

you. The officer who received the complaint tried to contact the customer who made it on several occasions but was unable to do so and as such we were unable to verify the complaint. The next complaint received was then on 18/05/14 as stated in my original response.

We did not receive any further complaints after June 2014 in regard to the Man Building and therefore as far as we were aware the matter had been resolved.

A Noise Abatement Notice was not served on the squatters as we would not have been able to verify any names given, if indeed they would have given a name and it would have been unenforceable as it is extremely unlikely that we would have been able to take anybody to court who was squatting. The line taken was to pursue the owners of the building who then needed to evict the squatters and secure the premises, which they did; serving a Noise Abatement Notice would have had no effect on the owners as they were already taking the necessary steps to stop the problem.

Yours sincerely

Ned Johnson
Principal Officer Pollution
Pollution Control & Planning Enforcement
Planning, Highways & Transportation
Regeneration & Environment Department
Enfield Council

www.enfield.gov.uk

Protect the Environment – Think Before You Print.

"Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough, fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities."

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 05 January 2016 16:59

To: Ned Johnson

Subject: RE: RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Ned Johnson

I am writing this email due to a FOI request I put in some time ago.

It has come to my attention that some of the information you have given me is incorrect and was wondering if you could comment on this.

In my FOI request you said that Crown Road information started on the 18/5/2014, but I have found news paper information that this started much earlier than this.

I have a news paper that is dated the 25/04/2014 which was printed after a 15 hour rave took place there on the 19/04/2014, which is much earlier than the 18/05/2014 as the date you gave me that this started.