recorded or explained, and the officer had not contacted me.

5. Officer’s Admission — Bail Conditions Were Not Uploaded

MET CCC also told me that the bail conditions were not visible on the system and that the custody record was still open. They advised me to contact the officer in charge.

When I eventually reached him on his mobile, he went away to check and then admitted that the CAD/custody record was still open. He said he had **“Just Closed It”** and had **“Just Uploaded”** the bail conditions, and that he would send me a bail sheet.

This confirms that the bail conditions were only uploaded after my repeated contact and **MET CCC’s** escalation and not at the time of my release.

6. Rob Johnston’s Email — PNC and CPS Delay Confirmed

On **18 June 2026**, DS/PC Rob Johnston wrote to me:

“Concerning The Issues Of The Closing Of The Custody Record, This Resulted In PNC Not Being Immediately Updated.” “Your Case Is Being Submitted To The CPS For A Charging Decision.”

This proves:

- 1) PNC Was Not Updated Because The Custody Record Was Left Open**
- 2) The Case Had NOT Been Submitted Earlier**
- 3) CPS Could Not Review The Case Until After 18 June 26**
- 4) The Delay Was Caused Entirely By Police Procedural Failures**

7. Why PSD Cannot Fix This Now

From past negative experiences, I understand that a formal complaint to **“Professional Standards Will Not Be Investigated While A Criminal Case Is Active.”** PSD will only log the complaint and wait until the case concludes.

This means that filing a complaint now would not correct the bail errors, custody errors, **CONNECT/PNC Errors**, or **CPS Submission Failures**. I will remain in the same bail conditions until the case ends.

For This Reason, The Correct Method During An Active Case Is To: raise concerns directly with **“The Officers Involved, Which I Did.”** Despite this, no rectification was made at the time.

8. Why Asking Me to Sign Now Is Contradictory

The Police Bail Conditions of the 9 May 2026 were:

- 1) Unsigned,
- 2) Unserved,
- 3) Unexplained,
- 4) And Never Lawfully Imposed.

Because the officer attempted to apply conditions **Without Signature, Without Service, And Without Explanation**, the police are now trying to claim those same conditions “**Still Applied,**” yet they are simultaneously insisting I must attend at **8 AM** to sign them.

- **THIS IS THE CORE CONTRADICTION.**

If unsigned, unserved conditions were valid in May, then **Unsigned Conditions Would Apply Automatically Now**, meaning there is **No Need** for me to attend at **8 AM** to sign anything.

They cannot have it both ways.

Either:

- 1) **Bail conditions require lawful service and signature** → in which case the conditions were **never validly imposed on 9 May, OR**
- 2) **Bail conditions apply automatically without signature** → in which case asking me to sign now is **unnecessary, contradictory, and procedurally impossible.**


There is no third option.

Kind Regards

Mr. S. P. Cordell

Shortly after the call, I received a **text message from the Met Police** confirming the new bail conditions.

Exhibit A2:



Once I had permission, I went straight to the house.

When I arrived, I found the home **badly damaged**. The sentimental things, the furniture, the cooker and expensive belongings was gone. There was **no mail** anywhere from the council or police to do with my claim of success. The place looked disturbed, like someone had been through it and taken what they wanted and left the rest to be thrown in a skip and took. I took **Pictures** and **video** of everything to record the condition of the property. I then **changed the locks** to secure the home.

After seeing the damage, I contacted my aunt Sheila by SMS to ask what had happened. She replied by sending me a notice she had received from the council — a **Section 41 notice** from **Georgina**. The image she sent me was unreadable, blurry, and impossible to make out. I used **Fine Abby Reader** to OCR the image so I could read the text properly. Once I had a readable version, I added it to my binder **between exhibits 25 and 26**.

Exhibit A3:

15:20

5G 25



You

13 July, 15:42



Personal Reps of Miss Lorraine Cordell
23 Byron Terrace,
London, N9 7DG

Please reply to:

Email: Georgina.Solant@enfield.gov.uk
Phone:
Telephone: 0204 534 2653
Fax:
My Ref:
Your Ref:
Date: 10 July 2026

Dear Personal Reps of Miss Lorraine Cordell

Re: **DISCLAIMER – Section 41 Local Government (Misc Prov) Act 1982**
Personal property at 23 Byron Terrace, Edmonton, London, N9 7DG.

You should be made aware that this letter constitutes a Notice under Section 41
Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982.

The Notice requires that you do collect within one month of the date herein above, all
property still deposited at **23 Byron Terrace, Edmonton, London, N9 7DG.**

If you should fail to make arrangements for the collection of goods by **Sunday 09**
August 2026 ownership of your possessions will vest in the London Borough of
Enfield.

This will mean that you will have no entitlement to claim for the goods and that
London Borough of Enfield, will be at liberty to dispose of the goods without your
prior consent.

Section 41 of The Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982
allows the Council to dispose of any perishable property or property where storage
would involve the Council in unreasonable expense or inconvenience.

The Housing Group considers that, included in the category of "too inconvenient"
would be any large items of furniture.

The Housing Group also considers that the costs of storage would be an
unreasonable expense and the decision would be to dispose of any property
remaining in the dwelling after **Sunday 09 August 2026.**

Yours sincerely,

Reply



Here: Received 18-08-26

Sent by aunt what's app. After I OCR image

Personal Reps of Miss Lorraine Cordell 23 Byron
Terrace
London
N9 7DG

PLEASE REPLY TO

Email: Georgina Solan

Telephone: 0204 504
2653

Fax:

My Ref:

Your Ref:

Date: 10 July 2026

Dear Personal Reps of Miss Lorraine Cordell

Re: DISCLAIMER – Section 41 Local Government (Misc Prov) Act 1982 Personal property at 23 Byron Terrace. Edmonton. London. N9 7DG

You should be made aware that this letter constitutes a Notice under Section 41 Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982.

The Notice requires that you do collect within one month of the date herein above. All property is still deposited at 23 Byron Terrace. Edmonton. London. N9 7DG.

If you should fail to make arrangements for the collection of your goods by Sunday 09 August 2026 ownership of your of your possessions will vest in the London Borough of Enfield.

This will mean that you will have no entitlement to claim for the goods and that London Borough of Enfield will be at liberty to dispose of the goods without your prior consent.

Section 41 of The Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982 allows the Council to dispose of any perishable property or property where storage would involve the Council in unreasonable expense or inconvenience.

The Housing Group considers that. Included In the category of 'too inconvenient' would be any large items of furniture.

The Housing Group also considers that the costs of storage would be an unreasonable expense, and the decision would be to dispose of any property remaining in the dwelling after Sunday 09 August 2026.

Yours sincerely.

“Reading that notice broke my heart due to what she has done!”

It explained why the home had been emptied. It said all property at 23 Byron Terrace had to be collected within one month, and if not, the council would take ownership and dispose of it. It said large items were **“Too Inconvenient”** and storage was **“Too Expensive.”** and it will become council property. It was the first time I realised Sheila had received this notice, acted on it, and never told me — while I was legally blocked from entering the home and had no chance to protect anything.

After understanding what happened, I wrote an official complaint explaining everything I had discovered.

Exhibit Below A4:

**URGENT
FORMAL COMPLAINT**

COMPLAINANT

Name: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

Address: 23 Byron Terrace,
Hertford Road,
Edmonton,
London
N9 7DG

Email: re_wired@ymail.com

Tel: 07864 217 519

RESPONDENT

Enfield Council Housing & Regeneration: Edmonton Centre, 36-44 South Mall, Edmonton Green, N9 0TN.

OFFICER SUBJECT TO COMPLAINT

Georgina Solan,

Resident Relationship Officer

Email: georgina.solan@enfield.gov.uk

Tel: 0204 534 2653

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This complaint concerns “Gross Misconduct By Enfield Council Officer Georgina Solan, Who:

1. **Deliberately Misrepresented Housing Law To Metropolitan Police:** (17 May 2026), causing my unlawful exclusion from my home under false bail conditions!
2. **Fraudulently Misled Me:** (10 July 2026) that my succession application was **"Under Review"** while **simultaneously issuing a Section 41 notice to hostile third parties** to dispose of my property
3. **Bypassed Me Entirely:** the lawful applicant and bereaved son, to deal with my estranged sister, knowing I was legally barred from accessing the property due to her own actions!
4. **Caused Loss Of £100,000+ Property:** including comic collection, £30,000 cash, and destruction of my mother's home!

This was **more than negligence**. This was **intentional harm through procedural manipulation**.

CHRONOLOGY OF GROSS MISCONDUCT

PHASE 1: THE SETUP (Enfield Councils Housing Staff Concealment of Rights)

Date	Event	Misconduct & Legal Errors		File Name
7 April 2026	My mother, Miss Lorraine Cordell, dies. I am her eldest son, living at 23 Byron Terrace as my only and principal home	Nothing yet — but Council records show I was known as household member	—	
11 May 2026, 08:59	I phone Enfield Council to report death and request succession process	Customer service creates internal request but no reference number	Administrative gap created	
11 May	I send formal email requesting succession	I explicitly state: <i>"I have lived at 23 Byron Terrace as</i>	—	01. Sent.pdf

Date	Event	Misconduct & Legal Errors		File Name
2026, 12:54		<i>part of the household for many years. All of my official correspondence (council, police, DWP, banks, NHS) is addressed to this property."</i>		01.1. Sent.pdf 02. Received.pdf
11 May 2026, 15:32	Georgina Solan responds to my succession request	<u>Deliberate concealment of statutory rights.</u> Despite my explicit statement that I lived at 23 Byron Terrace for years with all official correspondence addressed there, <u>She Fails</u> to inform me that I am a <u>protected occupant with immediate right to remain under Housing Act 1985.</u> By withholding this critical information, she ensured that I did not assert my rights, making me vulnerable to exclusion. She treats the property as vacant and me as a trespasser, laying groundwork for the false narrative she gives police six days later.	1) Deliberate or reckless omission of statutory rights 2) Breach of duty to advise vulnerable resident 3) Breach of Housing Act 1985, s.87 4) Breach of Enfield Council Tenancy Policy Section 3.11 Constructive fraud — creating conditions for harm by concealment	03. Received.pdf
17 May 2026	CRITICAL: EMAIL TO MET POLICE	<u>Deliberate misrepresentation of housing law.</u> Georgina Solan sends email to Metropolitan Police with this false legal statement: She writes: <u>"Until a succession application is submitted, assessed, and approved, Mr. Cordell has no confirmed legal right of occupation under the tenancy."</u>	1) Deliberate misrepresentation of housing law to police 2) Breach of statutory duty 3) Caused my unlawful exclusion	0. 1Met & Council Police Cad.pdf

Date	Event	Misconduct & Legal Errors		File Name
		This is legally false . Under Housing Act 1985 s.87, I had immediate right to occupy as family member living at property as my principal home. This false statement directly caused my exclusion via police bail conditions.		
18 May 2026	I submit completed succession application and supporting statement	I provide evidence of 39 years residence, care for mother, disability needs, court order requiring 2-bedroom property	—	04. Sent 18-05-26.pdf 04.1. New Succession application 18-05-26.pdf 04.2. Housing Succession Request 18-05-26.pdf 05. Received.pdf
19 May 2026	I provide extensive ID and evidence	11 folders of documents proving residence at 23 Byron Terrace	—	06. Sent 19-05-26.pdf 07. Received.pdf 08. Sent 19-05-26.pdf
20 May 2026	Notice to Quit served Georgina Solan emails me	Premature hostile action. She States: <i>"A Notice to Quit was served on the property yesterday" and "'Left in Occupation' account will be set up"</i> This was NOT standard procedure. She accelerated termination of the secure tenancy before succession decision, while police exclusion was being enforced based on her false 17 May email. The "Left in Occupation" account transformed me from protected family member with succession rights into commercial licensee with no security,	1) Premature hostile action against bereaved resident applicant 2) Serving Notice to Quit while succession pending is improper and prejudicial 3) Deliberate degradation of legal status 4) Misrepresentation of procedure	09. Received 20-05-26.pdf

Date	Event	Misconduct & Legal Errors		File Name
		deliberately degrading my legal status to facilitate my eventual exclusion and defeat my succession claim. She told me this was "standard procedure to take deceased person's name off" — this was misleading. The proper procedure is to maintain the tenancy pending succession assessment, not terminating it.	5) Breach of Housing Ombudsman guidance Breach of duty to preserve status quo pending decision	
20 May 2026	I provide interim death certificate and explain need for 2nd bedroom due to disability/care needs	I Explained The 2018 Edmonton Lower Court Order Due To Enfield Council Requesting It From The Start Against Me and the new police, bail restrictions due to Deon my Sisters case and, my vulnerability at 109 Burncroft Avenue.	She ignores safeguarding duty	10. Sent 20-05-26.pdf 11. Received 20-05-26.pdf 12. Sent 20-05-26.pdf 13. Sent 20-05-26.pdf 14. Sent 20-05-26 New Email Used.pdf 15. Received 12-06-26.pdf 16. Sent 16-06-26.pdf 17. Sent 16-06-26.pdf

PHASE 2: THE EXCLUSION (Active Harm — Police Bail Based on Her False Advice)

Date	Event	Misconduct & Legal Errors		Files
May-June 2026	Police enforce bail conditions excluding me from 23 Byron Terrace	Joint Council-Police misconduct. DS Johnson	1) Council and Police joint misconduct	

Date	Event	Misconduct & Legal Errors		Files
		relies on her 17 May email to justify exclusion. I am made homeless, unable to access belongings, manage mother's estate, or secure property.	2) PACE 1984 breach — police interfering in civil housing	
23 June 2026	I send urgent email begging for confirmation of my rights	No response for 48+ hours	Deliberate delay while I am homeless and at risk	18. Sent 23-06-26.pdf 19. Sent 25-06-26.pdf
26 June 2026	Georgina Solan denies succession	Email states: "Your succession application has been reviewed by management and has been denied as you do not fulfil the criteria for succession due to having your own tenancy at 109 Burncroft Avenue" "This means that you have no legal right of occupation of 23 Byron Terrace" When holding another tenancy does not bar succession.	1) Legally wrong reason — holding another tenancy does not bar succession 2) Contradicts Housing Act 1985, s.87-88 Contradicts Housing Ombudsman precedent (L&Q 202127252)	20. Received 26-06-26.pdf
8 July 2026	I appeal with case law, Ombudsman decisions, offer to surrender Burncroft tenancy	I Provide: 1) <u>London & Quadrant,</u> 2) <u>Islington v Boyle,</u> 3) <u>Crawley BC v Sawyer,</u> 4) <u>Shelter guidance.</u> Explain Burncroft is unsafe, inaccessible due to bail/restraining order, medically unsuitable per 2018 court order	1) She ignores all legal argument Closed mind — predetermined decision	21. Sent 26-06-26.pdf 22. Sent 30-06-26.pdf
02 nd July 2026	ggggggg	She appreciates that I feel anxious about me current circumstances. The Council has considered the information available regarding your occupation of 23 Byron Terrace. As previously advised, you do not have succession rights to this tenancy and therefore do not have a legal right to succeed to the	ggggggg	23. Received 02-07-26.pdf

Date	Event	Misconduct & Legal Errors		Files
		tenancy of 23 Byron Terrace. The Council's records confirm that you remain the tenant of 109 Burncroft Avenue. Whilst you have outlined concerns regarding that property and your personal circumstances, the Council is not aware of any legal restriction that prevents you from returning to and occupying your own tenancy address. The matters you have raised regarding neighbour disputes, court proceedings and personal safety concerns do not alter the Council's decision regarding succession at 23 Byron Terrace		

PHASE 3: THE DECEPTION (Same-Day Fraud — 10 July 2026)

Date	Event	Misconduct & Legal Errors		Files
				24. Sent 06-07-26 Mum Housing Rules1.pdf 24.1. 1Mum Housing Rules1.pdf 25. Sent 06-07-26 1Mum Housing Rules1.pdf
8 July 2026	"Final position" email	Self-contradicting. Claims "Wider Evidence Considered" but Also, states: <i>"Unless significant new evidence is provided... the Council's</i>	1) Self-contradicting — cannot have considered "<i>Wider Evidence</i>" AND demand	26. Received 08-07-26.pdf

		<u>position will remain unchanged"</u> Admits decision not based on full facts.	<u>"significant new evidence"</u> Procedural unfairness	
"	"	"	"	27. Sent -09-07-26.pdf 27.1. Sent Pt1 of 2 - 09-07-26.pdf 27.2. Sent Pt2 of 2 - 09-07-26.pdf 27.3. Sent -09-07-26.pdf <Dir> 28.1. All Sent Before
"	"	Morning/Afternoon TO ME: <u>"However, we will review the matter and include your latest evidence. I will update you on the outcome."</u>	"	Email 29, 10 July 2026 29. Received 10-07-26.pdf <Dir> 29. A Received Si Mum DB All Housing Files
"	"	SAME DAY AS ABOVE! TO MY SISTER/AUNT: Section 41 Notice under Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982 Letter dated 10 July 2026, received by me 18 August 2026 via Aunt's WhatsApp Content <u>"If you should fail to make arrangements for the collection of your goods by Sunday 09 August 2026 ownership of your possessions will vest in the London Borough of Enfield"</u> Bypassed me entirely — sent to "Personal Reps of	"	"

		Miss Lorraine Cordell " (my hostile sister Deon and Aunt Sheila)		
10 July-9 August	Deadline period to Hostel Third Parties	She knows I cannot enter property due to bail conditions she helped create with her false 17 May statement. Sets impossible deadline.	Loss HH	KK

The deception: She told me the process was ongoing while **simultaneously** initiating disposal of my property through hostile third parties she knew were estranged from me.

PHASE 4: THE THEFT (July-August 2026)

Date	Event	Misconduct & Legal Errors		Files
12 August 2026	My urgent warning to all parties	Email to Georgina Solan, DS Johnston, Alina Knox, my solicitor: <u>"URGENT + IMMEDIATE FREEZE REQUIRED"</u> — explicitly stating any removal would be unlawful. She ignores this legal notice.	Loss MM	30. Sent -12-08-26.pdf <Dir> Files ID
18 August 2026	I regain access	Bail conditions varied. I change locks and record property. House is destroyed — every room a tip with rubbish. Attic ripped out. £100,000+ comic collection gone. £30,000 cash left by police is now missing. Good items removed, rubbish put in each room.	Loss MM	KK

Critical evidence: The Section 41 notice was **not left in the house**. My aunt sent it to me via WhatsApp **after** I called her with concerns. Georgina Solan **never sent this to me**, the lawful applicant and bereaved son. She **deliberately concealed it** while telling me **"We Will Review."**

SPECIFIC OFFENSES COMMITTED

Offense	Evidence	Legal Basis
Fraudulent misrepresentation	10 July email to me: "We Will Review" while issuing Section 41 notice to sister	Fraud Act 2006; misfeasance in public office
Breach of GDPR/Data Protection Act 2018	Shared my housing data, legal status, property details with hostile third parties without consent	UK GDPR Article 6 (lawful processing); DPA 2018 s.170

Offense	Evidence	Legal Basis
Theft by deception / Conversion	Facilitated disposal of £100,000+ property while I was legally excluded	Torts (Interference with Goods) Act 1977; Theft Act 1968
Misrepresentation of housing law to police	17 May email: "No Confirmed Legal Right Of Occupation"	Housing Act 1985 s.87; potential perverting course of justice
Breach of Housing Act 1985 statutory duty	Failed to assess "Principal Home," used wrong legal test	Housing Act 1985 s.87-88; judicial review grounds
Breach of Care Act 2014 safeguarding duty	Ignored vulnerability (bereaved, disabled, mental health needs)	Care Act 2014 s.42; safeguarding failure
Misfeasance in public office	Deliberate unlawful action causing homelessness and £100,000+ loss	High Court claim; unlimited damages
Joint enterprise with police	Coordinated action to deprive me of home and property	Criminal conspiracy; PACE 1984 breach

DAMAGE CAUSED TO ME:

Category	Specific Loss	Evidence
Property	£100,000+ comic collection (photographed individually)	Photographs; inventory
Cash	£30,000 left unsecured by police, now missing	Police awareness of cash; now absent
House destruction	Attic ripped out; every room filled with rubbish; requires two skips	Video/photographic evidence 18 August 2026
Personal items	Generators, vehicles, trailers, cookers, sound systems, beds, fridges, freezers, tools, clothing, paperwork	Listed in 12 August warning email
Emotional	Bereavement trauma exacerbated; homelessness; displacement	Medical records; GP involvement

REQUESTED REMEDIES

1. **Immediate Suspension:** of Georgina Solan pending investigation
2. **Criminal Investigation:** by Metropolitan Police into theft, fraud, and conspiracy
3. **ICO Investigation:** into GDPR breach
4. **Compensation:** for £100,000+ property loss, £30,000 cash, house restoration, and emotional harm
5. **Formal Finding Of Severe Maladministration:**
6. **Recommendation To Enfield Council:** for dismissal of Georgina Solan
7. **Policy Change:** to prevent recurrence

EVIDENCE ENCLOSED

Exhibit	Description
A	Email 0: Georgina Solan to police, 17 May 2026 — false legal advice
B	Email 29: Georgina Solan to me, 10 July 2026 — "We Will Review"
C	Section 41 Notice, 10 July 2026 — sent to sister/aunt, not me
D	WhatsApp from aunt, 18 August 2026 — proof of concealment

Exhibit	Description
E	Email 12 August 2026 — my urgent freeze warning (ignored)
F	Video/photographs 18 August 2026 — house destruction, missing items
G	Comic collection inventory and photographs
H	Police correspondence regarding £30,000 cash
I	Bail conditions showing exclusion until 18 August 2026
J	2018 County Court Order (medical unsuitability of Burncroft)

THE SMOKING GUN: HER OWN WORDS

17 May 2026, False Legal Advice to Police:

"Until a succession application is submitted, assessed, and approved, Mr. Cordell has no confirmed legal right of occupation under the tenancy."

This is legally wrong because:

What She Claimed	What Housing Act 1985 Actually Says
No right until "submitted, assessed, and approved"	Right exists immediately for family member living as "only or principal home"
Occupation requires completed process	Occupation continues during process — cannot be removed without court order
I am "not currently a lawful tenant"	I am lawful occupant with succession rights pending

She is a housing professional. She knew or should have known this was false.

Do you want me to now draft the formal complaint using this timeline, written in your first-person voice?

COMPLAINT TO INFORMATION COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE (ICO)

Breach of UK GDPR Article 6 and Data Protection Act 2018

Georgina Solan disclosed my personal data — housing status, legal position, property details, and succession application — to my estranged sister Deon and Aunt Sheila without my consent, knowing they were hostile to me and in family dispute.

This is not a data breach by negligence. This was **deliberate disclosure to facilitate harm**.

Requested action: Investigation, formal finding, and fine against Enfield Council; naming of Georgina Solan in enforcement notice.

COMPLAINT TO ENFIELD COUNCIL MONITORING OFFICER

Employee Misconduct: Georgina Solan

Evidence of:

- Fraudulent misrepresentation
- Breach of GDPR
- Theft by deception
- Misfeasance in public office

Requested action: Immediate suspension; disciplinary proceedings; dismissal.

COMPLAINT TO METROPOLITAN POLICE PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

DS Johnston and officers involved

Evidence of:

- Reliance on false Council advice to impose bail conditions
- Joint action with Council to exclude lawful occupant

- Leaving £30,000 cash unsecured in property
- Failure to investigate theft of £100,000+ property

Requested action: Investigation into misconduct; criminal investigation of conspiracy.

[\[To Parent Directory\]](#)

[0. 1Met & Council Police Cad.pdf](#)

[00. Binder 21-05-2026 upto 29-.pdf](#)

[01. Sent.pdf](#)

[01.1. Sent.pdf](#)

[02. Received.pdf](#)

[03. Received.pdf](#)

[04. Sent 18-05-26.pdf](#)

[04.1. New Succession application 18-05-26.pdf](#)

[04.2. Housing Succession Request 18-05-26.pdf](#)

[05. Received.pdf](#)

[06. Sent 19-05-26.pdf](#)

[07. Received.pdf](#)

[08. Sent 19-05-26.pdf](#)

[09. Received 20-05-26.pdf](#)

[10. Sent 20-05-26.pdf](#)

[11. Received 20-05-26.pdf](#)

[12. Sent 20-05-26.pdf](#)

[13. Sent 20-05-26.pdf](#)

[14. Sent 20-05-26 New Email Used.pdf](#)

[15. Received 12-06-26.pdf](#)

[16. Sent 16-06-26.pdf](#)

[17. Sent 16-06-26.pdf](#)

[18. Sent 23-06-26.pdf](#)

[19. Sent 25-06-26.pdf](#)

[20. Received 26-06-26.pdf](#)

[21. Sent 26-06-26.pdf](#)

[22. Sent 30-06-26.pdf](#)

[23. Received 02-07-26.pdf](#)

[24. Sent 06-07-26 Mum Housing Rules1.pdf](#)

[24.1. 1Mum Housing Rules1.pdf](#)

[25. Sent 06-07-26 1Mum Housing Rules1.pdf](#)

[26. Received 08-07-26.pdf](#)

[27. Sent -08-07-26.docx](#)

[27. Sent -09-07-26.pdf](#)

[27.1. Sent Pt1 of 2 -09-07-26.docx](#)

[27.1. Sent Pt1 of 2 -09-07-26.pdf](#)

[27.2. Sent Pt2 of 2 -09-07-26.docx](#)

[27.2. Sent Pt2 of 2 -09-07-26.pdf](#)

[27.3. Sent -09-07-26.pdf](#)

[<Dir> 28.1. All Sent Before](#)

[28.1. All Sent Before.rar](#)

[<Dir> 29. A Received Si Mum DB All Housing Files](#)

[29. Received 10-07-26.pdf](#)

[30. Sent -12-08-26.pdf](#)

[<Dir> Files ID](#)