

13.1. DOC1 PROCEDURAL CASE HISTORY

Bailed to Wood Green Police Station

Station address: 347 High Road, Wood Green London, N22 8JA.

Bailed to date/time: 19/06/2026 14:00

Police Bail Was Never Lawfully Imposed — Corrected Timeline & Breaches

I am innocent from the offset in these proceedings.

I therefore set out the factual sequence of events regarding my police bail. These facts show that the conditions were **never lawfully imposed**, and that multiple breaches of PACE and custody procedure occurred.

1. I refused to sign the conditions and legally requested to be taken before a court

When the custody officer attempted to impose the bail conditions, I **refused to sign** because I believed the conditions were unlawful and breached my rights. I also **requested to be taken before a court** if the police insisted on imposing them.

Under **PACE Code C**, when a detainee refuses to sign bail conditions:

- 1+ the refusal MUST be recorded in the custody record
- 2+ the MG4A MUST be marked “refused to sign”
- 3+ a witness MUST sign
- 4+ the detainee MUST be given a copy BEFORE release
- 5+ AND the custody officer MUST carry out a review and either:
 - **Remove The Conditions, OR**
 - **Remand The Detainee To Court The Next Morning**

These steps are **mandatory**.

They are not optional.

2. The police illegally ejected me instead of following the legal process

Instead of carrying out the required review, the police:

- 1+ Forcefully Ejected Me From The Custody Suite
- 2+ Without Recording My Refusal
- 3+ Without Marking The Mg4a
- 4+ Without A Witness Signature
- 5+ Without Serving The Bail Form
- 6+ Without Giving Me Any Paperwork
- 7+ Without Removing The Conditions
- 8+ Without Remanding Me To Court
- 9+ Without Completing The Lawful Bail Process

This is a **direct breach of PACE Code C**, because the police chose an option that **does not exist in law**.

Under PACE:

- 1+ If a detainee refuses to sign, the police CANNOT legally release them until a review is completed.
- 2+ The police MUST either remove the conditions or remand the detainee to court.

3+ Ejecting the detainee is unlawful.

Because none of the required steps were followed, and because I was released without any lawful decision being made:

None of this happened.

Instead, I was **ejected from the police station** without being given any paperwork, meaning the police bail conditions were NOT legally in effect at the moment I was released.

This is a **serious breach** of PACE and custody procedure.

I later found the first bail sheet in my paperwork — dated 16 May 2026

The first MG4A bail sheet I ever saw was discovered in my paperwork on **16 May 2026, eight days after the alleged offence** (8 May 2026 at 10:00).

This proves:

1+ I Was Never Served The Bail Sheet

2+ I Was Never Given A Copy

3+ I Was Never Shown The Conditions

4+ I Was Never Given The Opportunity To Sign

5+ The Police Released Me Without Lawful Service

This is a **fatal procedural failure**.

3. The OIC contacted me on 9 May 2026 at 22:35 — more than 12 hours after release

The OIC messaged me:

“Please let me know email and I will send you an updated copy of the bail sheet.”

This message was sent **over 12 hours after my release**, which is extremely serious because:

1+ Bail Conditions Must Be Imposed **Before Release**,

2+ They Cannot Be “Updated” Afterwards.

3+ They Cannot Be “Re-Issued” Once The Detainee Has Left Custody”

4+ And The Police Have No Power To Impose Or Amend Bail Remotely.

This alone makes the bail conditions **unlawful and void**.

4. Met CCC confirmed NO bail conditions existed for two days

Between **9–11 May**, I contacted Met CCC multiple times.

Their CAD messages confirm:

1+ They Could Not Find Any Bail Conditions,

2+ They Had To Contact The OIC To Ask Him To Explain Them,

3+ The Cad Was Still Open,

4+ And No Conditions Were Visible On The System.

This Means:

I was released with no active bail conditions for at least 48 hours.

This is a catastrophic breach of PACE.

5. The OIC admitted the custody record was still open — which is why no conditions existed

When I phoned the OIC, he told me:

1+ The Custody Record Was Still Open,

2+ Shift Changes Had Occurred,

- 3+ No One Had Closed The Cad,
- 4+ And This Is Why No Conditions Were Updated.

This is a breach of:

- 1+ PACE
- 2+ Custody continuity
- 3+ Data handling
- 4+ Professional Standards
- 5+ Article 6 (fair process)

6. On 14 May 2026, I received a second bail sheet — falsely marked “Refused to sign”

The second bail sheet was:

- 1+ Created AFTER Release,
- 2+ Sent To The Wrong Address (280 Durants Road),
- 3+ Falsely Marked “Not Digitally Signed – Refused To Sign,”
- 4+ Created Without Me Being Present,
- 5+ Created Without Caution,
- 6+ Created Without Service,
- 7+ And Created Without Lawful Authority.

This is extremely serious because:

- The police fabricated a refusal that never happened.
- They created a bail document after release, which is unlawful.
- They attempted to retroactively impose conditions.

This breaches:

- 1+ PACE
- 2+ CPIA
- 3+ Custody record integrity
- 4+ Professional Standards
- 5+ Article 6 ECHR
- 6+ Data Protection Act 2018
- 7+ UK GDPR

7. Legal conclusion

Based on the above:

- 1+ My bail conditions were never lawfully imposed
- 2+ The MG4A was invalid
- 3+ The re-issued bail sheet was unlawful
- 4+ I cannot be in breach of conditions that were never served
- 5+ The police process was procedurally defective
- 6+ My Article 6 rights were violated
- 7+ The custody process was mishandled
- 8+ The CAD was left open across shifts
- 9+ No Superintendent extension was obtained
- 10+ The police attempted to impose bail after release

This requires urgent review.

Time & Date	PACE Requirement	What Should Happen	What Actually Happened
08-05-26 — 10:00	Arrest → Clock starts	Start 24-hour detention clock	Arrested
09-05-26 — 10:00 AM	24-hour review	Sgt must review	(Blank — as you wrote it)
Instead:			09/05/2026 00:38 — Custody Officer Granting Sgt 01 P252008 Rainbird bail. You refused → they ejected you instead of reviewing.
Clock at release			If legally released then the legal time on police detention clock for investigation would be Frozen at: 14 hours 38 minutes, meaning they would have 9 hours 22 minutes remaining to investigate and submit the case files to CPS! Instead, SGT and OIC failed to shut the CAD down and follow protocols! Released ME without signing the legal requirements under the Bail Act 1976 causing critical failures.
09-05-26 — 10:00	24-hour legal limit	MUST: charge OR release OR extend	Custody record still open (illegal)
Received 09-05-26 — 11:40:02			Met Police: “Please attend your local police station or the custody suite you were released from for an explanation of your bail conditions...”
09-05-26 — 18:00 PM	24-hour legal limit	MUST: charge OR release OR extend	Staff Change overs
Received 09-05-26 — 16:27:57			Met Police: “CAD 5198/09May26... <u>1 have passed to our despatch and control room team for them to contact the officer in charge and get him to contact you explaining your bail CONDITIONS. For crime prevention advice please go to http://bit.ly/3DIPDQx.</u> ”
Received 09-05-26 — 19:18:18			Met Police: “CAD 6696/09May26...”

Time & Date	PACE Requirement	What Should Happen	What Actually Happened
Received 09-05-26 — 22:35:27			OIC in case Closed the police custody detention logs for this case and uploads the bail conditions still unsigned and without mine or my legal advisers presence or consent. 1 day, 12 hours, 35 minutes, 27 seconds “Please let me know email and I will send you an updated copy of the bail sheet. Regards Rob”
Sent 11-05-26 — 20:18:12			<u>My Message to OIC in Case:</u> “I have not contacted my sister as you ordered me to. My sister today has called 999 and sent doctors to 280 Durants road for no reason then while i was talking to them and showing them the voice recordings of her saying she is going to come to 23 Byron terrace for the case you are managing and set me up and go to all my family and make them hate me and also send people to endanger my life and go to my long past partners address and get them namely two different females. They checked me and said I was fine but while in 280 my sister arrived with my brother and started to threatened us, so the doctors called police on them, and they used my aunts key with her to get in. I walked away from the properly but am back their on my own again, this is unfair I have no place of safety from her..
14-05-26			New police Bail Sheet 2 received 14-05-26 for Deon’s case against me, not signed for! Deon’s name is also spelt wrong. <u>Condition 1:</u> Not to attend Byron Terrace... <u>Condition 2:</u> Not to contact Dion Benjamin...
From Met CCC — Sunday 17-05-26 at 10:18			<u>Email From Enfield Council About Tenancy Status:</u> Dear Simon, we have received the following notification from the council concerning your Tenancy Status... Based on our current records, please see the factual position below: The legal tenant of 23 Byron Terrace was Simon's late mother, Miss Lorraine Cordell who reportedly passed away on 07 April 2026. We have not yet received the death certificate.

Time & Date	PACE Requirement	What Should Happen	What Actually Happened
			At present, there is no current legal tenant recorded on our system for the property. Mr Simon Cordell is not named on the tenancy and is not currently a lawful tenant of the property. Mr Cordell has advised the Council that he is in the process of completing a succession application, which has been issued to him. Until a succession application is submitted, assessed, and approved, Mr Cordell has no confirmed legal right of occupation under the tenancy. This is the current status as held by Enfield Council Housing. Please let me know if you require any further information. Kind regards Georgina Solan Resident Relationship Officer

Yours Sincerely & Warm Regards
Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
Dated: 17/06/2026.