

The Appellant will state that there was a section 144 LAPSO notice displayed and the building was being treated as a home. The Appellant will state that he was an invited guest and not a trespasser.

The Appellant will state that there was no rave as the location was not open air and by virtue of him being invited by one of the occupiers who had established a section 144 LAPSO notice he was not a trespasser so the legal definition of a rave could not be made out.

The Appellant was a guest at the location and not an organiser. He attended the location in his private motor vehicle. He did not provide any audio or sound equipment.

The Appellant did not commit any criminal offences. The Appellant did not engage in any anti-social behaviour.

The second event at Millmarsh Lane on the 27/07/2014 the Appellant disputes that he was an organiser. He disputes that he was operating the gate as stated by police.

The Appellant will state that this was not an illegal rave but a private birthday party for a girl who lived there, that he attended as a guest and not as an organiser.

The Appellant did not commit any criminal offences. The Appellant did not engage in any anti-social behaviour.

- (2) WHETHER THE APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT THE INVOLVEMENT HE ADMITS, WAS IN FACT WITHIN THE LAW, IF SO WHY

Please see above.

- (3) WHETHER THE APPELLANT AGREES THAT ANY OF THE RAVES DID OR COULD HAVE CAUSED DISTRESS TO LOCAL RESIDENTS BY WAY OF NOISE OR MOVEMENT OF PERSONS PARTICIPATING IN RAVES

The Appellant can only comment on his own behaviour and he refers the court to the fact that he himself has not acted in an anti-social manner. He has not been arrested for any criminal offences.

The Appellant accepts that such events could cause noise nuisance but he is adamant that he did not organise or supply equipment for any of the events cited in the Respondent's application.

- (4) WHETHER THE APPELLANT AGREES THAT A PREMISES LICENCE WAS REQUIRED FOR EACH RAVE

The Appellant will state that he believes that no licence was required for Millmarsh Lane as the premises were being occupied and treated as a home due to a section 144 LAPSO notice being displayed. The building was being used as a home and not as a commercial building.