

offence imposed upon him self, named “The organising of illegal raves” being present as a conviction, as this is relevant to the information required by the local councils as for the nature of the offence.

Any other person(s) would be treated different and would not have to sit on an official special committee at a board room meeting in relation to each different ward licensing that is being applied for due to this conviction, as the applicant now has to do because of the similarity of the offence that is being put towards the application for event licensing.

An Antisocial Behaviour Order (ASBO) order must not be classed as a criminal record as procedure rules and the guidance applied states, but due to the description of the respondent’s accusations all rules do not legally comply.

And due to this the applicant has emailed each individual council; ward and was put in receipt information by each relevant department, their official documents raise the issue of concern regarding a statement dated 10/02/2016 what was written by Miss Lorraine Cordell, which is a no fault of the applicants own, does not seem to be in the Appellant’s Bundle and clearly should have been, which proves the fact that a mutable amount of calls was made by Miss Lorraine Cordell in seeking a response from Local councils and police forces licensing teams, so to obtain information stating whether the Appellant would be able to get licensing for events he wanted to manage, the reply back (please read enclosed statement as exhibit sc1)

The Appellant who is in receipt of the official documents received will state that it has been explained by all councils that whilst he is subject to an ASBO order relating to the entertainment industry he will be prohibited from applying for any entertainment licence and any licence application will automatically fail and therefore this is disproportionate.

The applicants mother did an updated statement that is dated 10/02/2016 the reason being due to what was written within the **Skeleton Argument for the Respondent: Page 5 section 20:**

“As to the particular prohibitions endorsed upon the applicant and the respondent stating; significant effort was made by the Respondent and by the court to ensure that any legitimate business activities that the Appellant wished to undertake would in no way be inhibited by this order. For the Appellant to provide recorded music to a gathering of people he would either need to have a licence for that event or to provide the music on a licensed premise for fewer than 500 people with a general licence to play recorded music (sec s.1 and Sch. 1 of the Licensing Act 2003). This order specifically does not preclude him from providing regulated entertainment under the auspices of a valid licence.”

This is a breach of the applicant’s human right as he should not need a licence to play music in private air unless he is charging money with a view of making a profit.

Corruption is an enormous obstacle to the realization of all human rights — civil, political, economic, social and cultural, as well as the right to development.

The core human rights principles of transparency, accountability, non-discrimination and meaningful participation, when upheld and implemented, are the most effective means to fight corruption.

In 2013, the Human Rights Council requested its expert Advisory Committee to submit a research-based report to the Council at its twenty-sixth session in June 2014 on the issue of the negative impact of corruption on the enjoyment of human rights, and to make recommendations on how the Council and its subsidiary bodies should consider this issue (resolution [23/9](#)). The Advisory Committee submitted its final report on the issue of the negative impact of corruption on the enjoyment of human rights in 2015 ([A/HRC/28/73](#)).

The applicant has designed a business plan, this creates community events leading to an international festival and that sets out clearly the plans for events including marketing, safety, stalls etc and also specifically refers to co-operating with the police. The ASBO prevents such applications from being successful.