

Bailed to Wood Green Police Station

Station address: 347 High Road, Wood Green London, N22 8JA.

Bailed to date/time: 19/06/2026 14:00

Police Bail Was Never Lawfully Imposed — Corrected Timeline & Breaches

I am innocent from the offset in these proceedings.

I therefore set out the factual sequence of events regarding my police bail. These facts show that the conditions were **never lawfully imposed**, and that multiple breaches of PACE and custody procedure occurred.

1. I refused to sign the conditions and legally requested to be taken before a court

When the custody officer attempted to impose the bail conditions, I **refused to sign** because I believed the conditions were unlawful and breached my rights. I also **requested to be taken before a court** if the police insisted on imposing them.

Under **PACE Code C**, when a detainee refuses to sign bail conditions:

- 1+ the refusal MUST be recorded in the custody record
- 2+ the MG4A MUST be marked “refused to sign”
- 3+ a witness MUST sign
- 4+ the detainee MUST be given a copy BEFORE release
- 5+ AND the custody officer MUST carry out a review and either:
 - **Remove The Conditions, OR**
 - **Remand The Detainee To Court The Next Morning**

These steps are **mandatory**.

They are not optional.

2. The police illegally ejected me instead of following the legal process

Instead of carrying out the required review, the police:

- 1+ Forcefully Ejected Me From The Custody Suite
- 2+ Without Recording My Refusal
- 3+ Without Marking The Mg4a
- 4+ Without A Witness Signature
- 5+ Without Serving The Bail Form
- 6+ Without Giving Me Any Paperwork
- 7+ Without Removing The Conditions
- 8+ Without Remanding Me To Court
- 9+ Without Completing The Lawful Bail Process

This is a **direct breach of PACE Code C**, because the police chose an option that **does not exist in law**.

Under PACE:

- 1+ If a detainee refuses to sign, the police CANNOT legally release them until a review is completed.
- 2+ The police MUST either remove the conditions or remand the detainee to court.
- 3+ Ejecting the detainee is unlawful.

Because none of the required steps were followed, and because I was released without any lawful decision being made:

None of this happened.

Instead, I was **ejected from the police station** without being given any paperwork, meaning the police bail conditions were NOT legally in effect at the moment I was released.

This is a **serious breach** of PACE and custody procedure.

I later found the first bail sheet in my paperwork — dated 16 May 2026

The first MG4A bail sheet I ever saw was discovered in my paperwork on **16 May 2026, eight days after the alleged offence** (8 May 2026 at 10:00).

This proves:

- 1+ I Was Never Served The Bail Sheet
- 2+ I Was Never Given A Copy
- 3+ I Was Never Shown The Conditions
- 4+ I Was Never Given The Opportunity To Sign
- 5+ The Police Released Me Without Lawful Service

This is a **fatal procedural failure**.

3. The OIC contacted me on 9 May 2026 at 22:35 — more than 12 hours after release

The OIC messaged me:

“Please let me know email and I will send you an updated copy of the bail sheet.”

This message was sent **over 12 hours after my release**, which is extremely serious because:

- 1+ Bail Conditions Must Be Imposed **Before Release**,
- 2+ They Cannot Be “Updated” Afterwards.
- 3+ They Cannot Be “Re-Issued” Once The Detainee Has Left Custody
- 4+ And The Police Have No Power To Impose Or Amend Bail Remotely.

This alone makes the bail conditions **unlawful and void**.

4. Met CCC confirmed NO bail conditions existed for two days

Between **9–11 May**, I contacted Met CCC multiple times.

Their CAD messages confirm:

- 1+ They Could Not Find Any Bail Conditions,
- 2+ They Had To Contact The OIC To Ask Him To Explain Them,
- 3+ The Cad Was Still Open,
- 4+ And No Conditions Were Visible On The System.

This Means:

I was released with no active bail conditions for at least 48 hours.

This is a catastrophic breach of PACE.

5. The OIC admitted the custody record was still open — which is why no conditions existed

When I phoned the OIC, he told me:

- 1+ The Custody Record Was Still Open,
- 2+ Shift Changes Had Occurred,
- 3+ No One Had Closed The Cad,
- 4+ And This Is Why No Conditions Were Updated.

This is a breach of:

- 1+ PACE
- 2+ Custody continuity
- 3+ Data handling
- 4+ Professional Standards
- 5+ Article 6 (fair process)

6. On 14 May 2026, I received a second bail sheet — falsely marked “Refused to sign”

The second bail sheet was:

- 1+ Created AFTER Release,
- 2+ Sent To The Wrong Address (280 Durants Road),
- 3+ Falsely Marked “Not Digitally Signed – Refused To Sign,”
- 4+ Created Without Me Being Present,
- 5+ Created Without Caution,
- 6+ Created Without Service,
- 7+ And Created Without Lawful Authority.

This is extremely serious because:

- The police fabricated a refusal that never happened.
- They created a bail document after release, which is unlawful.
- They attempted to retroactively impose conditions.

This breaches:

- 1+ PACE
- 2+ CPIA
- 3+ Custody record integrity
- 4+ Professional Standards
- 5+ Article 6 ECHR
- 6+ Data Protection Act 2018
- 7+ UK GDPR

7. Legal conclusion

Based on the above:

- 1+ My bail conditions were never lawfully imposed
- 2+ The MG4A was invalid
- 3+ The re-issued bail sheet was unlawful
- 4+ I cannot be in breach of conditions that were never served
- 5+ The police process was procedurally defective
- 6+ My Article 6 rights were violated
- 7+ The custody process was mishandled
- 8+ The CAD was left open across shifts
- 9+ No Superintendent extension was obtained
- 10+ The police attempted to impose bail after release

This requires urgent review.

Time & Date	PACE Requirement	What Should Happen	What Actually Happened
08-05-26 — 10:00	Arrest → Clock starts	Start 24-hour detention clock	Arrested
09-05-26 — 10:00 AM	24-hour review	Sgt must review	(Blank — as you wrote it)
Instead:			09/05/2026 00:38 — Custody Officer Granting Sgt 01 P252008 Rainbird bail. You refused → they ejected you instead of reviewing.
Clock at release			If legally released then the legal time on police detention clock for investigation would be Frozen at: 14 hours 38 minutes, meaning they would have 9 hours 22 minutes remaining to investigate and submit the case files to CPS! Instead, SGT and OIC failed to shut the CAD down and follow protocols! Released ME without signing the legal requirements under the Bail Act 1976 causing critical failures.
09-05-26 — 10:00	24-hour legal limit	MUST: charge OR release OR extend	Custody record still open (illegal)
Received 09-05-26 — 11:40:02			Met Police: “Please attend your local police station or the custody suite you were released from for an explanation of your bail conditions...”
09-05-26 — 18:00 PM	24-hour legal limit	MUST: charge OR release OR extend	Staff Change overs
Received 09-05-26 — 16:27:57			Met Police: “CAD 5198/09May26... <u>1 have passed to our despatch and control room team for them to contact the officer in charge and get him to contact you explaining your bail CONDITIONS.</u> For crime prevention advice please go to http://bit.ly/3DIPDQx .”
Received 09-05-26 — 19:18:18			Met Police: “CAD 6696/09May26...”

Time & Date	PACE Requirement	What Should Happen	What Actually Happened
Received 09-05-26 — 22:35:27			OIC in case Closed the police custody detention logs for this case and uploads the bail conditions still unsigned and without mine or my legal advisers presence or consent. 1 day, 12 hours, 35 minutes, 27 seconds “Please let me know email and I will send you an updated copy of the bail sheet. Regards Rob”
Sent 11-05-26 — 20:18:12			<u>My Message to OIC in Case:</u> “I have not contacted my sister as you ordered me to. My sister today has called 999 and sent doctors to 280 Durants road for no reason then while i was talking to them and showing them the voice recordings of her saying she is going to come to 23 Byron terrace for the case you are managing and set me up and go to all my family and make them hate me and also send people to endanger my life and go to my long past partners address and get them namely two different females. They checked me and said I was fine but while in 280 my sister arrived with my brother and started to threatened us, so the doctors called police on them, and they used my aunts key with her to get in. I walked away from the properly but am back their on my own again, this is unfair I have no place of safety from her..
14-05-26			New police Bail Sheet 2 received 14-05-26 for Deon’s case against me, not signed for! Deon’s name is also spelt wrong. <u>Condition 1:</u> Not to attend Byron Terrace... <u>Condition 2:</u> Not to contact Dion Benjamin...
From Met CCC — Sunday 17-05-26 at 10:18			<u>Email From Enfield Council About Tenancy Status:</u> Dear Simon, we have received the following notification from the council concerning your Tenancy Status... Based on our current records, please see the factual position below: The legal tenant of 23 Byron Terrace was Simon's late mother, Miss Lorraine Cordell who reportedly passed away on 07 April 2026. We have not yet received the death certificate.

Time & Date	PACE Requirement	What Should Happen	What Actually Happened
			At present, there is no current legal tenant recorded on our system for the property. Mr Simon Cordell is not named on the tenancy and is not currently a lawful tenant of the property. Mr Cordell has advised the Council that he is in the process of completing a succession application, which has been issued to him. Until a succession application is submitted, assessed, and approved, Mr Cordell has no confirmed legal right of occupation under the tenancy. This is the current status as held by Enfield Council Housing. Please let me know if you require any further information. Kind regards Georgina Solan Resident Relationship Officer

(Deon)

When I first entered my home 23 Byron Terrace, I noticed around eight black bags in the front room, tied up and ready to be thrown away. I looked inside them and saw they contained my mum's old clothing. I believed my uncle had bagged them up while sorting the property, as he was waiting for a new front door to be fitted. I moved the bags upstairs into my mum's room because I knew I would need to check them properly to see if they were rubbish or if any of my savings had been placed in the bags.

I was staying indoors at **23 Byron Terrace**. Earlier, I had picked up my mate **Josh** for support. He had agreed to help me and stay at **109 Burncroft Avenue** while the front door was being changed due to the damage caused by the police on **02/08/2025**. This took about five hours, so after dropping Josh off, I went back to 23 Byron Terrace to sort the door situation and continue working in the house.

While I was inside alone, my aunt arrived at the door. I told her I wasn't happy with her. She asked for a Hoover that belonged to her and was still in the house. I either got it for her or handed it to her. Later, I saw a red car arrive again, and thinking it was her returning, I shouted for them to leave me alone. I didn't trust her anymore because she had been involved in withholding my money, refusing to give me the keys, and getting me arrested with my cousin. I later found out it was actually my younger cousin and not my aunt. As much as I loved her, I still wouldn't have let her in because I was looking for my money and sorting out mine and my mum's belongings after they had all been tampered with.

After that, I picked Josh up again and we both went back to 23 Byron Terrace. We continued working in the garden and garage until we had some food and then went to sleep on the two sofas in the front room. At no point did I allow anyone else into the home while I was searching for my money. I left the upstairs alone because we needed the space and storage from the garage first, as the attic and two rooms were filled with personal effects.

When we woke up the next day, we continued working in the garage and jet-washed the garden. Afterwards, I needed to drop Josh home because he had an appointment, so I did that. Later, I found out that while Josh was at mine, my sister believed he was supporting me and sent him threats on his phone, which I have exhibited along with other recordings and transcripts.

My mother had long-standing issues with the upstairs bathroom and couldn't get a shower fitted. I phoned a friend who is a plumber and asked if he could help. He attended the property and said he could revamp it cheaply using leftover parts from previous private jobs. I agreed, and I helped him complete the new works. My sister must have seen him on the doorbell camera and phoned him with threats as well, for no fair reason.

This was confusing because before she left for holiday, I had been helping her with her housing issues — she had called me the day before and explained them to me. I had also helped her with her Firestick, as shown in the video exhibited below. She had no reason to argue with me or believe anything bad.

Around this time, I started receiving threatening phone calls from my sister's boyfriend. I told him I didn't understand why he was phoning me out of the blue accusing me of things. He kept threatening me and wouldn't listen or make any sense. I also started receiving silent messages from my sister. I didn't take them seriously at first, but I was still concerned.

I contacted my father and told him I was going to go through the house paperwork and make sure all his and everyone's personal effects would be given to them by me. I sat down and went through everything systematically. I told my father I had found items of importance to him and that I would bring them to him. He was fine with this. I was alone at these times.

Later, my friend **Perry** called me saying he had an argument with his partner, so I told him to come and stay with me for the night. We ordered pizza and fell asleep separately on the two sofas.

The next day, while I was in the kitchen cleaning and Perry was sitting in the front room, my sister arrived. **Tyrone** arrived first and started accusing me of giving things away. I told him he was wrong. He was looking for a set of Ugg boots he had bought for my mum. I told him I wasn't in the wrong — the deal was that we all wait for each other: me, Deon, and Tyrone.

Instead, he had gone into the house with my sister on the same day my cousin and aunt had set me up for entering 23 Byron Terrace, and they had split everything, including the gold, unfairly. Now all he could remember was a pair of Ugg boots, and he was using that to argue with me.

I asked him who told him I had given mum's Uggs away and that they had seen Katie wearing them. He said it was Deon. I told him he was mad. He offered £500 if I found them. I went and found them exactly where I told him they were, where he had already looked earlier when he stormed in. He took the gaming router and left.

The next day, **Deon** returned from holiday. She was spiteful and manipulative, with no morals or dignity. She sat down on the sofa and started shouting at me, accusing me of burning all of mum's stuff. I told her she was wrong, just like Tyrone had been the day before. She didn't listen, and we both started shouting. I was defending myself from her horrible accusations. As we were shouting, a small dot of saliva came out of my mouth and touched her by mistake. She went mad, and as she shouted, some of her saliva hit my face. I shouted, "See how easy a mistake it is," and she stormed into the kitchen.

I followed her, and as I turned into the kitchen, I saw her use the same hand she now claims is broken to smash everything off the side — all the kitchen utilities, including sharp knives, went onto the floor and the worktop. She shouted, "I'm going to smash the whole house up." I had just been in the kitchen four minutes earlier tidying up. Me and my close friends, who knew my

mother well, had been quiet and respectful the whole time. For her to turn up and do this made no sense.

I grabbed her arm as she went to use it again and stopped her, but she twisted and turned. I noticed she was trying to pull her top off, which would have exposed her in front of me and my mate, so I immediately let go in the hope she would calm down and not try to use the knives or smash anything else. She then stormed into the back garden and shouted that she had seen us burning stuff on the camera. I then understood what she meant and shouted back, "You idiot, you're on about the old rags uncle threw out in the black bags."

I saw that my sister was in the back garden, so I locked the back door for safety and quickly picked up the knives that were on the floor and on the kitchen side. I put them away immediately. While I was doing this, she started hitting the back door. I finished within seconds, went to the door, told her to calm down, and opened it. She didn't come back inside but instead called the police, who arrived and wrongly arrested me for common assault.

At the police station, I was also wrongly detained for an alleged tag breach. This was because I had checked my front door when my house alarm went off. I had contacted Met CCC at the time and was given permission to check the security of the flat. The OIC checked the CAD, confirmed this, and the tag-related allegation was dropped.

While I was in the cell, I gave the OIC the code to my mobile phone so they could check the threats made to me by my younger sister, Deon. The phone was brought to the cell flap still sealed in a property bag. I remembered that when I was booked in a few days earlier, I had joked with the same officer about my phone being damaged — the screen had been cracked on that occasion. I told him the phone was basically new because I had just had the screen repaired, although I hadn't replaced the back cover as I didn't buy that part from eBay. He laughed and agreed it looked almost new. I hadn't seen the screen working before this moment. The OIC showed me the phone through the property bag as they searched it for the threats made by Deon and her boyfriend. The screen was working at that point.

During the interview, I was shown a picture of my sister's wrist. The interviewing officer said, "It's just a graze," and I replied, "Exactly — it's just a graze. If I had grabbed her and hurt her unnecessarily, it would be more than that." I explained that she caused it herself when she swiped the knives and kitchen utilities off the side. The officer accused me of deliberately spitting at my sister, grabbing her, and causing the items to fall. She also claimed I forcefully removed my sister into the back garden. I took these accusations seriously and told her the real events. I told them to check their Axon body-worn cameras and to note that my mate Perry was present and would give a statement confirming my account.

Later, I was taken out of my cell to be bailed by the OIC. As we walked into the custody room, I was partly told that I couldn't attend 23 Byron Terrace. As soon as we reached the custody desk, I told the sergeant in charge that I would not accept any bail conditions restricting me from my home address. I said that if he believed such conditions were necessary and refused to change them, I would rather go to court in the morning. I explained that banning me from my home breached my human rights, especially considering my bereavement, the fact my sister was not registered at the address, and that I was officially "care of" 23 Byron Terrace. All my personal correspondence was still registered there, and I had always lived there due to the support I needed as ordered by the court and doctors.

He said he understood that I was refusing to sign and that he was not sending me to court. He then said that if I didn't leave Wood Green Police Station voluntarily, he would have me forcefully removed. Around five officers surrounded me. I said I would leave and walked out

without hearing the bail conditions or agreeing to them. A piece of paper with support numbers was placed in my belongings. I was never given a bail sheet, but I was given back my phone with a cracked screen.

The OIC gave me his number once outside of the police station and said he would contact the council to see if I was allowed to stay at the property. I told him all my belongings were there — my generator, sound system, legal correspondence, cars on the driveway, catering trailers, PC, Rolex, and more. I told him it was unfair and that he had no legal right to involve himself in civil matters.

I went back to **280 Durants Road** and contacted Met CCC to ask about the bail conditions, but they couldn't find any record of them. They messaged the OIC,

1+ 9 May 2026 at 11:40:02

2+ 9 May 2026 at 16:27:57.

I remember the OIC had put his number in my phone, so I called him on **09 May 2026**. He went downstairs and realised my CAD had never been closed and that I had refused to sign and been released. He said he would close it and update the conditions. I became upset and explained my legal points. He told me I would need to write to them to vary the bail conditions. I told him my sister partly owns my nan's house as well, but they can't ban me from there — and the same applies to my mum's house. If anything, they should bar me from her registered tenancy address, not mine. He didn't care.

Next, my sister and brother called the police to my nan's house for no reason, trying to get me sectioned.

After this, the OIC in Deon's case still placed bail conditions on me **even though I refused to sign them**. He then contacted the council about my right to be at 23 Byron Terrace. As a result, Met CCC sent me the following message on **Sunday 17th May 2026 at 10:18**, confirming the council's position:

From Met CCC

Sunday 17th May 2026 at 10:18

Dear Simon, we have received the following notification from the council concerning your Tenancy Status...

Based on our current records, please see the factual position below:

The legal tenant of 23 Byron Terrace was Simon's late mother, Miss Lorraine Cordell who reportedly passed away on 07 April 2026. We have not yet received the death certificate.

At present, there is no current legal tenant recorded on our system for the property.

Mr Simon Cordell is not named on the tenancy and is not currently a lawful tenant of the property.

Mr Cordell has advised the Council that he is in the process of completing a succession application, which has been issued to him.

Until a succession application is submitted, assessed, and approved, Mr Cordell has no confirmed legal right of occupation under the tenancy.

This is the current status as held by Enfield Council Housing. Please let me know if you require any further information.

Kind regards

Georgina Solan

Resident Relationship Officer

My Legal Right to Be at 23 Byron Terrace (Present-Tense Version)

The council's message is technically correct, but it is **not the full legal position**, and it does **not** mean I cannot legally live at 23 Byron Terrace or sort out my personal effects.

1. Children of secure tenants are not added to the tenancy — but they still have legal rights

Under the **Housing Act 1985**, Schedule 3:

- Councils **Do Not Add Children** To Secure Tenancies.
- This Is Standard Policy Across The UK.
- Not Being Named On The Tenancy **Does Not Remove My Right To Live There.**
- Not Being Named On The Tenancy **Does Not Remove My Right To Remain After My Mother Dies.**
- Not Being Named On The Tenancy **Does Not Remove My Right To Apply For Succession.**

Me, my brother, and my sister grow up in that home.

We live there legally as part of the household.

That right **continues** until succession is decided.

2. I am officially “care-of” at 23 Byron Terrace

Enfield Council themselves send my mail to:

“Simon Cordell, c/o 23 Byron Terrace.”

This means:

- The Council Recognises Me As A **Resident.**
- The Council Recognises Me As **Living There.**
- The Council Recognises The Address As My **Official Point Of Contact.**
- The Council Accepts That I Receive **Support** From That Address.

A “care-of” address is legally recognised as a **residential link**.

3. I have a legal right to remain while succession is active

Under **Housing Act 1985**, s.87–90:

- When A Secure Tenant Dies,
- Any Family Member Who Lives There As Their **Only Or Principal Home**
- **Has The Right To Remain In The Property**
- **Until The Succession Application Is Submitted, Assessed, And Decided.**

I am in the middle of a succession application.

Therefore:

- **I Am Lawfully Allowed To Remain.**
- **I Am Not A Trespasser.**
- **I Cannot Be Removed By Police.**

- I Cannot Be Banned By Bail Conditions Unless A **Court** Orders It.

4. The council's wording is incomplete

The council says:

“Mr. Cordell is not currently a lawful tenant.”

This only means:

- The Tenancy Is Not Yet Transferred.
- The Succession Is Not Yet Completed.

It does **not** mean:

- I Cannot Live There
- I Must Leave
- I Am Trespassing
- I Cannot Access My Belongings
- I Cannot Secure The Property
- I Cannot Protect My Mother's Estate

5. Police bail cannot override housing law

The OIC in Deon's case:

- Imposes Bail Conditions **Without My Signature.**
- Contacts The Council To Influence My Housing Rights.
- Tries To Ban Me From My Home Address.
- Interferes In A **Civil Tenancy Matter.**
- And Does So **Without Legal Authority.**

Bail conditions must be:

- Explained.
- Agreed.
- Signed.
- And Lawful.

None of that happens.

6. My belongings and my mother's estate are inside

I have:

- Legal Correspondence
- My Generator
- My Sound System
- My Pc
- My Rolex
- My Cars
- My Catering Trailers
- My Personal Effects
- My Mother's Belongings
- Estate Items
- Documents
- Sentimental Items

Under the **Torts (Interference with Goods) Act 1977**, I have a **legal right** to access, secure, and protect my property.

7. The correct legal position (present tense)

I am a long-term resident of 23 Byron Terrace, officially recognised by the council as “care-of” at the address. Under the Housing Act 1985, I have a legal right to remain in the property while my succession application is active. The council’s message does not remove my right to live there, access my belongings, or protect my mother’s estate. Police bail cannot override housing law, and the OIC has no authority to interfere in civil tenancy matters or impose conditions banning me from my home without my agreement or a court order.

I have since submitted my right to succession and have a legal right to remain in the property until told otherwise, as **Exhibited Here**:

1+ <Dir> [16. Formal Request to Begin 23 Byron Terrace Tenancy Succession dated 11-05-2026 at time 08_59Am](#)

I have also created a diary version of the true events leading up to Deon making the allegation and what I have obtained afterwards that is relevant to your ongoing investigation.

1+ <Dir> [Extra](#)

EXHIBIT TABLE (COURT-READY)

Exhibit	Description	Date	Short Explanation (Criminal Relevance — First-Person)
A1 — Succession Rights	Enfield Council email confirming I submitted my succession application and that I have a legal right to remain at 23 Byron Terrace until a decision is made.	17/05/2026	Proves I was lawfully allowed at the property. Directly disproves Deon’s claim that I was “not allowed” there or that she lived there. <u>“As Above!”</u>
			<Dir> 14. Deon Housing Letter To Save Her Home Before Her Holiday
B1 — Deon’s Threats	Messages where Deon threatens violence, intimidation, and says she will “get people to beat me up.”	03/05/2026	Shows Deon was the aggressor , not me. Demonstrates her motive to fabricate allegations against me. [To Parent Directory]
B2 — Deon Admits She Will Come to the Property	Messages where Deon says she is coming to the house, will force entry, and will call police if I don’t comply.	07/05/2026	Shows premeditation , intent to provoke confrontation, and intent to weaponise the police against me.

Exhibit	Description	Date	Short Explanation (Criminal Relevance — First-Person)
			PTT-20260507-WA0004.opus
B3 — Boyfriend Threats	Threatening messages from Deon's boyfriend.	02–03/05/2026	Shows coordinated intimidation and pressure on me. Supports Deon's motive to lie. WhatsApp Chat with James Deon 2.pdf And:
B4 — My Peaceful Behaviour	Diary entries showing I was cleaning, repairing, and safeguarding the property.	30/04–03/05/2026	Contradicts any claim that I was violent or aggressive. Shows I behaved calmly and lawfully . VID-20260404-WA0001.mp4V VID-20260507-WA0003.mp4
B5 — Me Being Threatened	Messages showing, I was polite, cooperative, and repeatedly threatened by Deon.	21/04–07/05/2026	Shows I was the victim of harassment , not the perpetrator. WhatsApp Chat with Deon.txt
B6 — Deon's Motive to Lie	Messages where Deon threatens to ruin my life, make everyone hate me, and call the police on me.	Various	Shows clear motive for Deon to fabricate allegations and manipulate the situation. 01. New Audio from SI 30-04-2025.oga
B7 — Injury Location Mismatch	Photo showing Deon's palm , not her wrist.	15/05/2026	Police claimed I “grazed her wrist.” This photo proves the injury is on her palm , not her wrist. The allegation is inconsistent with the physical evidence. 02. Deon's palm of hand and not her wrist with a graze.jpg
B8 — Hospital Video (Using Both Wrists)	Video of Deon in hospital using both wrists normally, taking selfies, with no cast or restriction.	14/05/2026	Proves there was no wrist injury . Contradicts the police narrative and shows exaggeration or fabrication by Deon.

Exhibit	Description	Date	Short Explanation (Criminal Relevance — First-Person)
			WIN Deon using old Hand Injury to Set me Up- 4 17 40 04 Pro.mp4
B9 — 13 May Incident at 280 Durants Road	Deon, my brother, and my aunt attempt forced entry; ambulance staff witness their aggression; I leave calmly to avoid confrontation.	13/05/2026	Shows Deon was not injured , was mobile, aggressive, and attempting to provoke a breach. Strong evidence of manipulation and harassment. <u>Police cads</u>
B10 — Serco Tagging Lie	My aunt falsely tells Serco that I am banned from 280 and that it is a police bail condition.	13/05/2026	Shows deliberate misinformation given to authorities. Supports a pattern of manipulation and false reporting against me. <u>Police Cads & I Messaged OIC in the Case</u>

SUMMARY (FOR THE POLICE / CPS)

I have submitted my Right to Succession and therefore have a **legal right to remain at 23 Byron Terrace** until the Council makes a decision (**Exhibit A1**).

I have also created a diary of the true events leading up to Deon's allegation and the evidence obtained afterwards. The exhibit (**B1**) show:

- 1+ Deon Repeatedly Threatened Me
- 2+ Deon Admitted She Would Force Entry And Call Police
- 3+ Deon's Boyfriend Also Threatened Me
- 4+ I Behaved Calmly And Lawfully
- 5+ I Was The One Being Threatened
- 6+ Deon Had A Clear Motive To Lie
- 7+ The Injury Is On Her **Palm**, Not Her Wrist
- 8+ She Used Both Wrists Normally In Hospital 5 Days Later
- 9+ She Came To Me Aggressively 7 Days Later
- 10+ Family Attempted To Manipulate Serco With False Bail Information!

This evidence collectively shows:

- 1+ I was legally allowed at the property
- 2+ I was the one being threatened
- 3+ Deon was the aggressor
- 4+ The injury is inconsistent with the allegation
- 5+ Deon was not injured in the way she claimed

- 6+ Deon attempted to provoke breaches and weaponise police
- 7+ The allegation is unreliable and should be dropped

I therefore respectfully request the following:

1. **That the allegation be reviewed in light of the exhibits provided.**
2. **That the injury evidence be compared with the allegation for accuracy.**
3. **That the OIC review the 13 May incident showing Deon's aggression and mobility.**
4. **That the CPS consider the clear motive to fabricate.**
5. **That the case be discontinued due to lack of credible evidence.**

P.s.

I am acting at all times to comply with the original 2018 Edmonton County Court order, which recognised my disability-related need for a two-bedroom property and confirmed that 109 Burncroft Avenue is unsuitable and unsafe for me. Enfield Council failed to comply with that order for seven years, which caused my displacement, the breakdown of my support, and the instability that led to my current bail conditions.

I cannot live at 109 Burncroft Avenue because it is a one-bedroom flat that does not meet my disability needs, the court already agreed it is unsafe, and I have been victimised by neighbours, including a false allegation that now legally prevents me from returning. I have maintained the property in excellent condition and have never abandoned it; I was barred from entering under bail conditions from 02-08-2025 to the present. I wish to return the property to Enfield Council properly, not against my will.

280 Durants Road is not my Secure and Stable home. It is owned by multiple family members who are in conflict, and I experienced interference, removal of my tag box, and restrictions on who I could have around me. I only stayed there because the court approved it as a temporary bail address during my mother's illness. It is not safe or suitable for me.

23 Byron Terrace has always been my true home. I lived there long-term as part of my mother's household, with all my official documents registered there, including my GP, passport, driving licence, and council tax. My belongings, equipment, and care arrangements were based there. Enfield Council themselves treated it as my legal residence. I meet all legal requirements for succession under the Housing Act 1985.

My current bail/tag conditions now prevent me from complying with the 2018 court order, succession law, tenancy law, and my duties to secure my mother's estate. They prevent me from accessing my own tenancy, managing belongings, maintaining identity documents, and stabilising my life after my mother's death. I am requesting lawful variation so that I can comply with all legal obligations, protect my housing rights, and live safely.

My intentions are lawful, protective, and responsible. I want to succeed 23 Byron Terrace, return 109 Burncroft Avenue to Enfield Council in good condition, comply with the court order, comply with succession law, and rebuild my life with the support I now need.

Yours Sincerely & Warm Regards
Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

Dated: 18/05/2026.