1. **Abstracts from the Colterol History:** Housing History: Simon Cordell vs Enfield Council & 115 Burncroft Avenue
   A. **2014**
      1) Anti-Social Behaviour Orders were Developed by the council and police alone!
      2) Complaints Begun.
      3) Government Media Sources were wrongly used!
      4) Simon and mother complained about what they would call the aftermath neighbours at 111, 113, 115, 117 Burncroft Avenue and the Enfield Council Officers ignores them all for one year. Complaints included banging on the floors directly at him. Waking him up by banging above him. Hitting the walls all day and night with intent to hurt him. Squeaking floorboards to mental have a negative effect on him, slamming windows when he entered his back garden.
   B. **2015, 2016**
      1) Council Continue to refuse to respond!
      2) **Geofrey Man Team Leader, of housing management South Mall Enfield Councils team Dawn Allen, Louse Brown Jakie Guby,** stay accused as responsible.
      3) The Enfield Councils own release notes show. My mother asking for emergency assistance to protect me from **111, 113, 115, 117 Burncroft Avenue occupants and others 95 97.**
      4) Due to A known Mental Health User with Serious Acholic issues and her children taken away from her who lived alone and above me in 113 who we reported about first, making a complaint to the Council with her health working being present the council could no longer allow everything we reported to them to continue to me. We reported complaints about 113 long before she complained about me. We keep email the Enfield Council and making them aware of what 113 was doing while drunk and soba.
      5) The Enfield Councils Staff become actions become transparent due to letting Debra and other attack me and because Debras Andrews care worker got involved due to me walking next to Debra on the way out like I had done for years before her guilt sunk in for what she was doing to me while I was in my own home and by use of her own home and accused actions, so the Enfield Councils staff all "Flipped The Real Narrative Around The Wrong Way!"
      6) Council finally respond from same emails we were ignored from, but treats Simon as the perpetrator, not victim.
      7) The Enfield Council Realised that me and my mother had already sent an email that included what happened on this date to them that they never responded to.
      8) The Council officer promise my mother to drop the case and investigate what she has been saying to them, she even describes going to Debra Andrews door herself and Debra acting very unwell Mentally, while deciding to talk to her through a letter box. Debra is much older than Mr. S. Cordell.
      9) Doctors' notes and police note released through SRA all state they also contacted Dawn Allen & Co around the same time as they went to visit Debra and she would not open the door to them and was acting very unwell mentally.
      10) Dawn Allen arranged a meeting with my mother at my home with all of us to be present to investigate our claims.
      11) Instead, she can be seen being two faced and building a negative profile against me as in the release note she messages the doctors on the 23/9/2015. To get to this stage of an enquiry she has clearly checked internal Council records and

came up with a none. She asked the doctors if I'm known to mental health services. While making us wait to give our official statements. She had never met me. The doctors return with a none as well.

12) The doctors pass personal notes of mine to dawn Allen and Co without my legal consent.

13) Dawn Allen attends 115 Burncroft Avenue in her release notes and makes a statement with Debra Andrews about me.

14) Geofrey Man Team Leader, of housing management South Mall Enfield Councils team Dawn Allen, Jakie Guby, arranged with the doctors without ever meeting me for a mental health warrant to be issued against me. They all arranged this while me and my mother awaited out meeting with Dawn Allen

15) They arranged a rendezvous point across the road from my home at Shaftsbury Avenue.

16) Dawn Allen, Jakie Guby nor Team Leader, Geofrey Man turned up to meet them as arranged.

17) Louse Brown was sent instead as my mother would have been present and asked the correct questions to dawn Allen and Jackie Gubby who she had been messaging for around 2 years for help to protect me.

18) The doctors agreed they was nothing wrong with me after a mental health assessment was made to happen against my free will.

19) My mother wrote emails upset with Dawn Aleen and Jackie Jubby and they said they will attend the meeting that sorts our concerns. Mother stated she was not available on the date they have and asked for them not to attend mine unless she is present as she is my appropriate adult, they agreed.

20) When my mum was in the hospital on the date, she was not available for, Dawn Allen or Jakie Gubby turn up at my front door with a police officer, and I allowed them into my home. I told them about what was going on and they left. Then they both went back to the offices and created notes about my printers in my home and wrote to my mum starting that I am starting a communal business from within a council flat and they want to take my tenancy away from me for it.

21) Mother had a go at them for attending behind her back.

22) A council Housing Officer named as Sarh Flexure receives a letter of one of the neighbours 117 top floor above me that we was complaining about first. The letter they sent stated a malicious unfounded claim that holds no truth Saying, "I'm a drug addict." The claim was backdated prior to my mother complaint and is easily checked by the received date. This is the second piece of evidence they used unfairly against me.

23) Sarh Flexure done internal Council record checks and stated that none existed about me.

24) Sarh Flexure then arranged a meeting at the council with 117 where they was allowed to backdate mutable cases that weren't true to be used against me rather than be prosecuted for what me my mother and other reported.

25) Geofrey O/Man the team leader responds to my mothers' prior complaints and states that she is wrong that I have been brought to their awareness once before other than the Asbo the prior teams were involved in. The other case away from the Asbo he was referring to is the Debra Andrews case that they told me, and my mother had been dropped. They used this to start a history in my name.

26) This meant two manipulated incident and one Asbo case they denied having involvement in but then openly admitted to.

27) Debra Andrews was moved out into a hotel due to the issues by the Council then allowed to move back in and start on me till she gave up and was moved out.

28) Each neighbour after that who was moved in that I spoke to made me and become aware of their selected Mental Health colterol history.

29) Me and mother obtained access to the flat 113 during this time, and he was smoking crack without electric and support, he had a troubled upbringing due to his own action that led him to long term prison sentence where he was transferred from the prison as an adolescent to a mental hospital and he then latter broke out to finally be re captured. His offence is published in the local newspapers where he went into our local post office and brandished a gun while robbing the place. What made matters worse was he knew the local post office owner, wore no balaclava to cover his identity, and after robbing the place i.e. the till, realised that he was in a post office, and it had a safe in the back. He never timed his actions and as he re-entered to find out if he could access the safe and then it took for an old age pensioner to apprehend him by taking him to the ground in fear of what was going on. The flats conditions had not been changed since Debra Andrews had damaged it, as she weaponised it against me. Ambrose became board the floor was cut like a the piano out of the film big, me and my mother took pictures of this. There is much more colterol history behind Ambrose as I have also gathered in hard evidence about the other occupiers who I could be sure was still hurting me on more than one occasion once asked to stop but that is to be keep in the main file "214 & afterwards" for case review!

C. 2017

30) **Lemmy** Newbusi had just started working for the Enfield council in August 2016 as a ASB Team Member. He took over the prior staffs dealing with the issues raised of our concerns and continued to "Flipped The Real Narrative Around The Wrong Way!"

31) He Helped staff evade my mother emails not being Faily addressed to protect me and their obligation to monitor Debra Andrews, while also protect me and other residence.

32) He as the ASB Council Officer intentionally avoiding the real issues and decided to add to those issues even further not only by covering those past errored issues up but by fabricating even more! It is said that Lemmy started to clearly manipulate the true events to help other staff avoid justice from what we alleged they done wrong to us.

1) To do this they left the neighbours attacking me in knowing that Mutable different Staff had already prior to this date done mass internal checks to see if I was known to the Enfield council housing or Asb Systems for any related housing tenancy breaches to do with my tenancy but I was not until on the 24/09/2016 when Geoffrey Man the Team Leader declared the one alleged incident that was created by Dawn Allen & unfairly as she has already said no truth had been found in Debras Complaint to us.

2) My Mother Put an SRA Into the Council for My Personal Data

3) The Enfield Council Staff demand a meeting with me at their office over 12 undisclosed allegations through my mother.

4) Mother refused for me to attend with her until the SRA was released. Mother also refused to attend with me until the 12 Allegations had gotten disclosed to us as

Complaint A, B C, perrons name, date, time, location, allegation! So, we did not go.

5) Then Enfield council staff Lemmy meets up with the neighbours. The ones we been accusing of months of hurting me and asks them for negative history about me but only cases I prior had won in a criminal court was given. This case should not have been reused as they did!

1) Lemmy the council officer reattends the Council Offices and reallocates to "B Block" where he is based, he then afterwards creates a false Enfield Council History with the use of the intel of the "Police No Further Actioned Criminal Case" and uses the collected random allegations he obtained with no fair prominence of them gaining a fair guilty conviction and deploys them. He done this by using Dawn Allen case to do with Debra Andrews that I proved I was innocent in and by collectively added the case Sarah Flexure Handled to do with 117 Burncroft Avenue as to do with the alleged backdated letter claiming an unfounded drug allegation and the additional claims, she met them afterwards at the Enfield Councils Office to allowed more backdate offences to exist!
2) Lemmy placed all these enters it into my council history internally within their systems afterwards.
3) The Councils Automatic Internal Digital Systems includes meta data, and management protocols of its uploaded intel and this proves that the allegations Lemmy filed were filed after my mother complaints and when reviewed prove that we complained for a year none stop first.
4) The internal systems handling causes recorded human submitted input of files to be in a chronological order.
5) Which raises a major Issue as the entries Council Staff Lemmy put in the Enfield Councils internal systems are after my mother's complaints and this also shows that they were deliberately backdated before her complaints.
6) The 12 incidents were sent to my mum before her SRA was released, as A, B, C and body full of the complaint but they failed to identify any of the different alleged victims so they could be called to the court.
7) My mother managed to keep me away from the Enfield Councils staffs desired meeting to protect me for over a year, until otherwise was wanted!
8) Lemmy & Co threated to put a housing possession order against me if I never attended. I can prove this form the emails and SRA, FOI obtained and the court applications they filed against me.
9) The Same 12 incidents continued to be used while communications continued between them all and no new incidents mentioned. I was not aware of any of this.
10) A housing possession order was put on my front door, and I became aware for the first time about everything. This created 31 allegations against me that I never committed including the first twelve.

1) I demand all correspondence from my mother and put it all in the council's diary format they gave me to fill-in so, I could understand what had happened to me to be able to defend myself from what I had not done.
2) My Mothers SRA was released to her and after I compiled it within the diary alongside the other relevant Government departments obtained official documents about myself she request for.
3) The first Housing Possession order placed on my front door never got put before the court.

D. 01/06/2017

1) ASB Officer Lemmy Newbusi File Notes after he made the 31 allegations.
2) Notes show different tenant at 115 - someone with mental health issues who asked to be rehoused. This appears to be actual secure tenant.

E. 2018-2019

1) **Five Years of Court Proceedings**
2) Council brings 3 possession orders and 2 injunctions using same discredited allegations against me.
3) Rebbecca O'Hare moved into 115 Burncroft Avenue Around Here!
4) **The First** is the **Housing Possession Order** I found stuck on my front door at 109 Burncroft and was also sent o my mother by email.
5) **The Second** application was a **1st Injunction Order** where the Judge residing the case at the Edmonton lower county court ordered that the alleged allegations must not be reused that were submitted in hearings for the first application after he disposed of the case due to failure from the Enfield council Officers to be able to respond within the court time frame given by him as an order of the law.
6) **The Third** case was what I titled as the **2nd Injunction Order,** same 31 allegations same court somehow but a different case number and I introduce the possibility of a different company credit card also being used to file the application. This also never made it to trial and kept heavy bail conditions on me restricting me from attending my home of 109 Burncroft Avenue. I allege that this was an abuse of process. The Judge was asked by the Enfield Council Solicitor and Lemmy Newbusi to order a mental health assessment against me. This was just before trial and as I was realising evidence that proved the truth. The judge refused to order it as an order at his sole request and rather ordered it at the Enfield Councils request. An Assessment took place I raised all the issues of my concern in it. On this day I was not detainable. I recorded and transcribed the meeting afterwards. To me it proved I was being abused but was well of mind and health but at the court the report stated that the Reviewing doctor on her own at my home said I lacked the capacity to litigate. The Judge ordered that the case be dismissed and said to Lemmy to stand up as well. He ordered Lemmy to comply with the Enfield Council by Rehousing me into a like for like home regarding park facilities as well as schooling for children and gardens. He said I can have whoever I like to be my career to live with me and this will be a 2x bedroom home and not 1 as I have got.
7) Lemmy refused and the Judge Made his orders to Lemmy again by stating I have made order like this before, and the Enfield Council has no other option but to comply the same as the rest did and then we was all dismissed. Ludmilla Lyavoo their hired in solicitor was present.
8) **My Mother contacted Lemmy and Ludmilla Lyavoo** about the court order and the first emails of them proves the court order as to what they say.
9) Ludmilla Lyavoo and Lemmy Newbuisi both gave my mother the run about. Eventually they treated the court order as something other than an emergency and put it at the next monthly panel rather than call on an emergency general panel meeting due to my housing and safeguarding concerns.
10) The order to be sealed at the lower court was served to us and amended to be of the Judges orders. As it failed to reflect what was said

11) The Enfield Councils Position wrote in the order was that my mother must make sure I seek medical help for mental health reasons. No Judge would order this as she is not me and the emails sent afterwards prove the real order anyways.
12) During the amended exchange of the sealed order being amended the council decided to amend it to their own wording stating my mum must force me to seek mental health treatment or no new home after what they had allowed and avoided.
13) The council sealed the order according to what they wanted and not what the Judge ordered as is easy to believe due to the incompetence in the directions they sealed.
14) We Questioned what they had done by sealing he order demanding my mum to admit me into mental Health before I'm rehoused, and I telephoned the council and recorded the conversation but instead of a fair response offering a safe home I was contacted by the police who arrived at my home with another council court order. I ripped the paper up and spat at it as the police walked of and they turned around and went for me; I evaded the police but hand myself in afterwards. They detained me to a mental hospital where I was reviewed and released without diagnoses.
15) The Enfield Council left me incapable of believing I have the capacity to litigate and demoralised my word at every given word otherwise to cover up the council history they created and used against me in knowing that they fabricated it and demanded the actions that I now suffer!
16) **Then fourthly**: the 2nd Housing possession order to the 3rd housing possession order. This is where a council solicitor who was hired in as a new employee to handle my case during the start of the 1st Injunction order turned the reused 31 allegations into 46 rather than the 31 as she refused with other staff to follow the Judge's order and rehouse me after losing the case.
17) We waited and asked at the end of each month as they went past. And asked if I was being rehomed as the Judge Ordered but was told it never got put before the panel.
18) Then My mother became so ill that I had to stay with her anyways more than ever and as i was already registered as her overnight carer with the Enfield Council it made sense just to stay with her.
19) I was left with no other option but to comply.
20) My Flat was left decommissioned.
21) And the council changed their narrative as mum pursed them for breaches of GDPR,
22) None reach trial. First possession Order don't make it to court, then the 1st injunction order where Edmonton lower county court ruled that the allegations must be wiped as discontinued and never re submitted. This was not just said at the court on the day as the Enfield Council reses notes also prove they keep going back to the court and asking for the case to be re-open, this was denied. Then the 2nd Injunction Order and the 2nd Housing possession order to the3rd housing possession order.

F. **2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024**
1) Continued
2) I returned diary sheets to the council.
3) The council retained those records.

G. 01/02/2-25

1) Doors Changed by Council.

H. 08/08/2025

1) Set up by Rebbecca

I. 2019-2026

1) Continued Reliance on Mother's Home
2) Council fails to re-house. Simon continues living with and caring for mother at 23 Byron Terrace.

J. 07/04/2026

1) Found mum dead in bed, uncared for laying cramped sideways in the bed, rock solid for hours, she was lifeless but heart still beating, hospital made it look like she could recover but knew otherwise. I observed all the doctors just chatting outside of her room, like it was a normal day until I turned up.

K. 08/04/2026

1) Miss Lorraine Cordell passes away. Simon applies for tenancy succession.

L. 29/08/2026

1) mum funeral forced to trial.
2) Refused for case to be put off. Refused access to a solicitor other than 36/38 Appointed.

M. 14/10/2026

1) Land Registry Discovery
2) Simon obtains Title NGL307570 confirming 115 Burncroft Avenue is council-owned, not private. Rebecca O'Hare's status questioned.

N. Present

1) Criminal Appeal
2) Simon appealing conviction based on allegations from Rebecca O'Hare. Defence: she is not legal tenant, had no standing to make allegations.