

URGENT
THE SEVENTH CORE
STAGE-ONE LEVEL RAISED COMPLAINT

Date: 14th of September 2026

SUBJECT: Official Statement on Enfield Council's Creation, Use, And Denial of My Capacity Issues and Their Refusal to Protect Me, Allowing the Original Perpetrators to Continue Abusing Me

INTRODUCTION

Dear all

1. Why This Seventh Core Document Exists (Main Point)

This Seventh Core is submitted because **Enfield Council — specifically Georgina Solman and the unnamed management she acts on behalf of — are refusing my legal right to succeed the tenancy of 23 Byron Terrace for a second reason: they claim my evidence is “not strong enough.”**

The purpose of this document is to show that:

A. One of My Strongest Pices of Evidence Is the Capacity Evidence That Enfield Council Themselves Created.

They requested the mental-capacity assessment. They filed it. They used it against me for years. They relied on it in court. They enforced bail conditions because of it. They treated me as vulnerable because of it.

B. Enfield Council Now Refuse That Same Capacity Evidence Grants Me the Home I Live In 23 Byron Terrace as the 2-Bedroom Home the Judge Ordered for Me Due To Them. The Evidence They Created the Enfield Council Staff Have Used to Block My Legal Right to Succession!

This refusal is unlawful, discriminatory, and directly responsible for my current homelessness and worsening mental health.

C. The Evidence They Are Refusing Is Supported by Multiple Independent Sources:

- 1) My Sister's Colterol History:** on council and police systems
- 2) My Mother's Overnight-Carer Records:** showing I was registered as co-carer
- 3) My PNC/ACRO Record:** showing I required an appropriate adult
- 4) The Council Staff Requested Psychiatric Assessment. . .**
- 5) The 109 Burncroft Avenue Evidence:** showing ongoing harm caused by their denial

D. This Seventh Core Proves That the Council's Refusal Is Not Based on Evidence — It Is Based on Avoiding Responsibility for The Capacity Issues They Created.

This is the central reason this document is submitted in these proceedings.

2. The Council's Refusal of My Succession Is Based on Denying Their Own Evidence

Georgina Solman and her management claim:

"My evidence is not strong enough for succession."

But the evidence they are refusing includes:

- 1) The Mental-Capacity Assessment THEY Requested
- 2) The Psychiatric Report THEY Filed
- 3) The Bail Conditions THEY Caused
- 4) The Residency THEY Enforced
- 5) The Vulnerability THEY Created
- 6) The Overnight-Carer Records THEY Hold
- 7) The Colterol History THEY Store
- 8) The ASB Records THEY Generated
- 9) The Ignored Complaints THEY Received
- 10) The Ongoing Harassment THEY Failed to Stop

This Seventh Core shows that **the council's refusal is built on denying their own actions**, not on any lack of evidence.

3. Evidence They Are Refusing (Exhibits)

- 1) **My Sister's Colterol History:** Shows the council and police systems recorded our household, our vulnerabilities, and our tenancy history.
- 2) **My Mother's Overnight-Carer Records:** Shows I was registered as co-carer, proving residency and vulnerability.
- 3) **My PNC/ACRO Record:** Shows I required an appropriate adult, proving capacity issues and vulnerability.
- 4) **Council-Requested Psychiatric Assessment:** Shows they created the capacity issue they now deny.
- 5) **109 Burncroft Avenue Evidence:** Shows ongoing harm caused by their refusal to protect me and their denial of my capacity issues.
- 6) **Why Some Files Are Older Versions:** Most recently, I suffered a **hard-drive crash**. Files were moved, damaged, or reverted to older versions due to:
 - a) Limited Storage Space
 - b) Emergency Recovery
 - c) Corrupted Directories
 - d) Missing Metadata
 - **However:**
 - a) These files were already submitted before
 - b) These files were created at the council's request
 - c) These files were used in previous proceedings
 - d) These files are necessary to exhibit now because of Georgina's refusal
 - e) These files still prove the same factsThe council's reckless behaviour forced me to exhibit them now, even if some are not the latest versions.
- 7) **Why This Seventh Core Must Be Accepted:** This Seventh Core shows:
 - a) The council created my capacity issues
 - b) The council used my capacity issues

- c) The council denied my capacity issues
- d) The council refused my succession because of that denial
- e) The council ignored evidence from multiple independent sources
- f) The council ignored complaints from two victims
- g) The council allowed perpetrators to continue abusing me
- h) The council caused ongoing harm at both addresses
- i) The council breached multiple laws

This document is not optional — it is essential to the proceedings because it proves:

- The council's refusal of my succession is based on denying their own evidence, not on any lack of evidence from me.

4. The Truth and Nothing but the Truth!

For years, I was the victim of neighbour harassment while building my festival work and acting as my mother's overnight carer. My mother and I reported my victimisation repeatedly, but Enfield Council ignored every message. Instead of protecting us, they turned the situation against me months after not responding and by way of the same emails they had failed to respond from during emergency requests.

Instead of protecting me in line of protocols the Council staff met with the same neighbours we had complained about, backdated accusations, and began building a false mental-health history around me. They shared NHS information without consent and involved police and mental-health teams based on their own claims, not evidence. This led to multiple possession orders and injunction attempts. None reached trial. I won every case, but I was kept on long bail conditions anyway. My PNC record shows I was repeatedly placed on bail for cases that were dismissed, withdrawn, or NFA. These bail conditions legally forced me to live at my mother's address, proving continuous residency.

The council themselves requested a mental-health assessment in the final possession case. The judge agreed, doctors assessed me, and the case was dismissed. The judge ordered that I be rehomed for my safety. Enfield Council ignored this order and kept me trapped in a housing "exchange process" that never existed.

My PNC and ACRO records show vulnerability markers, mental-health warnings, and that police contacted my mother to act as an appropriate adult because they believed I needed support during interviews. This proves I was treated as vulnerable and in need of capacity assistance for the age 16 and above!

Now, after years of causing these issues, using them against me, and ignoring court orders, Enfield Council claim I have "no capacity issues" to justify refusing my housing succession. This is a direct contradiction of their own actions, their own applications, the judge's findings, and the medical assessment they requested.

I am the victim in this situation. I was building my life, caring for my mother, and asking for help. Instead, I was placed into repeated legal processes, forced onto bail conditions, and treated as mentally vulnerable and all created by the council. They cannot now deny the same capacity issues they used to control me.

This misuse of capacity, combined with their refusal to follow court orders, has directly harmed my health, my stability, and my legal rights. It must be addressed within the

Seven Core Complaint.

5. Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Description	*****
1.	PNC/ACRO record showing appropriate-adult marker and wrongfully enforced bail conditions	1. New Master.pdf “PNC Files!”
2.	Email chain showing ignored complaints from me and my mother	01. Claim-2014-and-Onwards.pdf
3.	Council letter attaching Dr Dhinakaran’s psychiatric assessment, proving Councils Deployment of administering a mental health assessment by use of Edmonton Lower County Court to obtain a Mental Health Review to officially record that I lack capacity to litigate and understand things, that was found to be true.	08. Letter to ECC attaching Dr Dhinakaran's assessment report 10.7.2018.pdf
4.	The original emails sent and received between my mother and Government Officials.	192.168.0.49 - /03. R-2014-and-Onwards/02. Exhibits/04. 06-03-2019EmailAttachments/Enfield Council/ 192.168.0.49 - /03. R-2014-and-Onwards/02. Exhibits/04. 06-03-2019EmailAttachments/
5.	My Sister’s colterol history	<Dir> 29. A Received Si Mum DB All Housing Files
6.	Mother’s overnight-carer records	<Dir> 35. I got the Mother Load start 30X.Pdf
7.	109 Burncroft Avenue Harassment Evidence, Our Diary Files Created at the Enfield Council Request. . .	a) Enfield-Council-Incident Diary-Received.pdf b) 192.168.0.49 - /08. Diary 19-10-25/
8.	List of liable members of Staff!	192.168.0.49 - /07. Particulars New-17-10-25/Particulars New-17-10-25/Particulars-New-17-10-25/ “Members of Staff!”
9.	These are the best statements that Ive made due to the same neighbours attacking me and the council allowing it to continue, Rebbeca O’Hare Cases Files “Another Case!”	<Dir> 02. Simons Defence Statements

Exhibit	Description	*****
	Defence Statement Numbers: [01. till 12.] All my Defence files explain the ongoing issues raised by me, mum & others. I.E. These Defence statements of mine were served on the court and cps mutable times as my Exhibited Combined files prove: NewNew-Main-03-06-2026.pdf This follows the same reckless Trates as Enfield council has also delt with matter, when my mother contacted them for months prior as the original emails Exhibited [Number 4] Exhibit above proves! There is also the fact that the diary the council gave me to complete, as Exhibited here: 00. Enfield-Council-Incident Diary-Received.pdf They as the Enfield Council Staff would not manage the issued raised officially recorded by me after they hand been put back in receipt of it, as Exhibited here: 192.168.0.49 - /08. Diary 19-10-25/ I was told it don't matter how long it takes but I would need to split the cases inside of it by they would review or handle it through their insurance department as recorded and Exhibited Here, the Dac Files are at the bottom of the file: 192.168.0.49 - /03. R-2014-and-Onwards/02. Exhibits/03. Kay Dates Mp3 Index Solicitor Disputes/	
10.	This is proof that the Enfield council have block my mobile phone number from calling them since they took my mental capacity to prevent me from complaining and to allow the neighbours to continue to victimise me.	192.168.0.49 - /14. Formal Request to Begin 23 Byron Terrace Tenancy Succession dated 11-05-2026 at time 08 59Am/41. Built for Mums and My Home/Parts Exhibited/0. Not allowed to call the Enfield Council/
11.	Telephone calls made and recorded! <u>"03. R-2014-and-Onwards!"</u>	192.168.0.49 - /03. R-2014-and-Onwards/02. Exhibits/03. Kay Dates Mp3 Index Solicitor Disputes/
12.	The Particulars documents demonstrate that my case was never handled by a single	192.168.0.49 - /14. Formal Request to Begin 23 Byron

Exhibit	Description	*****
	officer, single complaint team, or single department. Instead, they show that numerous Enfield Council officers, managers, legal staff, ASB officers, complaints staff, housing officers, safeguarding staff, NHS staff, police officers, and external parties possessed knowledge of my circumstances over many years. The records identify who was involved, when they became involved, what information they received, what actions they took, and what complaints were raised by both me and my late mother. Collectively, the documents show that the issues affecting me were widely known across multiple organisations and repeatedly brought to their attention. They demonstrate that my complaints, vulnerability, housing difficulties, caring responsibilities, mental-health concerns, legal proceedings, safeguarding issues, and requests for assistance were not hidden, isolated, or unknown. The significance of these documents is therefore not simply that they identify individuals, but that they establish institutional knowledge, proving that the matters now disputed during my succession application were known, recorded, discussed, and acted upon by numerous officers and departments over an extended period. They therefore provide evidence that Enfield Council cannot reasonably claim ignorance of my circumstances, nor dismiss the evidence as insufficient, when much of that evidence was created, received, stored, and relied upon by the Council itself.	Terrace Tenancy Succession dated 11-05-2026 at time 08_59Am/41. Built for Mums and My Home/Parts Exhibited/2-This one - Particulars-14-08-2026/

Final Remarks

The evidence presented within this Seventh Core: should not be mistaken for the full extent of the evidence available. It represents only a small portion of a much larger body of material accumulated over many years by me and others as a direct result of Enfield Council's actions, omissions, failures, and continuing refusal to address the matters raised by both me and my late mother.

The reality is that I simply do not possess the time, resources, or capacity to exhibit everything that exists. Every time I attempted to seek help, protection, review,

intervention, or a lawful remedy, further proceedings were instead created around me. Whilst no meaningful action was ever taken against those responsible for the original harassment and victimisation, I was repeatedly required to defend myself against allegations, applications, injunctions, possession proceedings, bail conditions, assessments, and investigations. As demonstrated throughout my PNC records and supporting exhibits, the burden was continually placed upon me rather than upon those we repeatedly reported, first.

The result of this is that evidence now exists across multiple agencies, departments, courts, police systems, housing records, medical records, safeguarding records, tenancy records, complaint records, and personal archives. The volume is substantial. Much of it has already been provided to Enfield Council to us over the years. Much of it was created at the request of Enfield Council themselves. Much of it remains wrongly ignored.

Following a recent hard-drive failure, some of the exhibits currently available may not represent the most recent versions in existence. However, they remain essential exhibits because they demonstrate the same facts, the same chronology, the same conduct, and the same pattern of behaviour. They are being submitted now because the actions of Georgina Solman and the unnamed management responsible for the succession decision have made them immediately relevant to these proceedings. The central issue remains unchanged.

Enfield Council now refuse my succession claim on the basis that my evidence is supposedly not strong enough. Yet one of the strongest categories of evidence available is the evidence they themselves created it all for me. The Council requested mental-capacity assessments. The Council relied upon mental-capacity concerns. The Council used those concerns within court proceedings to make me sound crazy and in official documentation as well, so staff Gross misconduct and negligent actions were not acted upon when reported about by me and my family and friends in accordance with the laws. The Council used those concerns to justify unmoral interventions, to impose bail or court restrictions on my person, and those decisions had traumatic negative effects for my life and affect me till date. Police records show vulnerability markers and the requirement for an appropriate adult. Court records show judges being asked to consider my capacity. Medical professionals were instructed to assess me. Housing officers relied upon these issues for years.

Now, when those same facts support my legal right to succession, the Council simply deny they exist.

That contradiction sits at the heart of this case.

If Enfield Council were correct today, then they were wrong throughout the years they relied upon these issues and this proves that the persons I reported should have been investigated as I was not ill at that time like the council demanded and then made me afterwards. If they were correct throughout those years, then they are wrong today. Both positions cannot be true at the same time.

Meanwhile, the original perpetrators were never properly investigated. The complaints raised by myself and my mother were never properly addressed. The protection we repeatedly requested was never provided. The consequences of those failures continue to affect me today, both in relation to 23 Byron Terrace and my present circumstances at 109 Burncroft Avenue. No matter what community relationships at Burncroft Avenue have become unsafe.

And this Seventh Core therefore exists for one reason above all others:

- **To Demonstrate That the Evidence Enfield Council Now Seeks to Dismiss Is Evidence That Enfield Council Themselves Created, Relied Upon, Enforced, And Preserved Over Many Years, And That Their Present Refusal to Acknowledge It Is Both Irrational and Fundamentally Incompatible with Their Own Documented History.**

Thank you for taking the time to read and consider this submission.

Yours faithfully, **Mr. Simon Paul Cordell**

Address: 23 Byron Terrace Edmonton N9 7DG

Dated: 14/09/1026

Contact Email: Re_wired@ymail.com

Signed:

