

I do insist for the challenges to be answered as it is my life that has been tarnished for wrongful civil proceedings.

I feel that the meeting with my barrister has been left to the last minute to no fault of my own I have been requesting this to be accomplished in a multitude of emails well in advance to the date that has now been made a few days before appeal.

I do not see how the case will not get re listed due to lack of late disclosure to be quite frank due to no fault of my own but still at my life's expense.

I do not feel that it is right or fair that I am being encouraged to go to appeal and my requests to the respondent not being replied to in time, that I believe will prove my innocents and will also clearly draft out the police corruption and wrongful conditions that I know have been imposed on myself.

All Legal professionals should work in Co Hurst towards the understanding of noun precedent in relation to the weight of any evidence put towards a client. I am concerned about the case relying sole on hearsay by police. Is this correct in procedure?

I do also understand and take note from the respondent's bundle that all resident parties contained within, were held on single occasions and in places of residence and where not held as a running commercial business by the occupiers or by myself to my knowledge. This has leaded me to read that any person is entitled to have **a house or resident party in private air under the licensing act 2003 or where** they reside. To my understanding each accused incident in the respondents bundle **is** a place of residence and was in fact different people holding their own private parties at their places of residence.

Aloe there may have been complaints in regards to issues of concern about them house parties I was not the occupier to any of the accused locations; neither was I the hirer of equipment and surely not the organiser.

I was establishing a hire company around the dates of the accused events and have provided evidence of the work I had been committing myself to. I was not trading at the time and whenever hiring out equipment I do with due care and responsibility, however I do not accept responsibility for other people's actions when hiring out such equipment in good faith. I do take legal action for any persons when breaking my terms and conditions. I do not hire out equipment to any person without being in the constraints of the law and in good business practice or without the correct ID.

On one occasion I did hire out a sound system in good faith on a pro bono basis, this being of the understanding that no laws were being broken and as an Ltd